F-Modules

Abel Doñate Muñoz

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The Frobenius functor	2
3	F-modules	4
4	Appendix	5

1 Introduction

We will work in positive characteristic. Let R a commutative Noetherian unitary ring of prime characteristic p.

Throughout the article we will need some previous knowledge of definitions and properties of rings. For those unfamiliar with basic notions of dimension theory, it is suggested to read the appendix, in which some definitions and basic properties for the development of the article are needed.

2 The Frobenius functor

Definition 2.1 (Frobenius endomorphism). The maps

$$f:R \to R$$
 such that $f(r)=r^p,$ $f^{(e)}:R \to R$ such that $f(r)=f^e(r)r^{p^e}$

define ring morphisms in a ring of characteristic p known as Frobenius endomorphisms.

In advance f will denote a p-Frobenius endomorphism, whereas $f^{(e)}$ will denote a p^e -Frobenius endomorphism.

Notice that the application f is, in fact, a morphism. The behaviour for the product $f(ab) = (ab)^p = a^p b^p = f(a)f(b)$, and for the sum we have to make use of binomial expansion

$$f(a+b) = (a+b)^p = a^p + \binom{p}{1}a^{p-1}b^1 + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1}a^1b^{p-1} + b^p = a^p + b^p = f(a) + f(b)$$

since $p|\binom{p}{k} \ \forall k=1,\ldots,p-1.$

Observation 2.1. f is not necessarily injective nor surjective. Some counterexamples are

- 1. Let $R = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^2)$. Then f is not injective.
- 2. Let $R = \mathbb{F}_p(T)$, with T transcendental over \mathbb{F}_p .

To see (1) it suffices to prove that $\ker f \neq 0$. In fact $\ker f = \{0, \overline{x}, \overline{2x}, \dots, \overline{(p-1)x}\}$.

To see (2) it suffices to prove there is no element $\frac{q(T)}{r(T)} \in \mathbb{F}_p(T)$ with q, r rational functions whose image is T, since that would imply $q(T)^p - r(T)^p T = 0$, which is a contradiction with the fact that T is trascendental.

From now we will take advantage of the Frobenius morphism, that will change the structure of an R-module by changing the action.

Definition 2.2 (Module with Frobenius action). Given M an R-Module, we define the module $M^{(e)}$ induced by $f^{(e)}$ as the abelian group M endowed with the action

$$r \cdot m = f^{(e)}(r)m = r^{p^e}m$$

We will write for the cases in which e=1 for simplicity $M':=M^{(1)},\,R':=R^{(1)}$

Definition 2.3 (Frobenius functor). The functor $F : \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Mod} \to \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Mod}$ with the assignments

$$M \longmapsto R' \otimes_R M, \qquad (M \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} N) \longmapsto R' \otimes_R M \stackrel{id \otimes_R \phi}{\longrightarrow} R' \otimes_R N$$

is called the Frobenius functor. In the same way the functor $F^{(e)}$ can be defined replacing R' by $R^{(e)}$.

Example 2.1. Let M=R, then we have the isomorphism of R-modules $F(R)\cong R$ with Frobenius action.

For the proof we construct two applications g and h and see that they are well defined morphisms and they are inverse each other.

$$g: R' \otimes_R R \to R$$

$$r' \otimes r \mapsto r'r^p$$

$$r \mapsto r \otimes 1$$

$$h: R \to R' \otimes_R R$$

$$r \mapsto r \otimes 1$$

To see they are well defined morphisms note that

$$g(a \cdot (r' \otimes r)) = a^p g(r' \otimes r) = a \cdot g(r' \otimes r), \quad h(a \cdot r) = (a^p r) \otimes 1 = a \cdot (r \otimes 1) = a \cdot h(r)$$

with Frobenius action. Finally we see they are inverse each other

$$g(h(r)) = g(r \otimes 1) = 1, \quad h(g(r' \otimes r)) = h(r'r^p) = (r'r^p) \otimes 1 = r \cdot (r' \otimes 1) = r' \otimes r$$

Thus, we have the isomorphism.

Definition 2.4 (Frobenius of a complex). Given the complex M^{\bullet} , we define its induced complex $F(M^{\bullet})$ as the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow M_{k-1} \xrightarrow{h_{k-1}} M_k \xrightarrow{h_k} M_{k+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$\downarrow^F \qquad \downarrow^F \qquad \downarrow^F$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F(M_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{F(h_{k-1})} F(M_k) \xrightarrow{F(h_k)} F(M_{k+1}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Exactly the same construction works for $F^{(e)}$.

The choice of Frobenius functor as a tool in rings of positive characteristic is not arbitrary. This functor has fairly good functorial properties. We list the most important for the developement of this article in the following observation.

Observation 2.2. Some properties of the Frobenius functor are

- 1. F is right exact. Furthermore, if R is regular, then R' is flat and F is exact.
- 2. F commutes with direct sums.
- 3. F commutes with localization.
- 4. F commutes with direct limits.
- 5. F preserves finitely generation of modules.
- 6. If R is regular, then F commutes with cohomology of complexes.

We provide sketches of the proof of some of them:

- (1) If R is flat from Kunz's theorem we have that the Frobenius endomorphism is flat, and thus R' is flat. Thus, since the functor F is constructed by tensoring the module with a flat module, the functor is exact.
- (6) Since R is regular, then the Frobenius functor is exact. We only need to prove that exact functors preserve cohomology.

We start the proof considering the following diagram

$$\cdots \longrightarrow M_{k-1} \xrightarrow{d_{k-1}} M_k \xrightarrow{d_k} M_{k+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$\downarrow^F \qquad \downarrow^F \qquad \downarrow^F$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow M'_{k-1} \xrightarrow{d'_{k-1}} M'_k \xrightarrow{d'_k} M'_{k+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

In which every element with the ' has suffered a transformation through F. Let

$$\begin{cases} Z_n := \ker d_n \\ B_n := \operatorname{Im} d_{n-1} \end{cases} \begin{cases} Z'_n := \ker d'_n \\ B'_n := \operatorname{Im} d'_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

To see that $Z'_n = F(Z_n)$ we consider the transformation of exact sequences and by exactness we have $Z'_n = \ker(d'_n) \cong F(Z_n)$

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_n \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} M_n \stackrel{d_n}{\longrightarrow} B_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad \qquad 0 \longrightarrow F(Z_n) \stackrel{i'}{\longrightarrow} F(M_n) \stackrel{d'_n}{\longrightarrow} F(B_{n+1}) \longrightarrow 0$$

To see that $B'_n = F(B_n)$ we perform diagram chasing

$$B'_n = \operatorname{Im}(d'_{k-1}) = d'_{k-1}(F(M_{k-1})) = F(d_{k-1}(M_{k-1})) = F(\operatorname{Im} d_{k-1}) = F(B_n)$$

Finally making the cohomology considering the transformation of the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow B_n \longrightarrow Z_n \longrightarrow H_n \longrightarrow 0 \qquad 0 \longrightarrow F(B_n) \longrightarrow F(Z_n) \longrightarrow F(H_n) \longrightarrow 0$$

and from exactness of F we have

$$F(H_n(M)) \cong \frac{F(Z_n)}{F(B_n)} \cong \frac{Z'_n}{B'_n} \cong H_n(F(M))$$

Proving that an exact functor commutes with cohomology (and homology).

As we have seen, some of the properties we may expect from a useful functor come from assuming the regularity of R. We will assume in advance that R is regular.

Definition 2.5 (Frobenius power ideal). Given $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ an ideal of R, we define its Frobenius e-power ideal as

$$I^{[p^e]} := (x_1^{p^e}, \dots, x_n^{p^e})R$$

Notice that the ideal $I^{[p^e]}$ does not depend on the choice of the elements x_i . The proof begins with $I^{[p^e]} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$. Then, there exists $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$ such that $y_1 = r_1 x_1 + \ldots + r_n x_n$. Then $y_1^{p^e} = r_1^{p^e} x_1^{p^e} + \ldots + r_n^{p^e} x_n^{p^e}$, which means $y_1^{p^e} \in (x_1^{p^e}, \ldots, x_n^{p^e})$, and thus $(y_1^{p^e}, \ldots, y_n^{p^e}) \subseteq (x_1^{p^e}, \ldots, x_n^{p^e})$. By symmetry we also have the inverse containment, so both ideals are equal.

Now we have defined the ideal $I^{[p]}$, we see why this ideal naturally arises from the following example.

Example 2.2. Let $I = (x_1, ..., x_n)$. Then $F(R/I) \cong R/I^{[p]}$. For the proof we consider the following right exact sequence:

$$R^n \xrightarrow{g=(x_1...x_n)} R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

From the exactness of the Frobenius functor and the fact that $F(R) \cong R \Rightarrow F(R^n) \cong R^n$, the short exact sequence transforms into

$$F(R^n) \cong R^n \xrightarrow{g' = (x_1^p \dots x_n^p)} F(R) \cong R \xrightarrow{\pi'} F(R/I) \longrightarrow 0$$

which means that, by the first isomorphism theorem $F(R/I) \cong R/\ker \pi' \cong R/\operatorname{Im} g' \cong R/I^{[p]}$, since clearly the image of g' is $I^{[p]}$.

Properties of Frobenius functors

3 F-modules

Definition 3.1 (F-Module). An F-module is an R-module M equipped with an R-isomorphism $\theta: M \to F(M)$ called the structure morphism.

4 Appendix

Definition 4.1 (Height and Krull dimension). Given a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , we define his height as

$$\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) := \sup\{t : \mathfrak{p}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_t = \mathfrak{p}\} \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{p}_i \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$$

We define the Krull dimension of the ring R as

$$\dim(R) := \sup\{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)\}$$

Definition 4.2 (Krull dimension of a module). If R is a commutative Noetherian ring, then the Krull dimension of the R-module M is

$$\dim(M) := \dim(R/\operatorname{Ann}_R(M))$$

where $\operatorname{Ann}_R(M) = \{r \in R : rM = 0\}$ is the annihilator ideal of R.

Following the definition of height and Krull dimension for a ring, an analogous construction can be repeated for the category of R—modules.

Definition 4.3 (Length of a module). Given M an R-module, we define the length of M as the supremum of the length n of the chains of modules, that is

$$\ell(M) = \sup\{n : 0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_n = M\}$$

Observation 4.1. If R = K a field, and M is a free finitely generated R - module, then the length of M coincides with the usual dimension of M as vector space.

little example

Definition 4.4 (Regular local ring). Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) a Noetherian local ring and $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ a minimal set of generators of \mathfrak{m} . We say R is regular if $\dim R = n$, where \dim is the Krull dimension.

Assuming the ring is local sometimes is very strong and we need some notion of regularity in a non-local ring. The following definition provides a suitable definition for a regular ring that is not necessarily local

Definition 4.5 (Regular ring). R is regular if the localization at every prime ideal is a regular local ring

Example 4.1. Some examples of regularity and locality of rings are listed below. Let k be a field, then:

- (1) The ring $R = k[x]/(x^2)$ is local but non-regular. It has a unique maximal and prime ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (\overline{x})$, so the Krull dimension is dim $= 0 \neq 1$, so the ring is non-local.
- (2) The ring $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is non-local, but regular. Maximal ideals are not unique, and they are of the form $(x_1 + a_1, \ldots, x_n + a_n)$ with $a_i \in k$. The regularity is a consequence of Hilbert's syzygy theorem.
- (3) The ring $R = k[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]$ is local and regular. The locality came from the fact that $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is the only maximal ideal since every other element is invertible. Furthermore, is regular because we can consider the chain $\mathfrak{p}_i = (x_1, \ldots, x_i)$ and see that dim R = n.

Theorem 4.1 (Kunz). The ring R is regular if and only if the Frobenius endomorphism $f: R \to R$ is flat.