Problems Abstract Algebra Second List

Abel Doñate Muñoz

1 Nakayama's lemma. Let M be a finitely generated A-module and I an ideal of A contained in the Jacobson radical. Prove:

$$IM = M \Rightarrow M = 0$$

First we prove a characterization of the elements of J, the Jacobson radical: $x \in J \iff 1 - xy$ is a unity for all $y \in A$.

(prove it)

We suppose $M \neq 0$. Let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be a minimal set of generators of the module M. Because M = IM we can express the element $x_1 = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n$, where $a_i \in I$. Then let b the inverse of $1 - a_1$ (whose existence has been previously proved).

$$(1-a_1)x_1 = a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0 \Rightarrow b(a_1-1)x_1 = x_1 = ba_2x_2 + \dots + ba_nx_n$$

entering in contradiction with $\{x_i\}$ being a minimal set unless $x_i = 0 \,\forall i$, thus M = 0.

(rehacer)

- 2 Under the previous hypothesis, prove:
 - 1. $A/I \otimes_A M = 0 \Rightarrow M = 0$
 - 2. If $N \subseteq M$ is a submodule, $M = IM + N \Rightarrow M = N$
 - 3. If $f: N \to M$ is a homomorphism, $\overline{f}: N/IN \to M/IM$ surjective $\Rightarrow f$ surjective
- (1) Using the exercise 10 we have $0 = A/I \otimes_A M \cong M/IM$, so by Nakayama's lemma since $IM = M \Rightarrow M = 0$.
- (2) We start by considering the following equality in the quotient module

$$I(M/N) = \sum a_i(m_i + N) = \sum a_i m_i + N = \frac{IM + N}{N} = \frac{M}{N}$$

Therefore, since I(M/N) = M/N, by Nakayama's lemma we have $M/N = 0 \Rightarrow M = N$ as desired.

(3) We consider the following surjective map induced by $f: N \to M$ such that f(n) = m:

$$N \xrightarrow{\pi} N/IN \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} M/IM$$

$$n \longmapsto n + IN \longmapsto f(n) + IM$$

In order of \overline{f} to be surjective it must be accomplished f(N) + IM = M. By the last exercice if $M = IM + f(N) \Rightarrow M = f(N)$, since f(N) is a submodule of M so f is surjective.

3 Let A be a non-local ring. Prove that the A-module A has two minimal system of generators with a different number of generators.

4 Let (diagram) be a short exact sequence of A-modules. Prove that if M' and M'' are finitely generated, then M is finitely generated.

We start by fixing the set of generators of M' as z_1, \ldots, z_n and of M'' as z_1, \ldots, z_m .

Since g is surjective, we can find elements y_1, \ldots, y_m such that $g(y_i) = z_i$. Now we select an arbitrary element $y \in M$. Then we have

$$g(y) = b_1 z_1 + \dots + b_m z_m = g(b_1 y_1) + \dots + g(b_m y_m) \Rightarrow g(y - \sum b_i y_i) = 0 \Rightarrow y - \sum b_i y_i \in \ker(g)$$

for some $b_i \in A$. By exactness of the sequence we have $y - \sum b_i y_i \in \text{Im}(f)$, so

$$y - \sum b_i y_i = f(\sum a_i x_i) = \sum a_i f(x_i) \Rightarrow y = \sum a_i f(x_i) + \sum b_i y_i$$

for some $a_i \in A$. Thus, a set of generators of M is $f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_n), y_1, \ldots, y_m$

5 Prove that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ is a Noetherian ring

This is equivalent to prove that $M = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ is a Noetherian module. Since every submodule of M is finitely generated (by 1 and \sqrt{d}), then the module is Noetherian.

6 Prove that the ring $\mathbb{Z}[2T, 2T^2, 2T^3, \ldots] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[T]$ is not Noetherian

We search for an ascending chain of ideals $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq ...$ in which for every I_i we have $x_i \in I_i$ but $x_i \notin I_{i-1}$. This chain can be $I_i = (2T, 2T^2, ..., 2T^{i-1}, 2T^i + 2T^{i+1} + ...)$. Notice that the containments are obvious and $x_i = 2T^{i-1} \in I_i$, but not in I_{i-1} .

7 Let M be an A-module and let N_1, N_2 be submodules of M. Prove that if M/N_1 and M/N_2 are Noetherian (Artinian), then $M/(N_1 \cap N_2)$ is Noetherian (Artinian) as well.

- **8** Let M be an A-module, $f: M \to N$ an A-endomorphism. Prove:
 - 1. If M is Noetherian and f surjective \Rightarrow f isomorphism
 - 2. If M is Artinian and f injective \Rightarrow f isomorphism

9 Compute:

- 1. $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{Z})$
- 2. $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{Q})$
- 3. $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/(m),\mathbb{Q})$
- (1) We look for an element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{Z})$. Let $f(\frac{1}{n})=x_n$ for n a nonzero integer and $f(1)=C\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$C = f(1) = f\left(\frac{n}{n}\right) = nf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = nx_n. \Rightarrow x_n = 0 \ \forall |n| > C$$

But if we take into account $C = nx_n$ holds for all nonzero n, then C = 0, meaning all the x_n are zero. We end up with $f\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = af\left(\frac{1}{b}\right) = a \times 0 = 0$. So $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$

(2) We look for an element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{Q})$. Let $f(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{x_n}{y_n}$ for n a nonzero integer and $f(1) = C \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then we have

$$C = f(1) = f\left(\frac{n}{n}\right) = nf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = n\frac{x_n}{y_n}. \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{x_n}{y_n} = \frac{C}{n}$$

That means our morphism f_C is uniquely determined by the choice of $C \in \mathbb{Q}$, and is the morphism that sends $1 \to C$ and $\frac{1}{n} \to \frac{c}{n}$ and extends linearly $\frac{a}{b} \to \frac{a}{b}C$. So $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$

(3) We look for an element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/(m),\mathbb{Q})$. Let $f(\overline{1})=r\in\mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$0 = f(\overline{0}) = f(\overline{m}) = mf(\overline{1}) = mr \Rightarrow r = 0$$

So the only possibility is the morphism 0 and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/(m),\mathbb{Q})=0$

10 Let A be a ring, M an A-module and $I \subseteq A$ an ideal. Prove

$$M/IM \cong A/I \otimes_A M$$

We construct the following maps and see that they are well-defined

$$f: M/IM \to A/I \otimes_A M \qquad g: A/I \otimes_A M \to M/IM$$
$$x + IM \mapsto (1 + I) \otimes_A x \qquad (a + I) \otimes_A y \mapsto ay + IM$$

If we pick $x' \sim_{IM} x \Rightarrow x' = x + n$ for $n \in IM$ and we have

$$f(x'+IM) = (1+I) \otimes_A (x+n) = (1+I) \otimes_A x + (1+I) \otimes_A n = (1+I) \otimes_A x = f(x+I)$$

Since the second term of the sum vanishes as $n = \sum i_k m_k$ for $i_k \in I, m_k \in M$, so

$$(1+I)\otimes_A \sum i_k m_k = \sum (i_k+I)\otimes_A m_k = \sum (0+I)\otimes_A m_k = 0$$

Therefore the application f is well-defined.

If we pick $a' \sim_A a \Rightarrow a' = a + i$ for $i \in I$ and we have

$$g((a'+I) \otimes_A y) = (a+i)y + IM = ay + iy + IM = ay + IM = g((a+I) \otimes_A y)$$

proving that the application is well defined.

It is trivial to check that f and g satisfy all the necessary conditions in order to be morphisms of modules, since only quotients, multiplications and tensor products are involved.

Finally we see $f \circ g = Id$ and $g \circ f = Id$:

$$f(g((a+I)\otimes_A y)) = f(ay+IM) = (1+I)\otimes_A ay = (a+I)\otimes_A y \qquad \Rightarrow \quad f\circ g = Id$$

$$g(f(x+IM)) = g((1+I)\otimes_A x) = x+IM \qquad \Rightarrow \quad g\circ f = Id$$

finishing the proof.

11 Let A be a ring and $I, J \subseteq A$ ideals. Prove

$$A/I \otimes_A A/J \cong A/(I+J)$$

We construct the following maps and see that they are well-defined

$$f: A/I \otimes_A A/J \to A/(I+J)$$

$$g: A/(I+J) \to A/I \otimes_A A/J$$

$$(x+I) \otimes_A (y+J) \mapsto xy + (I+J)$$

$$z + (I+J) \mapsto (z+I) \otimes_A (1+J)$$

If we pick $x' \sim x$ and $y' \sim y$ that means x' = x + i, y' = y + j with $i \in I, j \in J$ and we have

$$f((x'+I) \otimes (y'+J)) = x'y' + (I+J) = xy + iy' + x'j + ij + I + J = xy + (I+J) = f((x+I) \otimes (y+J))$$

so f is well-defined.

If we pick $z' \sim z$ that means z' = z + i + j with $i \in I, j \in J$ and we have

$$g(z' + (I + J)) = (z' + I) \otimes (1 + J) = (z + i + j + I) \otimes (1 + J) = z \otimes_A (1 + J) + (i + I) \otimes (1 + J) + (j + I) \otimes_A (1 + J) = (z + I) \otimes_A (1 + J) + (0 + I) \otimes_A (1 + J) + (1 + I) \otimes_A (0 + J) = g(z + (I + J))$$

It is trivial to check that f and g satisfy all the necessary conditions in order to be morphisms of modules, since only quotients, multiplications and tensor products are involved.

Finally we see $f \circ g = Id$ and $g \circ f = Id$:

$$f(g(z+(I+J))) = f((z+I) \otimes_A (1+J)) = z + (I+J) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad f \circ g = Id$$

$$g(f((x+I) \otimes_A (y+J))) = g(xy+(I+J)) = (xy+I) \otimes (1+J) = (x+I) \otimes_A (y+J) \Rightarrow \qquad g \circ f = Id$$
 finishing the proof.

- 12 Let A be a ring, M, N finitely generated A- modules. Prove:
 - 1. $M \otimes_A N$ is a finitely generated A-module
 - 2. If A is Noetherian, then $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M,N)$ is a finitely generated A-module
- 13 Let A be a local ring, M, N finitely generated A-modules. Prove that

$$M \otimes_A N = 0 \iff (M = 0 \text{ \'o } N = 0)$$

← Trivial

 \implies Let $k := A/\mathfrak{m}$ a field. We make use of the following facts:

- (1) $k \otimes_A M \cong M/\mathfrak{m}M$ (exercise 10 with $I = \mathfrak{m}$)
- (2) $k \otimes_A (M \otimes_A N) = \cong (k \otimes_A M) \otimes_k (k \otimes_A M)$. proof this

We have the following implications

$$M \otimes_A N = 0 \Rightarrow k \otimes_A (M \otimes_A N) = 0 \Rightarrow (k \otimes_A M) \otimes_k (k \otimes_A N) = 0 \Rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M \otimes_k N/\mathfrak{m}M$$

And since $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ and $N/\mathfrak{m}N$ are both finite vector spaces with dimension m and n respectively, then the tensor product is the usual tensor product of vector spaces, with dimension nm, that only vanishes if $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ or $N/\mathfrak{m}N$ is zero.

Without loss of generality say $M/\mathfrak{m}M=0$, meaning $\mathfrak{m}M=M$. Since A is local, then it only has \mathfrak{m} as maximal ideal, and thus the Jacobson ideal is precisely \mathfrak{m} and we can apply Nakayama's lemma, meaning $\mathfrak{m}M=M\Rightarrow M=0$, finishing the proof.

14 Let M be a finitely generated A-module and let $S \subseteq A$ be a multiplicatively closed set. Prove that

$$S^{-1}M = 0 \iff \exists s \in S : sM = 0$$

Suppose $M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. We prove both implications.

 \sqsubseteq Say $\exists s^* \in S$ such that sM = 0. Then $\frac{m}{t} \sim \frac{m'}{t'} \iff \exists s \in S : smt' = sm't$. Setting $s = s^*$ we have zero in both sides, as sM = 0, concluding the only element is 0.

 \implies Since the module $S^{-1}M=0$, then any fraction of the form $\frac{x_i}{1}\sim \frac{0}{1}$. From this fact for each x_i we can find an s_i such that $s_ix_i=0$. Considering the element $s^*=\prod_{i=1}^n s_i\in S$, we have that $s^*x_i=0$, and thus, because every element of M can be expressed as the sum $m=\sum_{i=1}^n a_ix_i$, then $s^*m=\sum_{i=1}^n a_is^*x_i=0$, and $s^*M=0$.

15 Let $S \subseteq A$ be a multiplicatively closed set. Prove that the localization functor $S^{-1}(-)$ is exact.

Exactness means that for every short exact sequence, the sequence induced by the functor $S^{-1}(-)$ $f'(\frac{m}{s}) := \frac{f(m)}{s}$ is also exact.

$$0 \longrightarrow M' \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} M \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} M'' \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow S^{-1}M' \stackrel{f'}{\longrightarrow} S^{-1}M \stackrel{g'}{\longrightarrow} S^{-1}M'' \longrightarrow 0$$

This is, if f is injective, g surjective and $\text{Im}(f) = \ker(g)$, then f' is injective, g' is surjective and $\text{Im}(f') = \ker(g')$.

f' injective We prove that ker(f') = 0. Indeed

$$f'(\frac{m}{s}) = 0 \iff \frac{f(m)}{s} = 0 \iff \exists t \in S : tf(m) = 0$$

But since f is an A-module morphism and $t \in S \subseteq A$, then 0 = tf(m) = f(tm), which means tm = 0 by injectivity of f. Thus $\frac{m}{s} = \frac{0}{1}$ and the kernel is the zero module.

g' surjective Let $\frac{m''}{s} \in S^{-1}M''$, we want to prove the existence of $\frac{m}{s} \in M$ such that $g(\frac{m}{s}) = \frac{m''}{s}$. But this trivially holds setting m such that g(m) = m'', which is well-defined by surjectivity of the g. We check indeed $g(\frac{m}{s}) = \frac{g(m)}{s} = \frac{m''}{s}$.

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Im}(f')\subseteq \ker(g')}$$
 We consider $f'(\frac{m}{s})=\frac{f(m)}{s}\in \mathrm{Im}(f')$, then $g'(f'(\frac{m}{s}))=\frac{g(f(m))}{s}=\frac{0}{s}=\frac{0}{1}$.

 $\ker(g') \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(f')$ We will prove that if $g'(\frac{m}{s}) = 0$, then $\frac{m}{s}$ is element of the image of f'.

$$g'(\frac{m}{s}) = \frac{0}{1} \Rightarrow \exists t \in S : tg(m) = g(tm) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists m' : f(m') = tm$$

where the last condition arises from the exactness of the original sequence. Considering the element $\frac{m'}{ts} \in S^{-1}M'$, we see that $f'(\frac{m'}{ts}) = \frac{f(m')}{ts} = \frac{tm}{ts} = \frac{m}{s}$ concluding the proof.