

1 Approximation Algorithm II Homework 1

A Primal-dual algorithm for set cover

Set cover problem: $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a set, $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m \subset E$, and w_j is a non-negative weight for S_j . The goal is to minimize weighted collection of S_j 's containing all E , i.e. find a minimal cover of E .

Consider the following LP:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \cdot w_j \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \\ & \sum_{j: e_i \in S_j} x_j \geq 1, \quad i = 1 \dots n \\ & x_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1 \dots m \end{aligned}$$

Problem 1.1 *What is the dual of this LP?*

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \\ & \sum_{i: e_i \in S_j} y_i \leq w_j, \quad j = 1 \dots m \\ & y_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1 \dots n \end{aligned}$$

□

The primal-dual algorithm

1. $y \leftarrow 0$
2. $I \leftarrow \emptyset$
3. while there is $e_i \notin I$
 - increase y_i until a l hit boundary: $\sum_{j: e_j \in S_l} y_j = w_l$
 - $I \leftarrow I \cup \{S_l\}$
4. return I

Problem 1.2 *In how many iterations of the while loop can a given dual variable be increased?*

Solution: We assume $\cup S_j = E$ or the problem is not solvable. Then any y_i must cause some $\sum_{j:e_j \in S_i} y_j$ increase.

Note if increasing y_i can make $\sum_{j:e_j \in S_i} y_j$ increase, we must have $e_i \in S_i$. Hence in each iteration, at least one un-covered e_i is added into the sub cover I . So it terminates in at most n times. \square

Problem 1.3 Using Q2, argue the algorithm terminates to a solution.

Solution: It terminate only when all $e_i \in I$, which is a solution. \square

Approximation Ratio Assume each $e_i \in E$ can appear in at most f sets among S_j 's.

Problem 1.4 Recall a tight lower bound between the value of the optimal fractional solution for the dual $val(y^*)$ and the value of the optimal integral solution for the set cover problem OPT .

Solution: Note the fractional optimal x^* of primal equals to fractional dual

$$val(x^*) = val(y^*)$$

and x^* is the relaxation of the integral problem, so,

$$val(y^*) = val(x^*) \leq OPT$$

.

\square

Problem 1.5 Argue that the solution y is feasible for the dual.

Solution: In the while loop, any increasement will not violate the constraints, so the y_i 's are remaining feasible. \square

Problem 1.6 Combine Q4 and Q5, recall a tight lower bound between $val(y)$ and OPT .

Solution: By definition $val(y) \leq val(y^*)$, hence

$$val(y) \leq val(x^*) \leq OPT$$

.

\square

In the following, we want to show:

$$\sum_{j:S_j \in I} w_j \leq f \cdot val(y)$$

Problem 1.7 Consider $S_j \in I$, what is the relationship between w_j and $\sum_{i:e_i \in S_j} y_i$?

Solution: By the definition of the algorithm, S_j is included only if $\sum_{i:e_i \in S_j} y_i = w_j$ becomes tight. i.e. the equality holds. \square

Problem 1.8 Using Q7, give the relationship between $\sum_{j \in I} w_j$ and y_i .

Solution:

$$\sum_{j \in I} w_j = \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{i:e_i \in S_j} y_i$$

\square

Problem 1.9 Recall $|\{j : e_i \in S_j\}| \leq f$, using Q8, prove $\sum_{j \in I} w_j \leq f \cdot \text{val}(y)$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in I} w_j &= \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{i:e_i \in S_j} y_i \\ &= \sum_i \left(\sum_{j \in I} 1_{e_i \in S_j} \right) y_i \\ &\leq \sum_i f \cdot y_i \\ &= f \cdot \text{val}(y) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Problem 1.10 Conclude using Q6 and Q9.

Solution: From Q9, we have $\text{val}(X) = \sum_{j \in I} w_j \leq f \cdot \text{val}(y)$, and from Q6 we have $\text{val}(y) \leq \text{OPT}$, so we have

$$\text{val}(X) \leq f \cdot \text{OPT}$$

\square