

The Embedding Path Order for λ -free higher-order terms

Alexander Bentkamp

Recursive Path Order (FOL)

Let $t >_{\text{fo}} s$ if
for $t = g(\bar{t})$ and $s = f(\bar{s})$

1.

or

2.

or

3.

Recursive Path Order (FOL)

Let $t >_{fo} s$ if
for $t = g(\bar{t})$ and $s = f(\bar{s})$

1.

or

2. $g \succ f$,

or

3. $g = f$, $\bar{t} >>_{fo} \bar{s}$,



RPO's nature

Recursive Path Order (FOL)

Let $t >_{fo} s$ if
for $t = g(\bar{t})$ and $s = f(\bar{s})$

RPO's duty
(for the subterm property)

1. $t' \geq_{fo} s$ for some term $t' \in \bar{t}$

or

2. $g \succ f$,

or

3. $g = f$, $\bar{t} >>_{fo} \bar{s}$,

RPO's nature

Recursive Path Order (FOL)

Let $t >_{fo} s$ if
for $t = g(\bar{t})$ and $s = f(\bar{s})$

RPO's duty
(for the subterm property)

1. $t' \geq_{fo} s$ for some term $t' \in \bar{t}$

or

2. $g \succ f$,

and $t >_{fo} s'$ for all $s' \in \bar{s}$

or

3. $g = f$, $\bar{t} \gg_{fo} \bar{s}$,

and $t >_{fo} s'$ for all $s' \in \bar{s}$

RPO's nature

Irreflexivity check

Applicative FOL

First-order signature
with only one function symbol @

$$\begin{aligned} & @(@(\text{map}, X), @(@(\text{cons}, Y), Z)) \\ &= @(@(\text{cons}, @(\text{X}, Y)), @(@(\text{map}, X), Z)) \end{aligned}$$

Applicative FOL

First-order signature
with only one function symbol @

$$\begin{aligned} & @(@(\text{map}, X), @(@(\text{cons}, Y), Z)) \\ &= @(@(\text{cons}, @(X, Y)), @(@(\text{map}, X), Z)) \end{aligned}$$

λ -free HOL

Higher-order terms
without λ -expressions

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map } X (\text{cons } Y Z) \\ &= \text{cons } (X Y) (\text{map } X Z) \end{aligned}$$

Applicative FOL

First-order signature
with only one function symbol @

$@(@(\text{map}, X), @(@(\text{cons}, Y), Z))$
 $= @(@(\text{cons}, @(X, Y)), @(@(\text{map}, X), Z))$

RPO is weak because most heads are @

λ -free HOL

Higher-order terms
without λ -expressions

$\text{map } X (\text{cons } Y Z)$
 $= \text{cons } (X Y) (\text{map } X Z)$

Applicative FOL

First-order signature
with only one function symbol @

$$\begin{aligned} & @(@(\text{map}, X), @(@(\text{cons}, Y), Z)) \\ &= @(@(\text{cons}, @(X, Y)), @(@(\text{map}, X), Z)) \end{aligned}$$

RPO is weak because most heads are @

λ -free HOL

Higher-order terms
without λ -expressions

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map } X (\text{cons } Y Z) \\ &= \text{cons } (X Y) (\text{map } X Z) \end{aligned}$$

RPO using the “real” heads?

Recursive Path Order for λ -free HOL (Blanchette et al., 2017)

Let $t >_{\text{ho}} s$ if
for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

1.

or

2.

or

3.

Recursive Path Order for λ -free HOL (Blanchette et al., 2017)

Let $t >_{\text{ho}} s$ if
for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

1.

or

2. $\xi > \zeta$,

or

3. $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t} >>_{\text{ho}} \bar{s}$,

Recursive Path Order for λ -free HOL (Blanchette et al., 2017)

Let $t >_{\text{ho}} s$ if

for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

1. $t' \geq_{\text{ho}} s$ for some term $t' \in \bar{t}$

or

2. $\xi \succ \zeta$,

or

3. $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t} \gg_{\text{ho}} \bar{s}$,

Recursive Path Order for λ -free HOL (Blanchette et al., 2017)

Let $t >_{\text{ho}} s$ if
for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

1. $t' \geq_{\text{ho}} s$ for some term $t' \in \bar{t}$

or

2. $\xi > \zeta$,

and $t >_{\text{ho}} s'$ for all $s' \in \bar{s}$

or

3. $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t} >>_{\text{ho}} \bar{s}$,

and $t >_{\text{ho}} s'$ for all $s' \in \bar{s}$

Recursive Path Order for λ -free HOL (Blanchette et al., 2017)

Let $t >_{\text{ho}} s$ if
for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

1. $t' \geq_{\text{ho}} s$ for some term $t' \in \bar{t}$

or

2. $\xi > \zeta$, $\text{vars}(t) \supseteq \text{vars}(\zeta)$
and $t >_{\text{ho}} s'$ for all $s' \in \bar{s}$

or

3. $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t} >>_{\text{ho}} \bar{s}$,
and $t >_{\text{ho}} s'$ for all $s' \in \bar{s}$

Only modification:
condition for
variable heads

Properties

- ✦ Coincides with first-order RPO on first-order terms
- ✦ Almost a ground-total simplification order, except for compatibility with arguments:

$$t >_{\text{ho}} s \not\Rightarrow t\ u >_{\text{ho}} s\ u$$

Properties

- ✦ Coincides with first-order RPO on first-order terms
- ✦ Almost a ground-total simplification order, except for compatibility with arguments:

$$t >_{\text{ho}} s \not\Rightarrow t\ u >_{\text{ho}} s\ u$$

Challenge: Find a
ground-total simplification order
for λ -free HOL
that resembles RPO

Embedding

Embedding step \rightarrow_{emb}

Replace a subterm of the form **s t** by **s** or by **t**

For example:

$$f(g\ a)(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g(h\ b)$$

Embedding

Embedding step \rightarrow_{emb}

Replace a subterm of the form **s t** by **s** or by **t**

For example:

$$f(g\ a)(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g\ b$$

Embedding

Embedding step \rightarrow_{emb}

Replace a subterm of the form **s t** by **s** or by **t**

For example:

$$f(g\ a)(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g\ b \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ b$$

Embedding

Embedding step \rightarrow_{emb}

Replace a subterm of the form **s t** by **s** or by **t**

For example:

$$f(g\ a)(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g\ b \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ b \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} b$$

Embedding

Embedding step \rightarrow_{emb}

Replace a subterm of the form **s t** by **s** or by **t**

For example:

$$f(g\ a)(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g\ b \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ b \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} b$$

Embedding relation $\triangleright_{\text{emb}}$

Transitive closure of \rightarrow_{emb}

For example:

$$f(g\ a)(h\ b) \triangleright_{\text{emb}} f\ b$$

Embedding

Embedding step \rightarrow_{emb}

Replace a subterm of the form **s t** by **s** or by **t**

For example:

$$f(g\ a)(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g(h\ b) \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ g\ b \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} f\ b \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} b$$

Embedding relation $\triangleright_{\text{emb}}$

Transitive closure of \rightarrow_{emb}

For example:

$$f(g\ a)(h\ b) \triangleright_{\text{emb}} f\ b$$

Any simplification order has the embedding property:

$$t \triangleright_{\text{emb}} s \Rightarrow t > s$$

Naive approach

Let $t >_{\text{epo}} s$ if
for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

1.

or

2.

or

3.

Naive approach

Let $t >_{\text{epo}} s$ if
for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

1.

or

2. $\xi > \zeta$

or

3. $\xi = \zeta, \bar{t} >>_{\text{epo}} \bar{s},$

Naive approach

Let $t >_{\text{epo}} s$ if
for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

1. $t' \geq_{\text{epo}} s$ for some term t' with $t \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} t'$

or

2. $\xi > \zeta$

or

3. $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t} >>_{\text{epo}} \bar{s}$,

Naive approach

Let $t >_{\text{epo}} s$ if
for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

1. $t' \geq_{\text{epo}} s$ for some term t' with $t \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} t'$

or

2. $\xi > \zeta$

or

and $t >_{\text{epo}} s'$ for all s' with $s \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} s'$

3. $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t} >>_{\text{epo}} \bar{s}$,

and $t >_{\text{epo}} s'$ for all s' with $s \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} s'$

Naive approach

Let $t >_{\text{epo}} s$ if
for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

1. $t' \geq_{\text{epo}} s$ for some term t' with $t \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} t'$

or

2. $\xi > \zeta$

or

and $t >_{\text{epo}} s'$ for all s' with $s \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} s'$

3. $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t} >>_{\text{epo}} \bar{s}$,

and $t >_{\text{epo}} s'$ for all s' with $s \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} s'$

Can be made to work

(with some modifications for applied variables)

Naive approach

Let $t >_{\text{epo}} s$ if

for $t = \xi \bar{t}$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}$

But: Many terms to be checked



1. $t' \geq_{\text{epo}} s$ for some term t' with $t \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} t'$

or

2. $\xi > \zeta$

or

and $t >_{\text{epo}} s'$ for all s' with $s \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} s'$

3. $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t} >>_{\text{epo}} \bar{s}$,

and $t >_{\text{epo}} s'$ for all s' with $s \rightarrow_{\text{emb}} s'$

Can be made to work

(with some modifications for applied variables)

Chop

Definition:

$$\text{chop}(\zeta\ t_1\ \dots\ t_n) = t_1\ \dots\ t_n \quad (\text{only defined if } n > 0)$$

For example:

$$\text{chop}(f\ (g\ a)\ (h\ b)) = g\ a\ (h\ b)$$

Embedding Path Order

$t >_{\text{ep}} s$ for $t = \xi \bar{t}_n$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}_m$ if

1.

or

2.

or

3.

Embedding Path Order

$t >_{\text{ep}} s$ for $t = \xi \bar{t}_n$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}_m$ if

1.

or

2.

$$\xi > \zeta,$$

or

3.

$$\xi = \zeta, \bar{t}_n >>_{\text{ep}} \bar{s}_m,$$

Embedding Path Order

$t >_{\text{ep}} s$ for $t = \xi \bar{t}_n$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}_m$ if

1. $n > 0$ and $\text{chop}(t) \geq_{\text{ep}} s$

or

2. $\xi > \zeta,$

or

3. $\xi = \zeta, \bar{t}_n >>_{\text{ep}} \bar{s}_m,$

Embedding Path Order

$t >_{\text{ep}} s$ for $t = \xi \bar{t}_n$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}_m$ if

1. $n > 0$ and $\text{chop}(t) \geq_{\text{ep}} s$

or

2.

$\xi > \zeta$,

and either $m = 0$ or $t >_{\text{ep}} \text{chop}(s)$

or

3.

$\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t}_n >>_{\text{ep}} \bar{s}_m$,

and either $m = 0$ or $t >_{\text{ep}} \text{chop}(s)$

Embedding Path Order

$t >_{\text{ep}} s$ for $t = \xi \bar{t}_n$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}_m$ if

1. $n > 0$ and $\text{chop}(t) \geq_{\text{ep}} s$

or

2. $\xi, \zeta \in \Sigma$, $\xi > \zeta$,

and either $m = 0$ or $t >_{\text{ep}} \text{chop}(s)$

or

3. $\xi, \zeta \in \Sigma$, $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t}_n >>_{\text{ep}} \bar{s}_m$,

and either $m = 0$ or $t >_{\text{ep}} \text{chop}(s)$

Embedding Path Order

$t >_{\text{ep}} s$ for $t = \xi \bar{t}_n$ and $s = \zeta \bar{s}_m$ if

1. $n > 0$ and $\text{chop}(t) \geq_{\text{ep}} s$

or

2. $\xi, \zeta \in \Sigma$, $\xi > \zeta$,

and either $m = 0$ or $t >_{\text{ep}} \text{chop}(s)$

or

3. $\xi, \zeta \in \Sigma$, $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t}_n >>_{\text{ep}} \bar{s}_m$,

and either $m = 0$ or $t >_{\text{ep}} \text{chop}(s)$

or

4. $\xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{V}$, $\xi = \zeta$, $\bar{t}_n >>_{\text{ep}} \bar{s}_m$, $n > 0$,

and either $m = 0$ or $\text{chop}(t) >_{\text{ep}} \text{chop}(s)$

Properties

- ✦ RPO-like, ground-total simplification order
(verified in Isabelle)
- ✦ Worst runtime of $\text{size}(s) * \text{size}(t) * \text{depth}(s) * \text{depth}(t)$
(implemented in Zipperposition)

Properties

- ✦ RPO-like, ground-total simplification order (verified in Isabelle)
- ✦ Worst runtime of $\text{size}(s) * \text{size}(t) * \text{depth}(s) * \text{depth}(t)$ (implemented in Zipperposition)

Questions to you:

- ✦ Is it a known fact that any simplification order has the embedding property?
- ✦ Could this order be useful in other contexts outside of superposition?