Lab 2: Q1-Q3 Solutions

Part 1: Broken Rulers

(a) Find the conditional expectation of Y given X, E(Y|X).

Once a value X = x is given, Y is distributed uniformly in [0, x]. Given that the conditional distribution of Y is uniform, the conditional expectation will be the average of the maximum and minimum values of Y. In other words:

$$E(Y|X=x) = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{2}}$$

(b) Find the unconditional expectation of Y.

Using the law of iterated expectation, we have,

$$E(Y) = E(E(Y|X)) = E(\frac{X}{2}) = \frac{E(X)}{2}$$

Since X is uniformly distributed on [0,1], its expectation is at the midpoint of this interval. Therefore,

$$E(Y) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

(c) Write down the expression for the joint probably density function of X and Y, $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$.

It is clear that the probability density is only positive for $0 \le y \le x \le 1$. In this region, we use the multiplication rule:

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x) * f_{Y|X}(y|x)$$

= $1 * \frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{x}$

This gives the final answer,

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x}, & 0 \le y \le x \le 1\\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

(d) Find the conditional probably density function of X given Y, $f_{X|Y}$.

We first need to find the marginal distribution of Y. In the region $0 \le y \le 1$, we have:

$$f_Y(y) = \int_0^1 f_{X,Y}(x,y)dx$$

$$= \int_0^y f_{X,Y}(x,y)dx + \int_y^1 f_{X,Y}(x,y)$$

$$= 0 + \int_y^1 \frac{1}{x}dx$$

$$= \left[ln(x)\right]_y^1$$

$$= -ln(y)$$

Outside of [0, 1], the marginal probability density of Y is 0. We now have all the components needed to find the conditional PDF, which is only defined for $y \in [0, 1]$:

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{\frac{1}{x}}{-ln(y)}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\mathbf{xln(y)}}$$

(e) Find the expectation of X given that $Y = \frac{1}{2}$, $E(X|Y = \frac{1}{2})$.

We first find the conditional probability distribution of X when $Y = \frac{1}{2}$:

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y=1/2) = -\frac{1}{xln(\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{1}{xln(2)}$$

Now we can find the conditional expectation:

$$E(X|Y = 1/2) = \int_{1/2}^{1} x \times \frac{1}{x \ln(2)} dx$$

$$= \int_{1/2}^{1} \frac{1}{\ln(2)} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\ln(2)} \left[x \right]_{1/2}^{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2 \ln(2)}$$

$$= \mathbf{0.7213}$$

Part 2: Investing

Let P represent total payoff, P = aA + bB + cC for our investments a + b + c = 1. We want to minimize var(P). Before determining the minimum, let's expand var(P) in terms of A, B, and C:

$$var(P) = var(aA + bB + cC) = a^{2}var(A) + b^{2}var(B) + c^{2}var(C)$$

We can now represent var(P) in terms of the variance of only one of the returns. Let's represent it as a function of only var(A):

$$var(P) = a^{2}var(A) + b^{2}var(B) + c^{2}var(C) = a^{2}var(A) + \frac{1}{2}b^{2}var(A) + \frac{1}{3}c^{2}var(A) = (a^{2} + \frac{b^{2}}{2} + \frac{c^{2}}{3})var(A)$$

We want to minimize this quantity subject to the constraint a + b + c = 1. One especially elegant way to do this is with a Lagrange multiplier:

$$F = a^{2} + \frac{b^{2}}{2} + \frac{c^{2}}{3} + \lambda(a+b+c-1),$$

where λ is the Lagrange multiplier. To solve for this, we set

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial c} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda} = 0$$

and arrive at the following set of equations:

$$2a + \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow a = -\frac{\lambda}{2}$$
$$b + \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow b = -\lambda$$
$$\frac{2c}{3} + \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow c = -\frac{3\lambda}{2}$$
$$a + b + c - 1 = 0$$

Solving these,

$$-\frac{\lambda}{2} - \lambda - \frac{3\lambda}{2} - 1 = 0$$

$$-\frac{6\lambda}{2} = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = -\frac{1}{3}$$

This gives a minimum at $\left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. We may also compute the variance for these values:

$$var(P) = \left(\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2}{2} + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{3}\right)var(A)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{36} + \frac{1}{18} + \frac{1}{12}\right)var(A)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1+2+3}{36}\right)var(A)$$

$$= \frac{var(A)}{6}$$

Part 3: Turtles

(a) Write down the likelihood function, $l(\theta)$ in terms of $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$.

Since the lifespan of an individual turtle is normally distributed on $[0, \theta]$, the probability density function for each y_i is given by,

$$f(y_i, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta}, & 0 \le y_i \le \theta \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Since we have a random sample, the y_i are independent, and we can write the joint probability density as the product of the marginal probability densities,

$$l(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_Y(y_i|\theta)$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n}, & \theta \ge max(y_i) \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

(b) Based on the previous result, what is $\hat{\theta_{ml}}$, the maximum-likelihood estimator of θ ?

Examining the previous result, $l(\theta)$ is positive but decreasing for $\theta \geq max(y_i)$. Therefore,

$$\hat{\theta_{ml}} = \max(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

(c) For the simple case that n=1, what is the expectation of $\hat{\theta_{ml}}$, given θ ?

We can determine the expectation based on the result from part (b):

$$E(\hat{\theta_{ml}}) = E(max(y_1))$$

$$= E(y_1)$$

$$= \frac{\theta}{2}$$

(d) Is the MLE biased?

For the n=1 case, the MLE is biased since $E(\hat{\theta_{ml}}) \neq \theta$. The bias in this case is $-\frac{\theta}{2}$.

(e) For the more general case that $n \ge 1$, what is the expectation of $\hat{\theta_{ml}}$?

We now compute the general expectation of $\hat{\theta_{ml}}$. For notational simplicity, let $M = max(y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Let f_M be the probability density function of this variable, and F_M be the cumulative distribution.

Let's first find the cumulative distribution of M. For $0 \le m \le \theta$, we have:

$$\begin{split} F_{M}(m) &= P(\max(y_{1},...,y_{n}) < m) \\ &= P(y_{1} < z,...,y_{n} < m) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(y_{i} < m) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m}{\theta} \\ &= \frac{m^{n}}{\theta^{n}} \end{split}$$

Giving the result,

$$F_M(m) = \begin{cases} 0, & m < 0 \\ \frac{m^n}{\theta^n}, & 0 \le m \le \theta \\ 1, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Taking the derivative,

$$f_M(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{nz^{n-1}}{\theta^n}, & 0 \le m \le \theta \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Finally, we can compute the expectation,

$$E(Z) = \int_0^\theta m f_M(m) dm$$

$$= \int_0^\theta m \cdot \frac{nm^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dm$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \int_0^\theta m^n dm$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \left[\frac{m^{n+1}}{n+1} \right]_0^\theta$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \frac{\theta^{n+1}}{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{n\theta}{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{\theta}{1 + \frac{1}{n}}$$

We can check that for n=1 this is indeed $\frac{\theta}{2}$. We can also check the bias in the general case which is now given by the expression $-\frac{\theta}{n+1}$.

(f) Is the MLE consistent?

Given a positive ϵ , we can check that

$$P(|\theta - \hat{\theta_{ml}}| > \epsilon) = P(\hat{\theta_{ml}} < \theta - \epsilon) = F_M(\theta - \epsilon) \le \frac{(\theta - \epsilon)^n}{\theta^n}$$

This tends to 0 as $n - > \infty$. Thus, the MLE is consistent.