DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

Madison, Wisconsin 53706

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 591

November, 1979

THE BIRTHDAY PROBLEM WITH UNEQUAL PROBABILITIES

by MM = MM = MM = MM

Jerome Klotz University of Wisconsin, Madison

This research was supported in part by NIH Grant 5 RO1 CA 18332-04 and U.S. Army Contract DAAG29-75-C-0024.

AMS 1979 subject classification. Primary 60C05; Secondary 6001, 6004

by

Jerome Klotz University of Wisconsin at Madison

The probability that r people have all birthdays different is estimated for r=1(1)25. A representation in terms of symmetric functions makes the computation feasible and birthdays from 41,208 people are used to estimate the unequal probabilities of daily birth. Using these estimates the probabilities of no repetition are computed.

1. <u>Introduction</u>. Introductory probability books often consider the problem of matching and illustrate it by calculating the probability of no repeated birthdays in various sized groups (e.g. Feller (1957) p. 31, 32 or Parzen (1960) p. 46, 47). For N categories and r items selected at random with replacement (e.g. r birthdays, N = 365)

$$P(A_r) = (N)_r/N^r = N(N-1)...(N-r+1)/N^r$$
 (1.1)

is the probability of the event A_r of no repeated categories among r items assuming equiprobable selection (probability 1/N). For N = 365, $P(A_r) > 1/2(<1/2)$ for $r < 23(\ge 23)$ and bettors have often taken advantage of this unintuitive result.

In reality there are 366 possible birthdays (including February 29) and natality differs with day of the year as well as geographic location. The question arises how this affects the odds.

2. The unequal probability case. Let p_k , k = 1,2,...,N be the probability of selection from category k. Then for this case

$$P(A_r) = r! \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 \dots < i_r \le N} p_{i_1} p_{i_2 \dots p_{i_r}}$$

$$(2.1)$$

where the sum is over all possible subscripts i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r taking integer values with $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_r \le N$. The total of $\binom{N}{r}$ such terms can be exceedingly large. For N = 366, r = 23 the total is exactly

17 41860 69523 59682 84110 50555 87492 48400

and practical calculation using (2.1) is impossible even on the fastest computers.

3. A symmetric function representation. The symmetric functions

$$a_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}$$
 and
$$S_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}$$

$$a_{2} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} p_{i} p_{j}$$

$$S_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}^{2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{r} = \sum_{1 \leq i, 1 < i, 2 < \dots < i, r \leq N} p_{i} p_{i}^{2} \dots i_{r}$$

$$S_{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}^{r}$$

can be related to each other (Girard (1629) and MacMahon (1915) p. 6). The expression in terms of S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_r is

$$P(A_{r}) = r!a_{r} = r! \sum_{0 \le t_{1}, t_{2}, \dots, t_{r} \le r} \sum_{j=1}^{r+\Sigma t_{j}} (x_{j})^{t_{j}} (t_{j}!)$$

$$\sum_{j} jt_{j} = r$$
(3.1)

and can be derived from the generating function for a as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - a_1 x + a_2 x^2 - \ldots + (-1)^N a_N x^N = (1 - p_1 x)(1 - p_2 x) \ldots (1 - p_n x) \\ & = \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln(1 - p_i x) \right] = \exp \left[-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (p_i x)^j / j \right] \\ & = \exp \left[-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j x^j / j \right] = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{t_j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{t_j} (S_j x^j / j)^{t_j} / t_j! \right] \\ & = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{0 \le t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_r \le r} (-1)^{\sum t_j} \prod_{j=1}^{r} (S_j / j)^{t_j} / t_j! \right] x^r . \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{N} j t_j = r \end{aligned}$$

Multiplication of exponential series gives the last expression and equating coefficients of x^r gives (3.1). The computation of (3.1) is illustrated for r = 6 in Table 1 and involved the generation of all possible partitions of r.

A considerable computational reduction occurs using (3.1) vs. (2.1). In particular (3.1) has only 1255 terms for r = 23 as indicated by tables of the number of unordered partitions in Abramowitz and Stegun (1944) p. 836.

TABLE 1

Systematic Computation of $P(A_r)$ for r = 6.

Partitions of r = (V ₁ ,V ₂ ,,V _r)	$t = (t_1, t_2,, t_r)$ where $t_j = \#(V_i = j)$	$r!(-1)$ $r+\Sigma t$ j $j=1$ r t j j j				
111111	600000	nolidays having teser delive				
2 1 1 1 1 0	4 1 0 0 0 0	A reason of Transport				
2 2 1 1 0 0	2 2 0 0 0 0	45				
2 2 2 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 0 0	asyte Stellar 15 aver ut bett				
3 1 1 1 0 0	3 0 1 0 0 0	40				
3 2 1 0 0 0	111000	-120				
3 3 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 0	40				
4 1 1 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 0 0	- 90				
4 2 0 0 0 0	010100	90				
5 1 0 0 0 0	100010	144				
6 0 0 0 0 0	000001	-120				

 $\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{A}_6) = \mathsf{S_1}^6 - 15\mathsf{S_1}^4 \mathsf{S_2} + 45\mathsf{S_1}^2 \mathsf{S_2}^2 - 15\mathsf{S_2}^3 + 40\mathsf{S_1}^3 \mathsf{S_3} - 120\mathsf{S_1} \mathsf{S_2} \mathsf{S_3} + 40\mathsf{S_3}^2 - 90\mathsf{S_1}^2 \mathsf{S_4} + 90\mathsf{S_2} \mathsf{S_4} + 144\mathsf{S_1} \mathsf{S_5} - 120\mathsf{S_6}$

4. Estimating unequal birth probabilities. The number of births on a given day of a year depends on many factors. Some of these factors are geographic location, economic conditions, weather, war, random events (e.g. power failures in New York, blizzards in Chicago), and medical practice. Rindfuss et al (1979) give convincing evidence that physician convenience is an important factor in dates of birth with Sundays and holidays having fewer deliveries.

A reasonably representative collection of birthdays to estimate p_i , i = 1, 2, ..., 366 was obtained from 41,208 Wisconsin residents who died in 1975. Table 2 gives numbers by day for each month.

TABLE 2 Birthdays of 41,208 Wisconsin Residents

								. 2 910					
Day		Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
1		142	107	131	118	106	121	110	121	119	104	128	102
2		95	155	125	112	104	104	107	99	108	106	110	107
3	9	100	114	123	136	105	99	107	99	117	111	98	100
4		126	119	128	126	139	106	138	115	116	104	116	99
5	-	108	117	103	111	131	98	110	108	131	122	94	129
6	4	114	116	134	122	120	111	110	109	123	120	114	112
7	- Contraction	94	100	111	103	96	108	123	95	127	113	113	96
8		119	116	138	116	115	112	96	137	117	108	105	111
9	-	121	111	121	124	1.02	116	92	102	116	105	101	91
10	and	108	108	113	110	115	107	116	112	99	132	118	109
11	100	99	101	102	138	102	106	99	105	81	110	131	90
12		129	130	128	114	97	123	125	131	132	94	115	117
13		108	115	117	112	115	112	104	109	100	95	100	101
14		117	148	115	132	115	113	108	107	121	123	115	103
15		129	133	136	118	126	113	124	115	134	119	86	107
16		140	101	103	124	119	108	130	137	119	125	93	109
17		116	129	130	131	117	104	103	101	115	109	104	116
18		113	101	123	124	102	116	86	115	119	99	102	130
19		105	105	127	104	113	101	117	118	117	84	101	120
20		106	139	130	105	121	104	120	128	123	119	98	121
21		90	100	119	126	110	104	112	107	116	105	102	110
22		113	139	130	138	106	113	125	126	97	99	111	102
23		94	103	112	118	112	107	115	106	114	103	103	106
24		119	117	138	101	106	117	109	114	132	122	100	143
25		113	113	123	126	98	100	91	115	125	93	105	127
26		73	113	121	112	122	99	128	112	122	109	104	74
27		127	106	124	118	106	130	118	111	112	103	119	91
28		117	147	112	105	132	111	115	116	127	107	103	93
29		109	30	123	107	107	122	123	112	100	103	100	99
30		121	,	109	111	121	119	108	106	111	89	99	83
31		113	ES EVEN	122	no file as	99	f Fore 2	107	107	vet2 n	96	VALUE.	123
nthly	Total	3478	3333	3771	3542	3479	3304	3476	3495	3490	3331	3188	3321

Estimates $p_i = x_i/\sum_j x_j$ where x_i is the number born on the i^{th} day were used to compute $S_1, S_2, ..., S_r$.

5. Probabilities for unequal cell probabilities. Using probability estimates calculated from Table 2, Table 3 gives $P(A_r)$ for r = 1(1)25. Included for comparison is the probability $(365)_r/365^r$ for the case $P_i = 1/365$ and the number of partitions of r. Calculations were carried out on a DEC PDP 11/70 at the University of Wisconsin and are believed accurate to a couple of units in the last decimal.

Thus there appears to be only 2 or 3 units difference in the 3rd decimal from the equiprobable case around the break even point of r=23. It is conjectured that the combination of the additional day (February 29th) counteracts the decrease due to variation in the p_i Munford (1977), Bloom (1973). The main difficulty in calculating with (3.1) is the orderly generation of partitions. Lehmer, in Beckenbach (1964) p. 25, discusses one possible algorithm for their generation.

6. Acknowledgments. Interest in this problem originated at a dinner honoring retiring statistics chairman Gouri Bhattacharyya. A nickel was won by Barbara Klotz from George Box on her bet of no repeated birthdays despite a total of 30 in the group.

Thanks go to Steve Dahlberg as well as the Wisconsin Division of Health, Bureau of Health Statistics for providing the data in Table 2. Conversations with James Sweet and Ron Rindfuss were also helpful.

TABLE 3 August bas . M . xxt wows rdA [[]]

Probability of no repeated birthdays in a group of size r.

r	P(A _r)	(365) _r /365 ^r	No. of partitions of r	
bas lager	1.0000	1.0000	Feller, W. (1957).	
2	.9972	.9973	V and 2sor loca	
3	.9917	.9918	3	
4	.9835	.9836	Sound (18295, Javenta	
5	.9726	.9728	7	
6	.9591	.9595	MacMahon, P. 11 (1915)	
7	.9432	.9438	Press, 21so Che	
8	.9249	.9257	22	
9	.9044	.9054	30	
10	.8819	.8831	42	
11	.8575	.8588	56	
12	.8314	.8331	77	
13	.8038	.8056	101	
14	.7749	.7769	135	
15	.7449	.7471	176 A	
16	.7140	.7164	231	
17	.6824	.6850	297	
18	.6503	.6531	385	
19	.6180	.6209	490	
20	.5856	.5886	627	
21	.5533	.5563	792	
22	.5212	.5243	1002	
23	.4896	.4927	1255	
24	.4586	.4617	1575	
25	.4283	.4313	1958	

REFERENCES

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, L.A. (1944). <u>Handbook of Mathematical</u>
 <u>Functions</u>, National Bureau of Standards Applied Math Series
 55.
- [2] Beckenbach, F. (1964). Applied Combinational Mathematics, John Wiley, New York.
- [3] Bloom, D.M. (1973). A birthday problem, American Math. Monthly, 80, 1114-2.
- [4] Feller, W. (1957). An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 1, John Wiley, New York.
- [5] Girard (1629). <u>Invention Nouvelle en l'Algebre</u>. Amsterdam.
- [6] MacMahon, P.A. (1915). <u>Combinatory Analysis</u>, Cambridge University Press, also Chelsea, New York, 1960.
- [7] Munford, A.G. (1977). A note on the uniformity assumption in the birthday problem, American Statistician, 31, 119.
- [8] Parzen, E. (1960). Modern Probability Theory, John Wiley, New York.
- [9] Rindfuss, R.R., Ladinsky, J.L., Coppock, E., Marshall, V.W., and Macpherson, A.S. (1979). Convenience and the occurrence of births, Induction of Labor in the United States and Canada, International Journal of Health Services, 9, 439-460.