

A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE ERDOS-GALLAI THEOREM ON GRAPH SEQUENCES

S.A. CHOUDUM

A central theorem in the theory of graphic sequences is due to P. Erdos and T. Gallai. Here, we give a simple proof of this theorem by induction on the sum of the sequence.

THEOREM (Erdos and Gallai [2]):

A sequence $\pi: d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_p$ of non-negative integers, whose sum (say s) is even is graphic if and only if

$$(EG): \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^p \min(d_i, k), \text{ for every } k, 1 \leq k \leq p.$$

The known direct proofs are lengthy (see Harary [3]) while short proofs use the theory of flows in networks (see Berge [1]). Here, we give a simple direct proof. Since the necessary part is easy (see Harary [3]) we prove only sufficiency.

Proof. By induction on s . The theorem holds when $s = 0$ or 2 . Suppose that the theorem is true for sequences whose sum is $s - 2$ and let $\pi: d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_p$ be a sequence whose sum s is even and which

Received 2 May, 1985. The author sincerely thanks Dr. T.V.S. Jagannathan for his valuable comments on the proof.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/86 \$A2.00 + 0.00.

satisfies (EG). There is no loss of generality in assuming $d_p \geq 1$. Let

$t(\geq 1)$ be the smallest integer such that $d_t > d_{t+1}$; if π is regular

then define t to be $p-1$. Consider the sequence

$\pi^* : d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_{t-1} > d_t - 1 \geq d_{t+1} \geq \dots \geq d_{p-1} > d_p - 1$. We verify that π^*

satisfies (EG). So, let k be an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq p$. We split the proof into five cases and prove in each case that π^* satisfies (EG);

we use repeatedly the inequality: $\min(a, b) - 1 \leq \min(a-1, b)$.

(1) $k \geq t$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k d_i - 1 &\leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^p \min(d_j, k) - 1 \quad [\text{by (EG)}] \\ &\leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{p-1} \min(d_j, k) + \min(d_p - 1, k). \end{aligned}$$

(2) $1 \leq k \leq t-1$ and $d_k \leq k-1$.

$$\text{Clearly, } \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = k d_k \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^p \min(d_j, k).$$

(3) $1 \leq k \leq t-1$ and $d_k = k$.

We first observe that $d_{k+2} + \dots + d_p \geq 2$. This is obvious if $k+2 \leq p-1$. If $k+2 \geq p$, then $t = p-1$ and so π is $(p-2)^{p-1}, d_p$. But then, $s = (p-2)(p-1) + d_p$ is even, and hence $d_p \geq 2$.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k d_i &= k^2 - k + d_{k+1} \leq k^2 - k + d_{k+1} + d_{k+2} + \dots + d_{p-2} \\ &\leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1, j \neq t}^{p-1} \min(d_j, k) + \min(d_t - 1, k) + \min(d_p - 1, k). \end{aligned}$$

(4) $1 \leq k \leq t-1$, $d_k \geq k+1$, and $d_p \geq k+1$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^p \min(d_j, k) \quad [\text{by (EG)}]$$

$$= k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1, \neq t}^{p-1} \min(d_j, k) + \min(d_{t-1}, k) + \min(d_{p-1}, k) .$$

$$(\text{since, } \min(d_j, k) = \min(d_{j-1}, k) = k) .$$

$$(5) \quad 1 \leq k \leq t-1, \quad d_k \geq k+1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_p < k+1 .$$

Let r be the smallest integer such that $d_{t+r+1} \leq k$. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d_i = k(k-1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^p \min(d_i, k) , \text{ then we arrive at a contradiction to (EG)}$$

as follows.

We first have,

$$k d_k = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = k(k-1) + (t+r-k)k + \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j = k(t+r-1) + \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j .$$

So,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i = (k+1)d_k = (k+1)(t+r-1) + \frac{k+1}{k} \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j .$$

$$> (k+1)k + (t+r-k-1)(k+1) + \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j , \quad (\text{since } \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j > 0)$$

$$= (k+1)k + \sum_{j=k+2}^p \min(d_j, k+1) .$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^p \min(d_j, k) - 1 \quad [\text{by (EG)}]$$

$$\leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1, \neq t}^{p-1} \min(d_j, k) + \min(d_{t-1}, k) + \min(d_{p-1}, k) .$$

Thus in each case π^* satisfies (EG) and hence by the induction hypothesis it is graphic. Let G be a realization of π^* on the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p . If $(v_t, v_p) \notin E(G)$, then $G + (v_t, v_p)$ is a realization of π . So, let $(v_t, v_p) \in E(G)$. Since

$\deg_G(v_t) = d_t - 1 \leq p - 2$, there is a v_m such that $(v_m, v_t) \notin E(G)$. Since $\deg_G(v_m) \geq \deg_G(v_p)$, there is a v_n such that $(v_m, v_n) \in E(G)$ and $(v_n, v_p) \notin E(G)$. Deleting the edges (v_t, v_p) , (v_m, v_n) and adding the edges (v_t, v_m) , (v_n, v_p) we get a new realization G^* of π^* in which v_t and v_p are non-adjacent. Then $G^* + (v_t, v_p)$ is a realization of π . □

References

- [1] C. Berge, *Graphs and Hypergraphs*, (North Holland Pub. Co., Amsterdam, 1973).
- [2] P. Erdos and T. Gallai, "Graphs with given degrees of vertices", *Mat. Lapok*, 11 (1960), 264-274.
- [3] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, (Addison Wesley, Reading, 1969).

School of Mathematical Sciences,
 Madurai Kamaraj University,
 Madurai - 625 021,
 India.