Homework One, for Thu 1/14

CSE 250B

Note: Your homework must be typeset and uploaded in PDF format to Gradescope by midnight on the due date.

1. Prototype selection. One way to speed up nearest neighbor classification is to replace the training set by a carefully chosen subset of "prototypes".

Think of a good strategy for choosing prototypes from the training set, bearing in mind that the ultimate goal is good classification performance. Assume that 1-NN will be used.

Then implement your algorithm, and test it on the MNIST dataset, available at:

http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/index.html

What to turn in:

- (a) A short, high-level description of the idea for prototype selection. A few sentences should suffice.
- (b) Concise and unambiguous pseudocode. (Please do not submit any actual code.) Your scheme should take as input a labeled training set as well as a number M, and should return a subset of the training set of size M.
- (c) A table of results showing classification performance on MNIST for a few values of M, including at the very least M=10000,5000,1000. In each case, you should compare the performance to that of uniform-random selection (that is, picking M of the training points at random). For any strategy with randomness, you should do several experiments and give error bars give all relevant details.
- 2. Bayes optimality. Consider the following setup:
 - Input space $\mathcal{X} = [-1, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$.
 - Input distribution: $\mu(x) = |x|$.
 - Label space $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}.$
 - Conditional probability function

$$\eta(x) = \Pr(Y = 1|X = x) = \begin{cases} 0.2 & \text{if } x < -0.5\\ 0.8 & \text{if } -0.5 \le x \le 0.5\\ 0.4 & \text{if } x > 0.5 \end{cases}$$

- (a) What is the Bayes optimal classifier in this setting? What is the optimal risk R^* ?
- (b) Suppose we obtain the following training set of four labeled points:

$$(-0.8,0), (-0.4,1), (0.2,1), (0.8,0).$$

What is the decision boundary of 1-NN using this training set? What is the (true) error rate of this classifier, on the underlying distribution given by μ and η ?

(c) In a binary setting, there are two possible errors: $0 \to 1$ (label is 0 but prediction is 1) or $1 \to 0$ (label is 1 but prediction is 0). Suppose these errors have different costs, c_{01} and c_{10} , respectively. We can then define the *cost-sensitive risk* of a classifier $h: \mathcal{X} \to \{0,1\}$ as

$$R(h) = c_{01} \Pr(Y = 0, h(X) = 1) + c_{10} \Pr(Y = 1, h(X) = 0).$$

In the example above, what is the classifier that minimizes this cost-sensitive risk, if $c_{01} = 1$ and $c_{10} = 0.1$?

- (d) Now consider a setting with $\mathcal{Y} = \{0,1\}$ and with arbitrary $\mathcal{X}, \mu, \eta, c_{01}, c_{10}$. Write down an expression for the classifier with minimum cost-sensitive risk.
- 3. Properties of metrics. Which of the following distance functions are metrics? In each case, either prove it is a metric or give a counterexample showing that is isn't.
 - (a) ℓ_1 distance.
 - (b) $d_1 + d_2$, where d_1 and d_2 are each metrics.
 - (c) Let's say Σ is a finite set and $\mathcal{X} = \Sigma^m$. The Hamming distance on \mathcal{X} is

d(x,y) = # of positions on which x and y differ.

(d) Squared Euclidean distance on \mathbb{R}^m , that is,

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - y_i)^2.$$

(It might be easiest to consider the case m = 1.)

(e) Let \mathcal{X} be the space of probability distributions over m outcomes. We can represent any such distribution as a vector of m nonnegative numbers that sum to 1 (corresponding to the probabilities of each of the outcomes). That is, $\mathcal{X} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^m : p_i \geq 0, \sum_i p_i = 1\}$. A very popular distance function between such probability distributions is the Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$K(p,q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}.$$