

## ACCQ206

Lecturer: Alex B. Grilo  
Lecture # 02 - Entanglement

---

Throughout this exercise list, we call  $|EPR\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ .

### Obseables and global phases

1. Write the observable corresponding to the measurement on the computational basis if we assign  $|0\rangle$  the outcome  $+1$  and  $|1\rangle$  the outcome  $-1$ . What is the expected value of the measurement outcome of  $|+\rangle$  for this observable?

**Solution:**

We have the projector  $|0\rangle\langle 0|$  associated with the eigenvalue  $a_0 = +1$  and the projector  $|1\rangle\langle 1|$  associated with the eigenvalue  $a_1 = -1$ .

The observable is then  $Z = +1 \cdot |0\rangle\langle 0| + (-1) \cdot |1\rangle\langle 1| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

We have that the expected outcome measuring  $|+\rangle$  according to  $Z$  is

$$\langle + | Z | + \rangle = \langle + | - \rangle = 0.$$

2. Prove that for every qubit  $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exists a qubit  $|\phi\rangle = \alpha'|0\rangle + \beta'|1\rangle$  where  $\alpha' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta' \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\psi\rangle = \gamma|\phi\rangle$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  (i.e.  $|\psi\rangle$  and  $|\phi\rangle$  are indistinguishable)

**Solution:**

Let  $\alpha = r_0 e^{i\phi_0}$  and  $\beta = r_1 e^{i\phi_1}$  for  $r_0, r_1 \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in (-\pi, \pi]$  such that  $r_0^2 + r_1^2 = 1$ .

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= r_0 e^{i\phi_0} |0\rangle + r_1 e^{i\phi_1} |1\rangle \\ &= e^{i\phi_0} (r_0 |0\rangle + r_1 e^{-i\phi_0} e^{i\phi_1} |1\rangle) \\ &= e^{i\phi_0} (r_0 |0\rangle + r_1 e^{i(\phi_1 - \phi_0)} |1\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

### Bloch sphere

Let  $\vec{n} = (n_x, n_y, n_z)$  be a vector with  $n_x, n_y, n_z \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\|\vec{n}\| = 1$ , and  $\vec{\sigma} = (X, Y, Z)$  and  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

3. Show that  $(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^2 = I$ .<sup>1</sup>

**Solution:**

The  $I, X, Y$  and  $Z$  matrices are known as the Pauli matrices. We have that for  $P, P' \in \{X, Y, Z\}$ ,  $PP' = -P'P$  if  $P \neq P'$  or  $PP' = P'P = I$  if  $P = P'$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Hint: use the fact that  $XY = -YX$ ,  $XZ = -ZX$ ,...

Therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^2 \\
&= n_x^2 X^2 + n_x n_y XY + n_x n_z XZ + n_y n_x YX + n_y^2 Y^2 + n_y n_z YZ + n_z n_x ZX + n_z n_y ZY + n_z^2 Z^2 \\
&= n_x^2 I + n_x n_y XY + n_x n_z XZ + -n_y n_x XY + n_y^2 I + n_y n_z YZ + -n_z n_x XZ - n_z n_y YZ + n_z^2 I \\
&= (n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2) I + (n_x n_y - n_x n_y) XY + (n_x n_z - n_z n_x) XZ + (n_y n_z - n_y n_z) \\
&= I.
\end{aligned}$$

A rotation of  $\theta$  around the axis  $\hat{n}$  can be written as:

$$R_{\vec{n}}(\theta) = e^{i\theta/2(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})}.$$

4. Show that  $R_{\vec{n}}(\theta) = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} I - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})$ .<sup>2</sup>

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{i\theta/2(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( i^k \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^k (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^k \right) \\
&= \left( \sum_{k=2j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2j!} \left( i^{2j} \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{2j} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{2j} \right) \right) + \left( \sum_{k=2j+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2j+1!} \left( i^{2j+1} \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{2j+1} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^{2j+1} \right) \right) \\
&= \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2j!} (-1)^j \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{2j} \right) I + i \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2j+1!} (-1)^j \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{2j+1} \right) (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \\
&= \cos \frac{\theta}{2} I + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we use the result of exercise 3 and in the fourth equality we use the Maclaurin series of sin and cos<sup>3</sup>

5. Show that for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e^{i\alpha} R_{\vec{n}}(\theta)$  is a unitary matrix.

**Solution:**

$$e^{i\alpha} R_{\vec{n}}(\theta) (e^{i\alpha} R_{\vec{n}}(\theta))^{\dagger} \quad (1)$$

$$= e^{i\alpha} \overline{e^{i\alpha}} R_{\vec{n}}(\theta) R_{\vec{n}}(\theta)^{\dagger} \quad (2)$$

$$= \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} I - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \right) \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} I + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \right) \quad (3)$$

$$= \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} I + i \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) - i \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} I \quad (4)$$

$$= I, \quad (5)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Recall that for an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,

$$e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k$$

<sup>3</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taylor\\_series#Trigonometric\\_functions](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taylor_series#Trigonometric_functions)

where in the second equality we use Exercise 4 and in the last equality we use exercise 3.

6. Show that any unitary  $U$  on one qubit can be written as

$$U = e^{i\alpha} R_{\vec{n}}(\theta),$$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution:**

Any matrix  $U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  can be written as  $U = \beta_0 I + \beta_1 X + \beta_2 Y + \beta_3 Z$  with

$$\beta_0 = \frac{a+d}{2}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{b+c}{2}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{c-b}{2i}, \quad \beta_3 = \frac{a-d}{2},$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} U^\dagger U &= (\beta_0 I + \beta_1 X + \beta_2 Y + \beta_3 Z)(\beta_0 I + \beta_1 X + \beta_2 Y + \beta_3 Z)^\dagger \\ &= (|\beta_0|^2 + |\beta_1|^2 + |\beta_2|^2 + |\beta_3|^2)I + (\overline{\beta_0}\beta_1 + \overline{\beta_1}\beta_0 + i\overline{\beta_2}\beta_3 - i\overline{\beta_3}\beta_2)X \\ &\quad + (\overline{\beta_0}\beta_2 + i\overline{\beta_1}\beta_3 + \overline{\beta_2}\beta_0 - i\overline{\beta_3}\beta_1)Y + (\overline{\beta_0}\beta_3 + i\overline{\beta_1}\beta_2 + -i\overline{\beta_2}\beta_1 + \overline{\beta_3}\beta_0)Y. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $U$  is unitary (and therefore  $U^\dagger U = I$ , we have that

$$|\beta_0|^2 + |\beta_1|^2 + |\beta_2|^2 + |\beta_3|^2 = 1 \tag{6}$$

$$\overline{\beta_0}\beta_1 + \overline{\beta_1}\beta_0 + i\overline{\beta_2}\beta_3 - i\overline{\beta_3}\beta_2 = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\overline{\beta_0}\beta_2 + i\overline{\beta_1}\beta_3 + \overline{\beta_2}\beta_0 - i\overline{\beta_3}\beta_1 = 0 \tag{8}$$

$$\overline{\beta_0}\beta_3 + i\overline{\beta_1}\beta_2 + -i\overline{\beta_2}\beta_1 + \overline{\beta_3}\beta_0 = 0 \tag{9}$$

Define

- $\theta$  such that  $|\beta_0| = \cos \frac{\theta}{2}$
- $n_x = \frac{|\beta_1|}{|\sin \frac{\theta}{2}|}$
- $n_y = \frac{|\beta_2|}{|\sin \frac{\theta}{2}|}$
- $n_z = \frac{|\beta_3|}{|\sin \frac{\theta}{2}|}$
- $\alpha$  such that  $e^{i\alpha} = \frac{\beta_0}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}}$

Notice that using Equation 6, we have that  $n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2 = 1$ .

Let us denote  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  the phases of  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  (i.e.  $\alpha_i = \frac{\beta_i}{|\beta_i|}$ ).

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \overline{\beta_0}\beta_1 + \overline{\beta_1}\beta_0 + i\overline{\beta_2}\beta_3 - i\overline{\beta_3}\beta_2 \\ &= e^{i(\alpha_1 - \alpha)} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} n_x + e^{i(\alpha - \alpha_1)} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} n_x + ie^{i(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2)} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} n_y n_z - ie^{i(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} n_y n_z \\ &= 2 \cos(\alpha - \alpha_1) \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} n_x + 2i \sin(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} n_y n_z. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we have that  $\cos(\alpha - \alpha_1) = \sin(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2) = 0$ , and therefore  $\alpha_1 = \alpha - \pi/2$  and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$ . Using Equations 8 and 9, we can similarly achieve  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha - \pi/2$ .

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_0 &= e^{i\alpha} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \beta_1 &= -e^{i\alpha} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} n_x \\ \beta_2 &= -e^{i\alpha} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} n_y \\ \beta_3 &= -e^{i\alpha} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} n_z\end{aligned}$$

This implies that we can write

$$U = e^{i\alpha} (\cos \cos \frac{\theta}{2} I - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (n_x X + n_y Y + n_z Z)) = e^{i\alpha} R_{\vec{n}}(\theta).$$

(Pour aller plus loin...:) Find the values of  $\alpha, \vec{n}$  and  $\theta$  for  $X, Y, Z$  and  $H$  matrices.

## Entanglement

7. Which of the following states are entangled (according to indicated partition):

(a)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|0\rangle_A|0\rangle_B + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}|1\rangle_A|1\rangle_B$

**Solution:**

Suppose  $\exists |\psi_1\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$  and  $|\psi_2\rangle = c|0\rangle + d|1\rangle$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|0\rangle_A|0\rangle_B + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}|1\rangle_A|1\rangle_B = |\psi_1\rangle|\psi_2\rangle = ac|00\rangle + ad|01\rangle + bc|10\rangle + bd|11\rangle.$$

$$ac = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \text{ and } bd = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \Rightarrow a, b, c, d \neq 0$$

$$ad = bc = 0 \Rightarrow a \text{ or } d \text{ is } 0; b \text{ or } c \text{ is } 0$$

**Contradiction!**

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|0\rangle_A|0\rangle_B + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}|1\rangle_A|1\rangle_B \text{ is entangled.}$$

(b)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle_A|00\rangle_B + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle_A|00\rangle_B$

**Solution:**

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle_A|00\rangle_B + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle_A|00\rangle_B = |+\rangle \otimes |00\rangle.$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle_A|00\rangle_B + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle_A|00\rangle_B \text{ is not entangled}$$

(c)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B$

**Solution:**

Suppose  $\exists |\psi_1\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$  and  $|\psi_2\rangle = c|0\rangle + d|1\rangle$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B = |\psi_1\rangle|\psi_2\rangle = ac|00\rangle + ad|01\rangle + bc|10\rangle + bd|11\rangle.$$

$$ac = 0 \text{ and } bd = 0 \Rightarrow a \text{ or } c \text{ is } 0; b \text{ or } d \text{ is } 0$$

$$ad = bc = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Rightarrow a, b, c, d \neq 0 \quad \textbf{Contradiction!}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle_A|1\rangle_B + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle_A|0\rangle_B \text{ is entangled.}$$

8. Show that for every one-qubit basis  $\{|b_1\rangle, |b_2\rangle\}$  such that  $|0\rangle = \alpha_0|b_0\rangle + \alpha_1|b_1\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle = \alpha_1|b_0\rangle - \alpha_0|b_1\rangle$ , we have that  $|EPR\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|b_1\rangle|b_1\rangle + |b_2\rangle|b_2\rangle)$ .

**Solution:**

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|0\rangle + |1\rangle|1\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((\alpha_0|b_1\rangle + \alpha_1|b_2\rangle)(\alpha_0|b_1\rangle + \alpha_1|b_2\rangle) + (\alpha_1|b_1\rangle - \alpha_0|b_2\rangle)(\alpha_1|b_1\rangle - \alpha_0|b_2\rangle)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2)|b_1\rangle|b_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\alpha_0\alpha_1 - \alpha_0\alpha_1)|b_1\rangle|b_2\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\alpha_1\alpha_0 - \alpha_1\alpha_0)|b_2\rangle|b_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2)|b_2\rangle|b_2\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|b_1\rangle|b_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|b_2\rangle|b_2\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We can actually prove an stronger statement that

$$U \otimes I(|EPR\rangle) = I \otimes U^T(|EPR\rangle),$$

which implies that  $U \otimes U|EPR\rangle = |EPR\rangle$  for real unitaries.

## Pour aller (beaucoup) plus loin... <sup>4</sup>

We will now prove the Tsirelson's bound for CHSH (i.e., we will show that the quantum strategy that we saw is optimal).

For that, we will consider a more convenient notation for the CHSH game: the answers from  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are  $a, b \in \{\pm 1\}$ , and they win the game if  $(-1)^{xy} = ab$ .<sup>5</sup>

We can consider a generic quantum strategy for  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  in the CHSH game as follows:

- (A)  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  share an arbitrary quantum state  $|\psi\rangle_{P_1, P_2}$  (notice that we make no assumption on the size of such a quantum state)
- (B) For each question  $x$ ,  $P_1$  chooses an observable  $M^x$  that she will use to measure her share of  $|\psi\rangle$  and will answer with the outcome of the this measurement. In other words, for each  $x$ ,  $P_1$  chooses two values  $M_{+1}^x$  and  $M_{-1}^x$  such that  $M_{+1}^x + M_{-1}^x = I$  and  $M^x = M_{+1}^x - M_{-1}^x$ .
- (C) Likewise, for each  $y$ ,  $P_2$  chooses an observable  $N^y$  that she will use to measure her share of  $|\psi\rangle$  and will answer with the outcome of the this measurement.

Show that:

- 9. Show that for a fixed  $x$  and  $y$ , the expected value of  $ab$  is  $\langle \psi | M^x \otimes N^y | \psi \rangle$ .
- 10. Let  $C = (M^0 \otimes N^0 + M^0 \otimes N^1 + M^1 \otimes N^0 - M^1 \otimes N^1)$ . Show that the winning probability of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  in the game is  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} \langle \psi | C | \psi \rangle$ . (Hint: argue that  $\langle \psi | C | \psi \rangle = Pr[\text{win}] - Pr[\text{lose}]$ )
- 11. Show that

$$C^2 = 4I + (M^0M^1 - M^1M^0) \otimes (N^0N^1 - N^1N^0)$$

(Hint: Show (and use) the fact that  $(M^x)^2 = (N^y)^2 = I$  for all  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$ )

<sup>4</sup>This exercise was based on Exercise 6 of chapter 16 of [1]

<sup>5</sup>Notice that we are just considering the map  $b \leftrightarrow (-1)^b$  for their answers.

12. Show that  $\langle \psi | C | \psi \rangle \leq 2\sqrt{2}$ . (Hint: Use Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:  $(\langle \psi | C | \psi \rangle)^2 \leq \langle \psi | C^2 | \psi \rangle$ )
13. What can you say about maximum the quantum value of CHSH?

## References

- [1] Ronald de Wolf. Quantum computing: Lecture notes. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.09415>, 2019.