



PROBLEM

- ❑ On [Twitter](#) it is common to follow many (100s) accounts.
- ❑ Your stream has interleaved content types.
- ❑ How would you read about *just* sports? Music? Tech?
- ❑ **No current scalable solution to do it!**

OUR SOLUTION

Fine grained category system within your stream!

- ❑ **Create a label and provide a few authors who meet the criteria.** We create classifiers for each label and run them on your stream.
- ❑ **Is the wrong stuff showing up under your labels?** Just remove them from the label and we will retrain our classifiers!
- ❑ **Simple feedback mechanism for an evolving classifier.**
- ❑ **How does it work?** Using ML we maintain classifiers for each label. The heuristic is driven by the language used by the label’s authors.

RELATED WORKS

- ❑ Other works used a classifier based on [semantics](#) of a Tweet
 - ❑ e.g. Opinions, Random Thoughts, Questions, etc.
- ❑ They also focused on analyzing the data by determining the percentage of Tweets in each category.
- ❑ Our project uses a classifier based on type of Author.
 - ❑ e.g. Sports, Tech, Music, etc.
- ❑ We are not focused on analyzing the data, but instead on improving the average user’s Twitter experience.

REFERENCE

<https://github.com/abhandaru/tweet-grouper>, Github Repository.
<http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/2745/2681>, Stephen Dann, *Twitter Content Classification*.
<http://know-center.tugraz.at/wp-content/uploads/2010/12/Master-Thesis-Christopher-Horn.pdf>, Christopher Horn, *Analysis and Classication of Twitter Messages*.

ALGORITHM

- ❑ For each canonical author under a label, compute the most commonly occurring words (filter out [meaningless](#) tokens).
- ❑ To test membership for an author, compute the [similarity score](#). Only accept authors 1σ above the mean score.
- ❑ Account for [anti-similarity](#) when authors are rejected from a label.

```
def similarity(self, rank1, rank2, count1, count2):  
    w1 = count1  
    w2 = count2  
    return (w1 + w2) / ((1 + rank1)*(1 + rank2))
```

EVALUATION

- ❑ Sample data set of 2400 Tweets
- ❑ Create label with description, [compare observed similarities with expected values](#).

DRIVER OUTPUT

Label: Schools(CarnegieMellon, Yale)
Top words: yale, mt, cmu, today, us, tonyawards, alumni

Author	Similarity
@SportsCenter	0.26307
@espn	0.45490
@Stanford	10.9394
@Harvard	5.53102
@facebook	5.72631
@nyjets	2.17132
@NBA	0.06691
@UWaterloo	4.23970
Average	3.30712

Members: Yale, CarnegieMellon, Stanford, Harvard, [facebook](#), UWaterloo - *can add facebook to an exclude list.*

FUTURE WORK

- ❑ Improving heuristic to more accurately classify authors
- ❑ Optimizations (Python implementation is slow)
 - ❑ Caching similarity scores
 - ❑ Use C++ or another compiled language