

Recitation 1

Gradients and Directional Derivatives

DS-GA 1003 Machine Learning

Spring 2021

February 3, 2021


Agenda

- Motivation
- Calculating the gradient
- Coding exercise

Intro Question

Question

We are given the data set $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$. We want to fit a **linear function** to this data by performing **empirical risk minimization**. More precisely, we are using the hypothesis space $\mathcal{F} = \{h_\theta(x) = \theta^T x \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ and the loss function $\ell(a, y) = (a - y)^2$. Given an initial guess $\tilde{\theta}$ for the empirical risk minimizing parameter vector, how could we improve our guess?



Intro Solution

Solution


- The empirical risk is given by

$$J(\theta) := \hat{R}_n(h_\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(h_\theta(x_i), y_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta^T x_i - y_i)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \|X\theta - y\|_2^2,$$


where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is the matrix whose i th row is given by x_i^T .

- Can improve a non-optimal guess $\tilde{\theta}$ by taking a small step in the direction of the negative gradient $-\nabla J(\theta)$.


Negative Gradient Steps




Negative Gradient Steps




Negative Gradient Steps




Negative Gradient Steps




Negative Gradient Steps




Negative Gradient Steps




Negative Gradient Steps




Negative Gradient Steps




Negative Gradient Steps



Negative Gradient Steps



Negative Gradient Steps



Intuition

- Why don't we directly compute the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} J(\theta, y)$ and solve for θ^* that makes $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} J(\theta^*, y) = 0$.
 - But what if the analytical solution for $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} J(\theta^*, y) = 0$ is computationally intractable?
- We can improve the an initial guess θ_0 by iteratively “updating” it using the gradient of the loss $\nabla J(\theta)$.
- This process will give us a “good enough” approximation for θ^* .
- To do so, we need to know how to calculate the gradient $J(\theta^*, y)$.

Directional Derivative

Definition

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The directional derivative $f'(x; u)$ of f at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in the direction $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$f'(x; u) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + hu) - f(x)}{h}.$$

- We say that u is a *descent direction* of f at x if $f'(x; u) < 0$.
- Taking a small enough step in a descent direction causes the function value to decrease.

Partial Derivative

- Let $e_i = (0, 0, \overbrace{\dots}^{i-1}, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ denote the i th standard basis vector.
- The i th *partial derivative* is defined to be the directional derivative along e_i .
- It can be written many ways:

$$f'(x; e_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x) = \partial_{x_i} f(x) = \partial_i f(x).$$

- What is the intuitive meaning of $\partial_{x_i} f(x)$? For example, what does a large value of $\partial_{x_3} f(x)$ imply?

Differentiability and Gradients

- We say a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *differentiable* at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+v) - f(x) - g^T v}{\|v\|_2} = 0,$$

for some $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (Proof: page 8 of **19ML notes**)

- If it exists, this g is unique and is called the *gradient* of f at x with notation

$$g = \nabla f(x).$$

- It can be shown that

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} f(x) \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{x_n} f(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing Gradients

Question

Compute the gradient $\nabla f(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for the following function:

$$f(\theta) = \theta_0^2 + 2\theta_0\theta_1^3$$

The gradient of this function can be computed as following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} = 2\theta_0 + 2\theta_1^3$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} = 6\theta_0\theta_1^2$$

$$\nabla f(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 2\theta_0 + 2\theta_1^3 \\ 6\theta_0\theta_1^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Computing Gradients

Question

Compute the gradient $\nabla J(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for

$$J(\theta) = \|X\theta - y\|_2^2$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

In homework2, we will show that

$$\nabla J(\theta) = 2(X^T X \theta - X^T y) = 2X^T (X\theta - y)$$

Gradient Checker

- So far we have worked with relatively simple functions where it is straight-forward to compute the gradient.
- For more complex functions, the gradient computation can be notoriously difficult to debug and get right. Example from www.quora.com/What-is-the-most-complex-equation-in-the-world.
- How can we test if our gradient computation is correct?

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_{SM} = & -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu g_\mu^a \partial_\nu g_\mu^a - g_s f^{abc} \partial_\mu g_\nu^a g_\mu^b g_\nu^c - \frac{1}{4}g_s^2 f^{abc} f^{ade} g_\mu^b g_\nu^c g_\mu^d g_\nu^e - \partial_\nu W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_\mu^- \\
 & M^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0 - \frac{1}{2\epsilon_w^2} M^2 Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0 - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig_{cw} (\partial_\nu Z_\mu^0 (W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-) - \\
 & Z_\mu^0 (W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_\mu^- - W_\mu^- \partial_\nu W_\mu^+) + Z_\mu^0 (W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_\mu^- - W_\mu^- \partial_\nu W_\mu^+)) - ig_{sw} (\partial_\nu A_\mu (W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- - \\
 & W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-) - A_\mu (W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_\mu^- - W_\mu^- \partial_\nu W_\mu^+) + A_\mu (W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_\mu^- - W_\mu^- \partial_\nu W_\mu^+)) - \\
 & \frac{1}{2}g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \frac{1}{2}g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + g^2 c_w^2 (Z_\mu^0 W_\mu^+ Z_\mu^0 W_\mu^- - Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-) + \\
 & g^2 s_w^2 (A_\mu W_\mu^+ A_\mu W_\mu^- - A_\mu A_\mu W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-) + g^2 s_w c_w (A_\mu Z_\mu^0 (W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-) - \\
 & 2A_\mu Z_\mu^0 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu H \partial_\mu H - 2M^2 \alpha_h H^2 - \partial_\mu \phi^+ \partial_\mu \phi^- - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi^0 \partial_\mu \phi^0 - \\
 & \beta_h \left(\frac{2M^4}{g^2} H + \frac{2M}{g} H + \frac{1}{2}(H^2 + \phi^0 \phi^0 + 2\phi^+ \phi^-) \right) + \frac{2M^4}{g^2} \alpha_h - g \alpha_h M (H^3 + H \phi^0 \phi^0 + 2H \phi^+ \phi^-) - \\
 & \frac{1}{8}g^2 \alpha_h (H^4 + (\phi^0)^4 + 4(\phi^+ \phi^-)^2 + 4(\phi^0)^2 \phi^+ \phi^- + 4H^2 \phi^+ \phi^- + 2(\phi^0)^2 H^2) - g M W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- H - \\
 & \frac{1}{2}g \frac{M}{\epsilon_w^2} Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0 H - \frac{1}{2}ig (W_\mu^+ (\phi^0 \partial_\mu \phi^- - \phi^- \partial_\mu \phi^0) - W_\mu^- (\phi^0 \partial_\mu \phi^+ - \phi^+ \partial_\mu \phi^0)) + \\
 & \frac{1}{2}g (W_\mu^+ (H \partial_\mu \phi^- - \phi^- \partial_\mu H) + W_\mu^- (H \partial_\mu \phi^+ - \phi^+ \partial_\mu H)) + \frac{1}{2}g \frac{1}{\epsilon_w} (Z_\mu^0 (H \partial_\mu \phi^0 - \phi^0 \partial_\mu H) + \\
 & M (\frac{Z_\mu^0}{\epsilon_w} \partial_\mu \phi^0 + W_\mu^+ \partial_\mu \phi^- - W_\mu^- \partial_\mu \phi^+) - ig \frac{g}{\epsilon_w} M Z_\mu^0 (W_\mu^+ \phi^- - W_\mu^- \phi^+) + ig s_w M A_\mu (W_\mu^+ \phi^- - \\
 & W_\mu^- \phi^+) - ig \frac{1-2s_w^2}{2\epsilon_w} Z_\mu^0 (\phi^+ \partial_\mu \phi^- - \phi^- \partial_\mu \phi^+) + ig s_w A_\mu (\phi^+ \partial_\mu \phi^- - \phi^- \partial_\mu \phi^+) - \\
 & \frac{1}{4}g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- (H^2 + (\phi^0)^2 + 2\phi^+ \phi^-) - \frac{1}{4}g^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon_w^2} Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0 (H^2 + (\phi^0)^2 + 2(2s_w^2 - 1)\phi^+ \phi^-) - \\
 & \frac{1}{2}g^2 \frac{2s_w^2}{\epsilon_w^2} Z_\mu^0 (W_\mu^+ \phi^- + W_\mu^- \phi^+) - \frac{1}{2}ig^2 \frac{2s_w^2}{\epsilon_w^2} Z_\mu^0 H (W_\mu^+ \phi^- - W_\mu^- \phi^+) + \frac{1}{2}g^2 s_w A_\mu \phi^0 (W_\mu^+ \phi^- + \\
 & W_\mu^- \phi^+) + \frac{1}{2}ig^2 s_w A_\mu H (W_\mu^+ \phi^- - W_\mu^- \phi^+) - g^2 \frac{2s_w}{\epsilon_w} (2s_w^2 - 1) Z_\mu^0 A_\mu \phi^+ \phi^- - g^2 s_w^2 A_\mu A_\mu \phi^+ \phi^- + \\
 & \frac{1}{2}ig_s \lambda_{ij}^2 (\bar{q}_i^c \gamma^\mu q_j^c) g_\mu^a - e\lambda(\gamma\partial + m_\lambda^2)e^\lambda - \bar{e}\lambda(\gamma\partial + m_\lambda^2)\nu^\lambda - \bar{u}_\lambda^2(\gamma\partial + m_\lambda^2)u_\lambda^2 - \bar{d}_\lambda^2(\gamma\partial + m_\lambda^2)d_\lambda^2 + \\
 & ig_s A_\mu \left(-(\bar{e}^\lambda \gamma^\mu e^\lambda) + \frac{2}{3}(\bar{u}_\lambda^2 \gamma^\mu u_\lambda^2) - \frac{1}{3}(\bar{d}_\lambda^2 \gamma^\mu d_\lambda^2) \right) + \frac{ig}{4\epsilon_w} Z_\mu^0 \{ (\bar{e}^\lambda \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5)\nu^\lambda) + (\bar{e}^\lambda \gamma^\mu (4s_w^2 - \\
 & 1 - \gamma^5)e^\lambda) + (\bar{d}_\lambda^2 \gamma^\mu (\frac{4}{3}s_w^2 - 1 - \gamma^5)d_\lambda^2) + (\bar{u}_\lambda^2 \gamma^\mu (1 - \frac{2}{3}s_w^2 + \gamma^5)u_\lambda^2) \} + \\
 & \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ \left((\bar{e}^\lambda \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5) U^{lep}_{\lambda e e^\kappa}) + (\bar{e}_\lambda^2 \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5) C_{\lambda e} d_\lambda^c) \right) + \\
 & \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- \left((\bar{e}^\kappa U^{lep1}_{\kappa \lambda} \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5)\nu^\lambda) + (\bar{d}_\lambda^c C_{\kappa \lambda}^\dagger \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5)u_\lambda^2) \right) + \\
 & \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{2}} \phi^+ (-m_\kappa (\bar{\nu}^\lambda U^{lep1}_{\lambda \kappa} (1 - \gamma^5)\nu^\kappa) + m_\lambda^2 (\bar{e}^\lambda U^{lep1}_{\lambda e} (1 + \gamma^5)\nu^\kappa) +
 \end{aligned}$$

Gradient Checker

- Recall the mathematical definition of the derivative as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\theta) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\theta + \epsilon) - f(\theta - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon}$$

- We can approximate the gradient (left hand side) using the equation on the right hand side by setting ϵ to a small constant, say $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$.
- Now let's expand this method to deal with vector input $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let's say we want to verify our gradient at dimension i $(\nabla f(\theta))_i$. We can make use of one-hot vector e_i in which all dimension except the i th are 0 and the i th dimension has a value of 1: $e_i = [0, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0]^T$
- The gradient at i th dimension can be then approximated as

$$[\nabla f(\theta)]^{(i)} \approx \frac{f(\theta + \epsilon e_i) - f(\theta - \epsilon e_i)}{2\epsilon}$$

Recap

- To find a good decision function we will minimize the empirical loss on the training data.
- Having fixed a hypothesis space parameterized by θ , finding a good decision function means finding a good θ .
- Given a current guess for θ , we will use the gradient of the empirical loss (w.r.t. θ) to get a local linear approximation.
- If the gradient is non-zero, taking a small step in the direction of the negative gradient is guaranteed to decrease the empirical loss.
- This motivates the minimization algorithm called gradient descent.

Coding Exercise

In the provided notebook, we will use Python to:

- calculate the gradient for two example functions
- implement the gradient checker

References

- DS-GA 1003 Machine Learning Spring 2019
- DS-GA 1003 Machine Learning Spring 2020