

4156

Thursday

11/14/13

page 1

"static testing"

aka ~~aka~~ review or inspection

→ let show next team assignment

black box - review specification
aka structural analysis (requirements & design)

white box - review code

why bother?

can find problems earlier

can find problems that dynamic testing cannot find

e.g. unreadable code

four essential elements:

(applies to both black & white box)

— identify problems in the document, not the author
find missing items
as well as "wrong" items

4156

Thursday

11/14/13

page 2

- follow rules

define roles - moderator, recorder
define length of time or
amount of document
(pages, loc)

- prepare

do a mmr review in advance
by yourself or with pair partner

- write a report

Summarize results
how many problems found
where found
what kinds of problems
in addition to bug reports
or change requests

walkthrough - read through
document or code
line by line

explain what the component
does & why

remember can do for use cases too

4156

Thursday

11/14/13

page 3

inspection - most formal type
the reader is not the original author

inspectors represent different roles

end-user

real or

tester

role-played

technical support

known

as

if a team

inspector

- see

paper

+ website

moderator & recorder might be from
separate QA group

each ~~bug~~ problem
classified as

minor - author fixes on own
moderate - Moderator reviews fix
severe - whole team (inspectors)
meet to review again

two hours is standard length

Some differences between
document review & code review

4156

Thursday

11/14/13 page 4

for specification - requirements or design document

requirements

pretend to be customer
(or include real customer)

make sure uses corporate terminology & conventions

industry requirements } may need
government standards } outside expert

published GUI standards
for Windows, Mac

Security certification

consider
with respect to
both
requirements
& design

compare to similar software

- Scale
- complexity
- testability
- quality / reliability
- security

attributes checklist

complete

accurate

precise, unambiguous, clear

consistent

relevant

feasible

code-free

testable

look at terminology

always, every, all, none, never

certainly, therefore, clearly,

obviously, evidently

some, sometimes, often, usually,

ordinarily, customarily, most,

mostly

etc., and so forth, and so on,

such as

good, fast, cheap, efficient,

small, stable

handled, processed, rejected,

shipped, eliminated

if ... then but missing else

4156

Thursday

11/14/15

page 6

Exercise - do a brief design review
in class

each student re-read ~~your~~ that part of your
design document now (quickly)

discuss problems
according to checklists

→ pick a small part to
focus on, not whole thing