# Spring-2020-CS-18200-LE1 Homework 2

#### Abhi Gunasekar

### **TOTAL POINTS**

### 100 / 100

#### **QUESTION 1**

### 1 Problem 1 16 / 16

### √ - 0 pts Correct

- 16 pts Blank
- 3 pts Missing at most 2 steps of reasoning
- 6 pts Missing at most 3 steps of reasoning
- 9 pts Missing at most 4 steps of reasoning
- 12 pts Major errors in reasoning

#### **QUESTION 2**

#### 2 Problem 2 24 / 24

#### √ - 0 pts Correct

- 24 pts Blank Answer
- 6 pts 3 or less missing or incorrect steps
- 12 pts 4 to 6 missing or incorrect steps
- 18 pts 7 or more missing or incorrect steps

#### **QUESTION 3**

#### 3 Problem 3 20 / 20

#### √ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts minor mistake
- 4 pts major mistake/did not show how to obtain/did not mention rule of inference/2 or more wrong conclusion
  - 20 pts blank
  - 3 pts 1 conclusion missing/wrong

#### **QUESTION 4**

#### 4 Problem 4 16 / 16

#### √ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts minor mistake in proof by contraposition (
  not p --> not q instead of not q --> not p, etc)
- 2 pts minor mistake in proof by contradiction (assumed 3n+2 is odd and n is even, etc)
  - 4 pts major mistake in proof by contraposition(did

### not assume n is odd, etc)

- 4 pts major mistake in proof by contradiction (did not use proof by contradiction, etc)
  - 8 pts Proof by Contraposition blank
  - 8 pts Proof by Contradiction blank

#### QUESTION 5

#### 5 Problem 5 14 / 14

#### √ - 0 pts Correct

- 1 pts Minor mistake in argument
- 3 pts Major mistake in argument
- 4 pts Missing case/equivalency not fully

#### established

- 14 pts Blank
- 7 pts Insufficient proof

#### **QUESTION 6**

#### 6 Problem 6 10 / 10

- 7 pts failed to prove
- **0 pts** In this problem, giving one example would be sufficient.
  - 10 pts Blank
  - 1 pts Minor mistake
  - 0 pts 1/2 is a rational number.
  - **0 pts** actually xy can be rational if x is zero.

# Abhishek Gunasekar 02/05/2020

### **Homework 2 Answers**

# **1 Problem 1: (16 Points)**

 $\forall x[(P(x) \land Q(x))] \land (\exists x)(Q(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x)(P(x))$ 

1.  $\forall x[(P(x) \land Q(x))]$ 

2.  $P(c) \wedge Q(c)$ 

3.  $\exists x(Q(x))$ 

4. Q(c)

5. P(c)

6.  $\exists x (P(x))$ 

∴ QED

Premise 1

Universal Instantiation on 1.

Premise 2

Existential Instantiation on 2.

Simplification on 2.

Existential Generalization on 5.

# 1 Problem 1 16 / 16

- 16 pts Blank
- 3 pts Missing at most 2 steps of reasoning
- 6 pts Missing at most 3 steps of reasoning
- 9 pts Missing at most 4 steps of reasoning
- 12 pts Major errors in reasoning

### 2 Problem 2: (24 Points)

 $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \land S(x)) \land \forall x (P(x) \land R(x)) \rightarrow \forall x (R(x) \land S(x))$ 1.  $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \land S(x))$ Premise 1 2.  $P(c) \rightarrow Q(c) \land S(c)$ Universal Instantiation on 1. 3.  $\forall x(P(x) \land R(x))$ Premise 2. 4.  $P(c) \wedge R(c)$ Universal Instantiation on 3. 5. P(c) Simplification on 4. 6.  $Q(c) \wedge S(c)$ Modus Ponens on 2. 7. S(c) Simplification on 6. 8. R(c) Simplification on 4. 9.  $S(c) \wedge R(c)$ Conjunction on 7 and 8. Universal Generalization on 9. 10.  $\forall x(R(x) \land S(x))$ 

# 2 Problem 2 24 / 24

- 24 pts Blank Answer
- 6 pts 3 or less missing or incorrect steps
- 12 pts 4 to 6 missing or incorrect steps
- 18 pts 7 or more missing or incorrect steps

### 3 Problem 3: (20 Points)

The predicates needed for this question can be defined as follows:

- x is an insect can be represented by the predicate I(x).
- x has six legs can be represented by the predicate L(x).
- x eats y can be represented by the predicate E(x,y)

Given the above declaration, the premises as given in the handout can be described as:

- Premise 1:  $\forall x(I(x) \rightarrow L(x))$ .
- Premise 2: I(dragonfly)
- Premise 3:  $\neg$  L(spider)
- Premise 4: E(spider, dragonfly)

And from the premises, we can draw three conclusions.

- 1. Dragonflies have six legs: L(dragonfly)
- 2. Spider is not an insect  $(\neg I(spider))$
- 3. There exists some insect that is eaten by a spider:  $\exists y (E(x,y) \land I(y))$

The conclusions above can be derived using rules of inference as follows:

#### • Conclusion 1:

1. $\forall x(I(x) \rightarrow L(x))$	Premise 1
2. I(dragonfly)	Premise 2
3. $I(dragonfly) \rightarrow L(dragonfly)$	Universal instantiation on 1
4. L(dragonfly)	Modus Ponens on 3

### • Conclusion 2:

1.	$\forall x(I(x) \to L(x))$	Premise 1
2.	¬L(Spider)	Premise 3
3.	$I(Spider) \rightarrow L(Spider)$	Universal Instantiation on 1
4.	¬I(Spider)	Modus tollens on 2 and 3

### • Conclusion 3:

1.	E(Spider, Dragonfly)	Premise 1
2.	I(dragonfly)	Premise 2
3.	E(spider, dragonfly)	Conjunction on 1 and 3
4.	$\exists y (E(x,y) \land I(y))$	Existential Generalization

# 3 Problem 3 20 / 20

- 2 pts minor mistake
- 4 pts major mistake/did not show how to obtain/did not mention rule of inference/2 or more wrong conclusion
- 20 pts blank
- 3 pts 1 conclusion missing/wrong

### 4 Problem 4 (16 Points)

Prove that if n is an integer and 3n + 2 is even, then n is even using

### • A proof by contraposition

```
If 3n+2 is even, then n is even is of the form p\to q. It's contrapositive would be \neg q\to \neg p So, the contrapositive can be translated as if n is odd, then 3n+2 is odd. Let's assume an odd number n to be 2k+1 where k is some real integer, then 3n+2=3(2k+1)+2 =6k+3+2 =6k+4+1 =2(3k+2)+1 =2(k')+1 where k' is another real integer, implying that 3n+2 is odd.
```

= 2(R) + 1 where R is another real integer, implying that 3R + 2 is odd.  $\therefore$  If 3n+2 is even, then n is even because the contrapositive is proven to be true above.

∴ QED.

### • A proof by contradiction

Assume 3n + 2 is even and n is odd, then given that n is odd, n = 2k + 1 where k is some real integer. Then 3n + 2 = 3(2k + 1) + 2 = 6k + 5 = 2(3k + 2) + 1 = 2(k') + 1 where k' is another real integer, implying that 3n + 2 is odd.

Therefore 3n + 2 is odd. This is a clear contradiction to the original statement 3n + 2 is even.

### 4 Problem 4 16 / 16

- 2 pts minor mistake in proof by contraposition ( not p --> not q instead of not q --> not p, etc)
- 2 pts minor mistake in proof by contradiction (assumed 3n+2 is odd and n is even, etc)
- 4 pts major mistake in proof by contraposition(did not assume n is odd, etc)
- 4 pts major mistake in proof by contradiction (did not use proof by contradiction, etc)
- 8 pts Proof by Contraposition blank
- 8 pts Proof by Contradiction blank

### 5 Problem 5: (14 Points)

Let 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 represent p1, p2, and p3 respectively, then to prove that they are logically equivalent we need to show p1  $\rightarrow$  p2, p2  $\rightarrow$  p3, and p3  $\rightarrow$  p1

<u>Definition of a Rational Number:</u> The real number r is rational if there exists integers p and q with  $q \neq 0$  such that r = p / q

### 1. Proving $p1 \rightarrow p2$

If x is irrational, then 3x + 2 is irrational.

We can prove this using contraposition:

Contrapositive would be  $\neg p2 \rightarrow \neg p1$ 

If 3x + 2 is rational, then x is rational.

$$3x + 2 = p/q$$

x = (1/3) ((p/q) - 2)

x = (1/3) ((p - 2q)/q)

x = (p - 2q)/(3q)

x = (p')/(q') where p' and q' are integers.

 $\therefore$  x is rational and p1  $\rightarrow$  p2 is proven through contrapositive.

### 2. Proving $p2 \rightarrow p3$

If 3x + 2 is irrational, then x/2 is irrational.

We can prove this using contraposition:

Contrapositive would be  $\neg p3 \rightarrow \neg p2$ 

If x/2 is rational, then 3x + 2 is rational.

$$(x/2) = p/q$$

x = (2p)/q

3x + 2 = 3(2p/q) + 2

$$3x + 2 = (6p/q) + 2$$

$$3x + 2 = (6p + 2q)/(q)$$

3x + 2 = (p')/(q') where p' and q' are integers.

 $\therefore$  3x + 2 is rational and p2  $\rightarrow$  p3 is proven through contrapositive.

### 3. Proving $p3 \rightarrow p1$

If (x/2) is irrational, then x is irrational.

We can prove this using contraposition:

Contrapositive would be  $\neg p1 \rightarrow \neg p3$ 

If x is rational, then x/2 is rational

$$x = p/q$$

$$(x/2) = (1/2)(p/q)$$

$$(x/2) = (p/2q)$$

(x/2) = (p'/q') where p' and q' are integers.

 $\therefore$  x/2 is rational and p3  $\rightarrow$  p1 is proven through contrapositive.

**Definition** 

Definition

Definition

Since the propositions p1  $\rightarrow$  p2, p2  $\rightarrow$  p3, p3  $\rightarrow$  p1 are each proven using contraposition, the propositions about the real number x represented by 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 are said to be logically equivalent to each other.

# 6 Problem 6: (10 Points)

Prove? There is a rational number x and an irrational number y such that xy is irrational.

Let's assume x to be 1 and y to be  $\sqrt{2}$ , which we clearly know to be irrational as mentioned by the proof in slide 14 in lecture slides Chapter1p3\_5. Therefore the product of x and y, xy in particular would be  $\sqrt{2}$  as well, implying that it is also irrational.

- $\div$  It is proven that there exists a rational and an irrational number whose product is irrational.
- ∴ QED.

### 5 Problem 5 14 / 14

- 1 pts Minor mistake in argument
- **3 pts** Major mistake in argument
- 4 pts Missing case/equivalency not fully established
- 14 pts Blank
- 7 pts Insufficient proof

Since the propositions p1  $\rightarrow$  p2, p2  $\rightarrow$  p3, p3  $\rightarrow$  p1 are each proven using contraposition, the propositions about the real number x represented by 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 are said to be logically equivalent to each other.

# 6 Problem 6: (10 Points)

Prove? There is a rational number x and an irrational number y such that xy is irrational.

Let's assume x to be 1 and y to be  $\sqrt{2}$ , which we clearly know to be irrational as mentioned by the proof in slide 14 in lecture slides Chapter1p3\_5. Therefore the product of x and y, xy in particular would be  $\sqrt{2}$  as well, implying that it is also irrational.

- $\div$  It is proven that there exists a rational and an irrational number whose product is irrational.
- ∴ QED.

### 6 Problem 6 10 / 10

- 7 pts failed to prove
- **0 pts** In this problem, giving one example would be sufficient.
- 10 pts Blank
- 1 pts Minor mistake
- **0 pts** 1/2 is a rational number.
- **0 pts** actually xy can be rational if x is zero.