CS305 Computer Architecture

Computer Performance Quantification

Bhaskaran Raman Room 406, KR Building Department of CSE, IIT Bombay

http://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/~br

Aspects of Computer Performance

Who cares?

Customer Vendor Computer designer (us)

Metrics time to deliver reliability _ 1/2 past failed attempts ! past delays Cost, per unit weight max weight delivery confirmation online tracking Houstinations on offer Pickup service

Computer Performance Equation

```
cus tomer = one exacting program

Sysad: throughput

metric - execution time 1 multi-user environment

grasponse time Linux: time

multi-user environment
```

```
Prog. execn. time = #cycles x clock-cycle-time = #cycles / clock-frequency

Prog. execn. time = #instrns x [#cycles/instrn] x clock-cycle-time

executed average across executed instructions

Mnemonic: Time = Instructions x #cycles x Time cycle

Prog = Prog = instructions x cycle
```

Use of the Computer Performance Equation: Example-1

```
Should MIPS support blt instruction?
```

Option-A: yes

Implications: #instructions = 5 million, 20% higher cycle time

Option-B: no

Implications: 10% instructions are blt, need to be replaced with 2 instructions

```
Executime in A: 5 million x CPIx (1.2t) slower
Executime in B: 5.5 million x CPIx to faster
```

Use of the Computer Performance Equation: Example-2

Two implementations of the same instruction set:

Implementation-1: 2GHz, CPI=1.5

Implementation-2: 2.4GHz, CPI=2

Which is faster and by how much?

Execn. time
Option-1:
$$I \times 1.5 \times \frac{1}{(2\times169)}$$
Option-2: $I \times 2 \times \frac{1}{(2\cdot4\times10^9)} \rightarrow \frac{20}{18}$

Use of the Computer Performance Equation: Example-3

Intel instruction set supports memory as operand in add:

Option-1: implement add as 3 cycles

Implication: #cycles increases from 2 million to 2.4 million

Option-2: additional hardware support

Implication: cycle length increases by 10%

Which option is better?

Measuring the Factors in the Performance Equation

Hinstrns x CPI x cycle time > instruction mix executed CPIave = ECPIi xfi profiling Types of instrus: 0.25x2 Simulators +0.75 X1 arithmetic hlw counters =1.25memory branch

Factors Affecting Performance

Factor	Aspects Affected
Algorithm	#instructions, sometimes CPI
Programming Language	#instructions, CPI
Compiler	#instructions, CPI
ISA	#instructions, CPI, cycle time
Hardware implementation	CPI, cycle time

Algorithm HLL Compiler ISA H/Wimpl.

Compiler Design Decision Example

CPI for branch instructions: 2

CPI for lw/sw: 3

CPI for reg-reg: 1

Code-sequence-1: 8 branches, 8 loads, 2 stores, 8 reg-reg

Code-sequence-2: 2 branches, 14 loads, 2 stores, 8 reg-reg

Which is better? By what factor?

ET1:
$$(8x2 + 8x3 + 2x3 + 8x1) \times t$$
 faster: $\frac{60}{54}$ ET2: $(2x2 + 14x3 + 2x3 + 8x1) \times t$

Workload, Benchmark

- Which program to use for performance analysis?
- Benchmark: special or real?
- SPEC: System Performance Evaluation Corporation
 - Since 1989
 - SPEC-2000 in textbook (includes gzip, gcc)
- How to summarize performance?
 - Think in terms of reproducibility of results
 - Give all possible details, e.g. input to program

Amdahl's Law

Performance improvement is limited by the fraction of program you are improving

$$\begin{array}{c}
\hline
F \\
\hline
1-F
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\hline
1-F+F/n \\
\hline
1-F+F/n
\end{array}$$

Amdahl's Law: An Example

Intel wants to improve its CPU chip Option-1: memory speedup 10x Option-2: ALU speedup 2x $F_{alu} = 0.5$, $F_{mem} = 0.2$, $F_{other} = 0.3$

Speedup 1:
$$\frac{1}{1-0.2+0.2} \approx 1.22$$

Speedup 1: $\frac{1}{1-0.5+0.5} \approx 1.33$

Summary

- Computer performance quantification
 - Execution time is the primary metric
 - Helps answer various design questions quantitatively
- Role of benchmarks
- Amdahl's law