Submission Date: 19th November 2021

Bias-Variance trade-off

- 1. "Bias" and "Variance" are terms which can carry many different meanings. Define what they mean in the context of Bias-Variance trade-off. What else can they refer to?
- 2. Assume you want to predict from MRI images whether a person has cancer or not. You are given only 50 images as they are very expensive to label. The doctors have heard of a very fancy technique called Neural Networks and want to be cutting edge and you to use them.
 - (a) How would you expect the Bias and Variance to be and why?
 - (b) Are there ways to improve the results?
- 3. You are given the following data

 $\begin{array}{c|cccc} x_1 & x_2 & y \\ \hline -0.8 & 2.8 & -8.5 \\ 0.3 & -2.2 & 12.8 \\ 1.5 & 1.1 & 3.8 \\ \end{array}$

- (a) Fit an ordinary least squared model \hat{h}_1 without bias term (just two parameters). What are the parameters? What does it predict?
- (b) Fit an ordinary least squared model h_2 with bias term. What are the parameters? What does it predict?
- (c) The oracle of machine learning has provided you with the true function: $h^*(x) = 5 + 2x_1 4x_2$. Generate some more data which will serve as the test set using X_{test} :

 $\begin{array}{c|cc} x_1 & x_2 \\ \hline -2 & 2 \\ -4 & 15 \\ \end{array}$

h1: Underfitting because the train er

Bias: How well the prediction

Do you see underfitting, overfitting or neither of them for your models for a), b)?

4. How does regularization prevent overfitting?

Regularization prevents overfitting by penalizing

2 L2 Regularization

1. Show mathematically that minimizing the loss function for Ridge Regression with parameters θ is equivalent to maximizing the posterior probability $p(\theta|\mathbf{x},y) = p(y|\theta,\mathbf{x})p(\theta)$ (MAP estimation). Assume that $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_1,...,\mathbf{x}_M\}$ is a set of input variables and $y = \{y_1,...,y_M\}$ is a set with their respective targets. Moreover:

As we have a limited datase

- $p(\theta)$ is the prior $\prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(\theta_i|0,\sigma_{\theta})$
- $p(y_i|\theta, \mathbf{x}_i)$ is the distribution $\mathcal{N}(y_i|\theta^T\mathbf{x}_i, \sigma)$
- 2. It is possible to establish the same equivalence between Lasso Regression and MAP estimation. How would the prior for θ be $(p(\theta))$?.
- 3. Based on the previous points, how can we interpret the L1 and L2 regularization from a Bayesian perspective?

Regularization is the use of priors on the weights(theta). By