$[{\rm COM4513\text{-}6513}]$ Lab 3: Named Entity Recognition with the Structured Perceptron

 ${\bf Registration\ Number:\ 180128033}$

1 Introduction

We are implementing code to learn a named entity recogniser (NER) using the structured perceptron.

2 Function Phi1

Function Phi_1 is function that takes sentence, count as the input and returns a dictionary with counts of the cw_cl_counts keys in the given sentence. Function load_dataset_sents gives us the training data. Function extraction_of_feature gives us word and tag count by taking training data as input. Function Word_Tag_Separator gives the list of tag, which we use in the training function for the comparison. Dictionary and list returned from these function are used in the training function to find weight using structured binary perceptron. Further these weights returned from the training function are used as input in testing function along with cw_cl_counts and test_file to find the flscore and top 10 features.

2.1 Top 10 Features

f1 score for the Phi1 is 76.08 percent. Yes, features make sense. For ex-In "Per", we are receiving all the names of a person(Peter, Blackburn). In "LOC", we are receiving name of location(BRUSSELS, LONDON). In "ORG", we are receiving name of organisation(THWARA, VERINSBANK). In "MISC", we are receiving miscellaneous things(Open, Canadian). In "O", we are receiving other words such as (REOFFER, NOTES). So, we according to the f1 score and the output received, we say that the implementation of Phi1 makes sense.

```
Top 10 features of 0 are
[('1906-08-22_0', 0), ('._0', 0), ('BORROWER_0', 0), ('LAST_0', 0), ('AA+_0', 0), ('REOFFER_0', 0), ('-_0', 0), ('NOTES_0', 0), ('S_0', 0), ('S_0', 0), ('SHORT_0', 0)]
Top 10 features of PER are
[('Peter PER', 1), ('Blackburn_PER', 1), ('Colleen_PER', 1), ('Siegel_PER', 1), ('Hassan_PER', 1), ('Hafidh_PER', 1), ('Hairy_PER', 1), ('Gush_PER', 1), ('Steve_PER', 1)
[('Stricker_PER', 1)]
[('BORSELS_GC', 1)]
[('LONDON_LOC', 1), ('BEIJING_LOC', 1), ('FRANKFURT_LOC', 1), ('ATHENS_LOC', 1), ('JERUSALEM_LOC', 1), ('TUNIS_LOC', 1), ('BAGHDAD_LOC', 1), ('MANAMA_LOC', 1)
[('BAYESTLOC', 1)]
[('BAYESTLOC', 1)]
[('BAYESTLOC', 0RG', 1), ('VEREINSBANK_ORG', 1), ('SGP_ORG', 1), ('THAWRA_ORG', 1), ('AN-NAHAR_ORG', 1), ('AS-SAFIR_ORG', 1), ('AL-ANWAR_ORG', 1), ('AD-DIYAR_ORG', 1),
['ATION'A ORG', 1), ('AL-ANTAL_ORG', 1)]
[('SINSC', 2), ('Canadian_MISC', 1), ('Open_MISC', 1), ('Malaysian_MISC', 1), ('Major_MISC', 1), ('League_MISC', 1), ('Baseball_MISC', 1), ('AMERICAN_MISC', 1), ('LEAGUE_MISC', 1), ('LEAGU
```

3 Function for Combination of Phi1 and Phi2

Function Phi_2 is function that takes sentence, count and merged dictionary as the input and returns a dictionary with word-tag and tag-tag count. Function combined_dictionary returns the merger of dictionary of combination of return from phi1 and phi2. Function load_dataset_sents gives us the training data. Function extraction_of_feature_2 gives us tag and tag count by taking training data as input. Function n_grams_generation gives the pair of tag, which are used in the Phi_2. Dictionary and list returned from these function are used in the training function to find weight using structured binary perceptron. Further these weights returned from the training function are used as input in testing function along with cw_cl_counts from both phi1 and phi2 along with test_file to find the f1score and top 10 features.

3.1 Top 10 Features

f1 score for the Phi1 is 76.56 percent. Yes, features make sense. For ex- In "Per", we are receiving all the names of a person(Kocinski, Yoshikawa). In "LOC", we are receiving name of location(England, Russia). In "ORG", we are receiving name of organisation(Newsroom, Oakland). In "MISC", we are receiving miscellaneous things(German, Dutch). In "O", we are receiving other words such as (Out, 2). So, we according to the f1 score and the output received, we say that the implementation of Phi1 makes sense.

```
Top 16 features of 0 are ['Out.0', 6.3], ('20', 6.1), ('AT_0', 6.0), (':_0', 5.8), ('1_0', 5.7), ('-_0', 5.7), ('6_0', 5.7), ('of_0', 5.6), ('3_0', 5.6), ('Attendance_0', 5.5)]

Top 16 features of PER are [('Kocinski PER', 5.5), ('Slight_PER', 5.2), ('Koerts_PER', 5.1), ('Vialle_PER', 4.8), ('Fogarty_PER', 4.8), ('Corser_PER', 4.7), ('McEwen_PER', 4.6), ('Paul_PER', 4.8), ('Paul_PER', 4.8), ('Paul_PER', 4.8), ('Paul_PER', 4.8), ('Resident Per of the Care of
```

4 Discussion

No, accuracy decreased in the combination of Phi1 and Phi2 (68.38) as compared to the Phi1 due to more number of features available.

Score	Tabular
f1 score for Phi1	76.08
f1 score for $Phi1 + Phi2$	68.38