IITM-CS6014: Advanced Theory of Computation Author: Karthik Abinav S (CS10B057)

Problem Set #3 Colloborators: Saikrishna

# 1. (10 points) Recall

$$K = \{x \mid M_x \text{ halts on } x\}$$

Prove the following.

(a) K is SD-complete.

### Answer:

To show this we have to show:

- $K \in SD$
- $\forall L \in SD$ ,  $L \leq_m K$

To show the first part, we can re write K as follows:

 $K = \{ x : \exists t \ M_x \text{ halts on } x \text{ in } t \text{ steps } \}$ 

Since " $M_x$  halts on x in t steps" is decidable, this shows that  $K \in \Sigma_1$ .

To show the second part, it suffices to show that  $HP \leq_m K$ .(Because we know that HP is  $\Sigma_1 - complete$ )

To show this consider the following machine M'.

M' copies its input y on a seperate track.

It simulates M on x . If M halts on x , then simulate  $M_y$  on y. Accept , if  $M_y$  halts on y.

So the Language of M' can be described as :

$$M' = \begin{cases} K & \text{if } M \text{ halts on } x \\ \varnothing & \text{if } M \text{ does not halt on } x \end{cases}$$

This is the required reduction for  $HP \leq_m K$ .

Therefore, K is  $\Sigma_1$  complete.

(b) Let  $K^X = \{x \mid M_x^X \text{ is an oracle TM with oracle } X \text{ and } M_x^X \text{ halts on } x\}$ . Show that  $K^K$  is  $\Sigma_2$ -complete.

### Answer:

To show this we have to show:

- $K^K \in \Sigma_2$
- $\forall L \in \Sigma_2 , L \leq_m K^K$

Simulate input x on  $M_x^K$ . Whenever a query is needed, use the oracle K provided to machine  $M_x^K$ . The language accepted by this machine is  $K^K$ . And since, it is semi decidable with respect to a language in  $\Sigma_1$ , therefore it is in  $\Sigma_2$ .

To show that every language  $L \in \Sigma_2$ ,  $L \leq_m K^K$ , it suffices to show that  $MP_2 \leq_m K^K$  (Because  $MP_2$  is  $\Sigma_2$  - complete). Consider a machine M which accepts  $MP_2$  with an oracle access to K (Note from previous question that K is  $\Sigma_1$  - complete.

Construct a machine N, which does the following:

• Runs M on input x with query to the oracle K. If it accepts, then run  $M_y^K$  on input y. Whenever a query is needed , use the oracle from the machine M. So the Language of N can be described as :

$$N = \begin{cases} K^K & \text{if } M^K \text{ accepts } x \\ \varnothing & \text{if } M^K \text{ does not accept } x \end{cases}$$

This is the required reduction for  $MP_2 \leq_m K^K$  .

Therefore,  $K^K$  is  $\Sigma_2$  - complete.

2. (10 points) A set P is partially productive if there is a partial recursive function (i.e. computed by a Turing machine N - which need not be total)  $\psi$  called the productive function such that:

$$\forall x \ (\mathcal{W}_x \subseteq P \implies N \text{ halts on } x \& \psi(x) \in P \setminus \mathcal{W}_x)$$

Show that any productive set P has an injective recursive productive function.

(Hint: First prove that it can be made recursive and then attempt on making it injective).

## Answer:

3. (5 points) Prove that there must exist a recursive function such that  $\{W_{f(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  consists of precisely decidable sets.

#### Answer:

Let  $n_0$  be the encoding of the trivial turing machine which accepts all string in  $\Sigma^*$ . Consider the function  $f(n) = n_0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

 $\{W_{f(n)}\}=\Sigma^*$  for  $\forall n\in N$ . Hence, this set has only decidable sets, for this particular recursive function.

4. (5 points) A simple set is effectively simple if there is a recursive function f such that:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{W}_n \subseteq \overline{A} \implies |\mathcal{W}_n| \le f(n)$$

Show that Post's simple set is effectively simple.

(Extra Credit) If a set A is effectively simple, argue that  $K \leq_T A$ . This justifies why Friedberg-Muchnik had to do a different construction. (Hint: Normal homework rules does not apply to this question. You can look up anywhere, but cite your sources. !).

#### Answer:

Since A is simple set, implies it intersects every infinite semidecidable language. In other words, if  $\overline{A}$  intersects a particular semi decidable language, it is finite. Hence,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{W}_n \subseteq \overline{A} \implies |\mathcal{W}_n| \text{ is finite.}$$

It now remains to show that, there exists a function f(n) such that,  $|\mathcal{W}_n| \leq f(n)$ .

Let n' be the length of the encoding of a machine which has the same configuration as  $M_n$  except that the accept and reject states are interchanged. For  $f(n) \ge 2*(n+n')$ , the above condition  $|\mathcal{W}_n| \le f(n)$  will satisy.

Consider the enumeration machine which simulates  $M_n$  on all inputs  $x \in \Sigma^*$  in a time sharing fashion. For all strings greater than 2n, those strings which accept will belong to A, from the enumeration machine constructed in class. Hence, only those strings which are greater than 2n and  $M_n$  rejects is to be considered.

Consider the new machine  $M_{n'}$  with accept and reject states of  $M_n$  interchanged. For the first 2n' steps all strings that are accepted by this machine are only to be considered. Note that for strings of length greater than 2n' and accepted by this new machine, they will belong to A from the enumerator constructed in class.

Hence,  $f(n) \ge 2 * (n + n')$  will ensure that  $f(n) \ge |\mathcal{W}_n|$ .

#### **Extra Credit:**

- 5. (10 points) Consider the following computational problems:
  - (a) Given a Turing machine M, test if L(M) is Productive.
  - (b) Given a Turing machine M, test if L(M) is Simple.

Are they decidable? semi-decidable?

(Extra Credit) Place them in the arithmetic hierarchy.

#### Answer(a)

This language is decidable  $(\Delta_1)$ .

Productive set P is defined as for a effectively computable  $\sigma$ ,

$$\forall x, W_x \subseteq P \Longrightarrow \sigma(x) \in P \setminus W_x$$

But, since here P is semidecidable, there for for some particular value of x = t,  $W_t = P$ . And hence, the condition above will not satisfy for this value of x. i.e.

$$\forall x, P \subseteq P \Longrightarrow \sigma(x) \in \varnothing$$
.

And since, no element can belong to the set  $\emptyset$ , hence the property is always false. This can be easily decided by a total TM.

## Answer(b)

This language is not semidecidable. This can be shown using Rice Theorem 2.

The property is a non monotone property.

Consider the language  $\Sigma^*$ . This is not a simple set (because  $\overline{L}$  is not infinite). But in class we proved that there exists a simple set. Since, simple sets are semi decidable sets and it is a subset of  $\Sigma^*$ , therefore, it is not monotone. Hence from rice theorem 2, its not semidecidable.