IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. SURESH KUMAR $\mbox{TUESDAY, THE } 10^{\text{TH}} \mbox{ DAY OF AUGUST } 2021 \mbox{ / } 19\text{TH SRAVANA, } 1943 \\ \mbox{WP(C) NO. } 12280 \mbox{ OF } 2021 \\ \mbox{}$

PETITIONER:

DEEPAK ENGINEERING WORKS
REPRESENTED BY MANAGING PARTNER, SRI K.K
SADANANDAN, AGED 63 YEARS, THIRUVANKULAM P.O,
ERNAKULAM 682 305

BY ADVS.
E.ADITHYAN
MEERA RAMESH
BHAIRAVI S.N
J.HARIKRISHNA
THEJAN RAJ
ASHWIN BALACHANDRAN
AYYAPPADAS

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 THE SUPERINTENDENT ENGINEER, P.H CIRCLE, KERALA WATER AUTHORITY, KOCHI 682 011
- THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 P.H DIVISION, KERALA WATER WATER AUTHORITY,
 PALLIMUKKU, ERNAKULAM, KOCHI 682 016
- 3 KERALA WATER AUTHORITY

 JALA BHAVAN, VELLAYAMBALAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
 REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR 695 010

BY ADV BIJILY JOSEPH BY BENJAMIN PAUL, SC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 10.08.2021, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:



W.P.(C) No.12280 of 2021

JUDGMENT

Petitioner has executed a work for the Kerala Water Authority during 2016. The grievance voiced by the petitioner in the writ petition concerns the inordinate delay on the part of the Water Authority in disbursing the proceeds of the said work.

2. The learned Standing Counsel for the Kerala Water Authority submits that the petitioner has executed a few additional works in the course of execution of the main work and the delay in disbursing the proceeds of the work was due to the delay in obtaining administrative sanction for the additional works carried out by the petitioner. It was also submitted by the learned Standing Counsel that administrative sanction has now been obtained in respect of the additional works executed by the petitioner and there is therefore no



impediment in disbursing the payments due to the petitioner.

Having regard to the fact that the work referred to in the writ petition is one executed by the petitioner during 2016, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the respondents to disburse the payments due to the petitioner forthwith. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-P.B.SURESH KUMAR, JUDGE.

ds 10.08.2021

HIGH COURT OF KERALA

CERTIFIED COPY



APPENDIX OF WP(C) 12280/2021

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit P1	A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 15-03-2018
Exhibit P2	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) NO. 13581 OF 2018 DATED 8TH DAY OF AUGUST 2018
Exhibit P3	TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN CON.CASE(C) NO. 2125 OF 2018 IN WP(C) NO. 13851/2018 DATED 6TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2019
Exhibit P4	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT DATED 17-08-2020
Exhibit P5	TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 26-02-2021.
Exhibit P6	TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGES OF THE MEDIUM ENTERPRISES DEVELOPMENT (MSMED ACT) 2006