

Isolation game heuristic analysis

The heuristics I tried for the game of Isolation were incremental and complementary. My ID_Improved had a performance of 60 - 65% over multiple iterations so all the numbers of the student are with respect to this.

They are the following:

- 1) Any element on the board can have 8 possible moves at a place (assuming an empty board) except for the first 2 rows, last 2 rows, first 2 columns and last 2 columns. I used this observation to weight my_moves and opp_moves respectively according to their row and column number, weighing the cells in the middle more as compared to the edges. Then I used the improved score variant:

$$\text{my_weighted_moves} - 2 * \text{opp_weighted_moves}$$

This allowed a performance of 65 - 70% by the student.

- 2) I observed that there were some terminal cases which could be ignored by the algorithm or not given as much weight as they deserve. Here is an example:

2	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—		—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	1	—	—	—
—		—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—		—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—

In the above example, we can clearly see that if player 1 is to move next, it can finish the game by moving to (2, 1), so player 1 is winning this game; it would be good if we return +inf from this node and similarly for the reverse situation.

Adding this heuristic made my student reach 70 - 75% performance.

Apart from this, I did some minor optimizations to first move. If my player is to move first, it chooses the center. If it goes second, it chooses center if unoccupied, or else it reduces the search space i.e. legal_moves to one quadrant owing to the symmetry of the board after the first move.

I also made sure, that if minimax or alphabeta are not able to find a non losing move but there are still legal moves available, they return a valid move.