

4.20 The Hessian matrix for the multi-class logistic regression problem comprises blocks of size $M \times M$ in which block (k, j) (referring to the k th row and j th column block) is given by:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_k} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}_j} E(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K) = - \sum_{n=1}^N y_{nk} (I_{kj} - y_{nj}) \phi_n \phi_n^T$$

To prove the positive semidefinite property, we consider the product $\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{u}$ where \mathbf{u} is an arbitrary vector of length MK .

Let

$$\mathbf{u} = [\mathbf{u}_{0 \rightarrow (M-1)} \quad \mathbf{u}_{M \rightarrow (2M-1)} \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{u}_{(K-1)M \rightarrow (KM-1)}]^T$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{0 \rightarrow (M-1)}$ consists of the first M elements of the vector \mathbf{u} , and so on.

The M elements of the j th section in the product of $\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{H}$ are given by multiplying the vector \mathbf{u} with all the K blocks of the j th column of \mathbf{H} :

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^K \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \quad \left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_{nk} (I_{kj} - y_{nj}) \phi_n \phi_n^T \right) \right)$$

This section is multiplied by the the M elements of the j th block of \mathbf{u} in the product $\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{u}$, giving us:

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^K \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \quad \left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_{nk} (I_{kj} - y_{nj}) \phi_n \phi_n^T \right) \right) \mathbf{u}_{(jM) \rightarrow ((j+1)M-1)}^T$$

The final product will have K such terms, one for each j , giving us:

$$\sum_{j=0}^K \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \quad \left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_{nk} (I_{kj} - y_{nj}) \phi_n \phi_n^T \right) \right) \left(\mathbf{u}_{(jM) \rightarrow ((j+1)M-1)}^T \right)$$

which is the full product.

This becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_{nk} (I_{kj} - y_{nj}) \phi_n \phi_n^T \right) \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^K \mathbf{u}_{(jM) \rightarrow ((j+1)M-1)} \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^K \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \quad y_{nk} (I_{kj} - y_{nj}) \phi_n \phi_n^T \quad \mathbf{u}_{(jM) \rightarrow ((j+1)M-1)} \right) \\
&= - \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^K \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \quad y_{nk} y_{nj} \phi_n \phi_n^T \quad \mathbf{u}_{(jM) \rightarrow ((j+1)M-1)} \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^K \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \quad y_{nk} I_{kj} \phi_n \phi_n^T \quad \mathbf{u}_{(jM) \rightarrow ((j+1)M-1)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Considering the first term:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^K \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \quad y_{nk} y_{nj} \phi_n \phi_n^T \quad \mathbf{u}_{(jM) \rightarrow ((j+1)M-1)} \right) \\
&= - \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^K \left(y_{nk} \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n \right) \left(y_{nj} \phi_n^T \mathbf{u}_{(jM) \rightarrow ((j+1)M-1)} \right) \right) \\
&= - \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^K y_{nj} \phi_n^T \mathbf{u}_{(jM) \rightarrow ((j+1)M-1)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Both the terms are equal, giving us:

$$= - \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n \right)^2$$

Considering the second term:

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^K \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \quad y_{nk} I_{kj} \phi_n \phi_n^T \quad \mathbf{u}_{(jM) \rightarrow ((j+1)M-1)} \right)$$

$I_{kj} = 1$ when $k = j$, otherwise it is 0, giving us:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \quad y_{nk} \phi_n \phi_n^T \quad \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{n=1}^N y_{nk} \left(\mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n \right) \left(\phi_n^T \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)} \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \sum_{n=1}^N y_{nk} \left(\mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} \left(\mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

The sum of the two terms becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} \left(\mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n \right)^2 - \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} \left(\mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n \right)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $f(x) = x^2$, and $x = \mathbf{u}_{(kM) \rightarrow ((k+1)M-1)}^T \phi_n$. This gives us:

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} f(x) - f \left(\sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} x \right) \right)$$

$1 \geq y_{nk} \geq 0$, and $\sum_k y_{nk} = 1$ since the sum of predicted probabilities is 1.

Also, $f(x) = x^2$ is a convex function. So we can apply Jensen's inequality to say that:

$$\sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} f(x) \geq f\left(\sum_{k=0}^K y_{nk} x\right)$$

which implies that $\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{u} \geq 0$, and therefore, \mathbf{H} is positive semidefinite.