

1.31 We need to prove two things:

$$\mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}] + \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{y}] \implies p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = p(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{y})$$

and

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = p(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{y}) \implies \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}] + \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{y}]$$

Proving the first statement:

$$\mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}] + \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{y}]$$

$$\implies \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}] + \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}] + \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{y}]$$

$$\implies \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}]$$

Applying 1.121, we get:

$$\implies \mathbf{I}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = 0$$

$$\implies \mathbf{x} \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \text{ are independent.}$$

Proving the second statement:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] &= - \int_{\mathbf{x}} \int_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbf{x}} \int_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{y}) \ln(p(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{y})) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbf{x}} \int_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} - \int_{\mathbf{x}} \int_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right) p(\mathbf{x}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbf{y}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right) p(\mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_{\mathbf{x}} (1) p(\mathbf{x}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbf{y}} (1) p(\mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \\
&= - \int_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x}) \ln p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{y}) \ln p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \\
&= \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{x}] + \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{y}]
\end{aligned}$$