

# The $\sigma$ -algebra inverse limit thing

Abhimanyu Pallavi Sudhir

13 November 2022

## 1 Dummy

**Definition 1.1** (Maximal Introspection – single-observer). Let  $\mathbf{F}^0$  be a set, called the base partition, and define a sequence  $(\mathbf{F}^n)$  by the following recurrence:

$$\mathbb{P}^n = \left\{ \mathbf{P} : \mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \sum_{f \in \mathbf{F}^n} \mathbf{P}(f) = 1 \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{F}^{n+1} = \mathbf{F}^0 \times \mathbb{P}^n$$

( $\mathbb{P}^n$  should be read as the probability measure defined by “the  $(n + 1)$ -level agent on the  $n$ -level partition”) – and define for  $m \leq n$  the projection  $\pi^{mn} : \mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^m$  transitively via composition on the following recurrence:

$$\pi^{01}(f^0, \mathbf{P}^0) = f^0$$

$$\pi^{m(m+1)}(f^0, \mathbf{P}^m) = \left\langle f^0, (g \in \mathbf{F}^{m-1}) \mapsto \sum_{h \in \pi^{(m-1)m-1}(g)} \mathbf{P}^m(h) \right\rangle$$

Then  $(\mathbf{F}^n)$  forms an inverse family under these connecting morphisms, and we call the inverse limit  $\mathbf{F} := \varprojlim \mathbf{F}^n$  the “maximally introspective extension” of  $\mathbf{F}^0$ .

Concretely, an element of  $\mathbf{F}$  is an element of  $\mathbf{F}^0$  along with a sequence of probability distributions such that each successive probability distribution that “refines” the previous. Examples of “interpreting”/coarsening an event in  $\mathbf{F}^{n+1}$  as an event in  $\mathbf{F}^n$  (because this is the tricky bit) in Figs 1, 2, 3 respectively.

To be sure, this definition is pretty lacking – it doesn’t quite suffice for formulating Gödel’s theorem, because any program you place in  $\mathbf{F}^0$  is unable to refer to the agent’s beliefs, which are located in the finer  $\sigma$ -algebras. A fuller description – for an agent rather than an observer, and for multiple agents – would require a more general (perhaps category-theoretic) definition, which would also provide a formal justification for this inverse-limit construction. I can probably do this fairly easily.

$$\pi^{01} : \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \} & 0.5 \\ 1 & \} & 0.5 \end{array} \quad 0 \quad \mapsto \quad 0$$

Figure 1:  $\pi^{01}$

$$\pi^{12} : \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \} & 0.5 \\ 1 & \} & 0.5 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \} & 0.3 \\ 1 & \} & 0.4 \end{array} \quad 0 \quad \mapsto \quad \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \} & 0.5 \\ 1 & \} & 0.5 \end{array} \quad 0$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \} & 0.1 \\ 1 & \} & 0.9 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \} & 0.2 \\ 1 & \} & 0.1 \end{array}$$

Figure 2:  $\pi^{12}$

But this does provide us with a toy model to figure out exactly how an inverse-limit construction avoids Cantorian issues, whether this  $\mathbf{F}$  should be interpreted as a  $\sigma$ -algebra or a sample space, etc.



3