

- Q7 (a) Show that every Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2)$  conv. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$
- (b) Does every Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  and in  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_1)$  also conv. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  w.r.t. these norms?
- (c) Does every Cauchy seq. in  $(l^1, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  conv in  $l^1$ ?
- (d) Is the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm?

### Solution

• Some Results (proof in appendix - (as already proved in lectures))

① —  $|x_i| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, p \geq 1, n \geq 2$   
 $(i, p, n \in \mathbb{N})$

② — If  $x^{(m)}$  denotes a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^n$   
and  $x^{(m)} := (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, \dots, x_n^{(m)})$   
and  $x_i^{(m)} \rightarrow x_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$   
then  $x^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_p} x \quad \forall p \geq 1$   
where  $x := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

• Proof of (a)

Given:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_\varepsilon$  s.t.  $\forall m, m_2 \geq N_\varepsilon, \|x^{(m_1)} - x^{(m_2)}\|_2 < \varepsilon$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i^{(m_1)} - x_i^{(m_2)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_i^{(m_1)} - x_i^{(m_2)}| < \varepsilon \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \forall m_1, m_2 \geq N_\varepsilon \quad (\text{from } \textcircled{1})$$

$\Rightarrow x_i^{(m)}$  is a ~~conv~~ Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Since every Cauchy seq. in  $\mathbb{R}$  conv, ~~we~~  $x_i^{(m)} \rightarrow x_i \quad \forall i$  s.t.  $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\Rightarrow (x^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_2} x \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (\text{from } \textcircled{2})$$

□

~~Proof of (b)~~  
Yes

- Answer of (b) : Yes, every Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_1)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  converges with respect to their respective norms.

Proof :

- for  $\|\cdot\|_1$   
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_\varepsilon \text{ s.t. } \forall m, m_2 \geq N_\varepsilon, \|x^{(m_1)} - x^{(m_2)}\|_1 < \varepsilon$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i^{(m_1)} - x_i^{(m_2)}| \right) < \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_i^{(m_1)} - x_i^{(m_2)}| < \varepsilon \quad \forall m, m_2 \geq N_\varepsilon, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i^{(m)} \text{ is a Cauchy seq. in } \mathbb{R} \quad \forall i \text{ s.t. } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i^{(m)} \text{ converges in } \mathbb{R} \quad \forall i \text{ s.t. } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\text{i.e. } x_i^{(m)} \longrightarrow x_i \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_1} x \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

- for  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_\varepsilon \text{ s.t. } \forall m, m_2 \geq N_\varepsilon, \|x^{(m_1)} - x^{(m_2)}\|_\infty < \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i^{(m_1)} - x_i^{(m_2)}| < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{But } |x_i^{(m_1)} - x_i^{(m_2)}| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i^{(m_1)} - x_i^{(m_2)}| \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_i^{(m_1)} - x_i^{(m_2)}| < \varepsilon \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i^{(m)} \text{ is a Cauchy seq. in } \mathbb{R} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i^{(m)} \text{ converges in } \mathbb{R} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

i.e.  $n_i^{(m)} \rightarrow n_i \quad \forall n_i \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_\infty} x \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

• Answers of (c)

No, every Cauchy seq. in  $(\ell^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$  does not wq in  $\ell^1$ .

One counterexample is enough to ~~of~~ disprove the assertion

Consider  $x^{(n)}$  in  $l^1$  ~~and~~  $\mathbb{R}$

s.t.  $n_i^{(n)} = (1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots, 1/n, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$

$x^{(n)}$  is in  $l$  because  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \leq n < \infty \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

- $x^{(n)}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathcal{L}^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$

~~Step 1~~ ~~Assume~~ ~~is~~ [ How?  
Assume  $m > n$  (no loss in generality as the other wise as well)  
$$\sup_{i \geq 1} |x_i^{(m)} - x_i^{(n)}| = \frac{1}{n+1} \rightarrow 0$$

• Answer of (d): No,  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  are not equivalent on  $\ell_1$ .

Let the metrics induced by  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  be  $d_1$  and  $d_\infty$ .

To show that  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  are not equivalent on  $\ell_1$ , it suffices to give a sequence  $x^{(n)}$  in  $\ell_1$  and  $x \in \ell_1$

$$\text{s.t. } d_\infty(x^{(n)}, x) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{but } d_1(x^{(n)}, x) \not\rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the same sequence ~~as~~ used in part (c)

$$x_i^{(n)} = (1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots, 1/n, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$\text{Let } x_i = 1/i \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$- d_\infty(x^{(n)}, x) = \|x^{(n)} - x\|_\infty$$

$$= \sup_{i \geq 1} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1}$$

$$\text{We know that } \frac{1}{n+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\text{So, } d_\infty(x^{(n)}, x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$- d_1(x^{(n)}, x) = \|x^{(n)} - x\|_1$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^m \frac{1}{i}$$

This limit does not converge (harmonic series diverge)

$$\text{So, } d_1(x^{(n)}, x) \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□



### Appendix A : Proof of Result ①

Result ① :  $|x_i| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, p \geq 1, n \geq 2$   
( $i, p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

Proof :  $|x_i|^p \leq |x_i|^p \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, p \geq 1$

$\Rightarrow |x_i|^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p$  [ Since  $|x_i|^p$ 's are positive or 0  
hence their sum  $\geq$  each term ]

$$\Rightarrow |x_i| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \square$$

### Appendix B : Proof of Result ②

Result ② : If  $x^{(m)}$  denotes a sequence of terms in  $\mathbb{R}^n$   
and  $x^{(m)} := (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, \dots, x_n^{(m)})$   
and  $x_i^{(m)} \rightarrow x_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$   
then  $x^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_p} x \quad \forall p \geq 1$   
where  $x := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

Proof : Given -  $x_i^{(m)} \rightarrow x_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$   
To show -  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall m \geq N, \|x^{(m)} - x\|_p < \varepsilon$   
where  $x := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

Since  $\frac{\varepsilon}{n^{1/p}} > 0, \forall i, \exists N'_i$  s.t.  $|x_i^{(m)} - x_i| < \frac{\varepsilon}{n^{1/p}} \quad \forall m \geq N'_i$

$$\Rightarrow |x_i^{(m)} - x_i|^p < \frac{\varepsilon^p}{n} \quad \forall m \geq N'_i$$

Take  $N_\varepsilon = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{N'_i\}$

$$\text{So, } \forall m \geq N_\varepsilon, \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i^{(m)} - x_i|^p < \frac{\varepsilon^p}{n} \cdot n$$

(Since each term is less than  $\frac{\varepsilon^p}{n}$ )

$$\Rightarrow \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i^{(m)} - x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} < \varepsilon \quad \forall m \geq N_\varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x^{(m)} - x\|_p < \varepsilon \quad \forall m \geq N_\varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_p} x$$

□