

Saved Twitter Thread

It's Sunday! — and if you're the sort of person who loves being really nitpicky regarding the clarity, precision & completeness of end-to-end #ENCRYPTION standards, the I would really *love* your input to help make this document better: (1/2)
<https://t.co/Dy81hFrAzy>

Responses via comment, tweet, email (alec.muffett at gmail) or GitHub issue, are all welcomed. The goal is to build a "yardstick"/metric by which we may determine whether a communications solution implements something fit to be called "end-to-end secure/encrypted" (2/2)

Incidentally, this is all it takes to define end-to-end secure messaging, at the moment. It's not excessive and only requires one acronym, for brevity purposes. <https://t.co/ThOvyAgiPe>

boing boing 😁🤔😊