## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

## INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 660 OF 2011

Commissioner of Income Tax-LTU, 29<sup>th</sup> floor,World Trade Centre, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai-400005. ...Appellant.

V.

M/s. Gujrat Ambuja Cements Ltd., 122, Maker Chambers III, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021. ...Respondent.

Mr. Vimal Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Padma Divakar for the Appellant.

Mr. Niraj Seth with Atul K. Jasani for the Respondent.

CORAM : J.P. DEVADHAR AND

M.S. SANKLECHA, JJ.

DATE: 4<sup>th</sup> December, 2012

PC:

Two questions of law raised by the revenue read thus:

- i) Whether on the facts and in the circumstances of the case and in law the ITAT was right in holding that pooja expenses of Rs.11,88,427/- as business expenses?
- ii) Whether on the facts and in the circumstances of the case and in law, the ITAT was right in holding that temple expenses of Rs.9,46,227/- as business expenses?



ASN to the such a such 2 ITXA-660.sxw

- 2) The assessment year involved herein is 1997-98.
- 3) As regards the first question is concerned, admittedly, similar decision rendered by the Tribunal for the assessment year 1995-96 and 1996-97 have been accepted by the revenue. No case is made out for not accepting the decision of the Tribunal for the assessment year in question . Hence, we do not think it proper to entertain the first question.
- 4) The appeal is admitted on the second question.

(M.S.SANKLECHA, J.)

(J.P. DEVADHAR, J.)