Generating Hubbard Model Solutions from Anderson Impurity Model Solutions

August 5, 2021

1 Introduction

This is an attempt to obtain solutions of the Hubbard model (groundstate wavefunction and ground state energy) using the solutions of the simpler single-impurity Anderson model (SIAM). The models are defined using the Hamiltonians

$$H_{\text{hubb}} = -t^{H} \sum_{\sigma, \langle i, j \rangle} \left(c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U^{H} \sum_{i} \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - \mu^{H} \sum_{i\sigma} \hat{n}_{i\sigma}$$

$$H_{\text{siam}} = -t^{A} \sum_{\substack{\sigma, \langle i, j \rangle \\ i \neq d \neq i}} \left(c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \epsilon_{d}^{A} \sum_{\sigma} \hat{n}_{d\sigma} + U^{A} \hat{n}_{d\uparrow} \hat{n}_{d\downarrow} - \mu^{A} \sum_{i \neq d, \sigma} \hat{n}_{i\sigma}$$

$$(1.1)$$

Broadly speaking, the method involves first solving the SIAM using a unitary renormalisation group approach, to get the ground state wavefunction and energy eigenvalue, and then combining these wavefunctions in a symmetrized fashion to get the wavefunction for the Hubbard model lattice. It is quite similar to dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) in essence, but differs in practice. The similar part is the involvement of an impurity-solver. The difference, however, lies in the following points:

- While DMFT primarily works with Greens functions and self-energies, this method involves Hamiltonians and wavefunctions.
- The impurity-solver in DMFT provides an impurity Greens function (which is then equated with the local Greens function of the bath), while the impurity-solver in this method actually provides a wavefunction.
- The final step of DMFT is the self-consistency equation, where the impurity and bath-local quantities are set equal. This ensures all sites, along with the impurity site, have the same self-energy, something which is required on grounds of translational invariance. The present method, however, brings about the translational invariance in a different way. It symmetrizes the wavefunctions and Hamiltonians itself, such that all quantites then derived from the Hamiltonian or wavefunction are then guaranteed to have the symmetry.

The meaning of each of these statements will become clearer when we describe the method in more detail.

2 Philosophy of the method

The method is closely tied to the auxiliary system approach described in [1]. We can view the full Hamiltonian as a sum of two component Hamiltonians H_1, H_2 connected via the interaction term H_{12} .

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_{12} \\ H_{12}^* & H_2 \end{pmatrix} = H_1 |1\rangle \langle 1| + H_2 |2\rangle \langle 2| + H_{12} |1\rangle \langle 2| + H_{12}^* |2\rangle \langle 1|$$
(2.1)

where $|1(2)\rangle$ actually represents a sum over all basis kets of the subsystem 1(2). As an example, we can split the Hubbard model Hamiltonian between a particular site i=p and the rest of the lattice as follows:

$$H_{\text{hubb}} = \underbrace{U^{H} \hat{n}_{p\uparrow} \hat{n}_{p\downarrow} - \mu^{H} \sum_{\sigma} \hat{n}_{p\sigma}}_{I_{p\downarrow}} + \underbrace{U^{H} \sum_{i \neq p, \sigma} \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{p\downarrow} - \mu^{H} \sum_{i \neq p, \sigma} \hat{n}_{i\sigma} - t^{H} \sum_{\sigma, \langle i, j \rangle \atop i \neq p \neq j} \left(c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

$$- t^{H} \sum_{i \in \text{N.N. of } p} \left(c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{p\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

$$\underbrace{H_{12} + H_{12}^{*}}_{H_{12} + H_{12}^{*}}$$

$$(2.2)$$

The Greens function of the full Hamiltonian can also be split in a similar fashion:

$$G(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & G_{12} \\ G_{12}^* & G_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2.3)

The subsystem 1 is usually taken to be the "smaller system", and consequently, subsystem 2 represents the "bath". The smaller system is typically chosen such that its eigenstates are known exactly. Progress is then made by choosing a simpler version of the bath H_2 and a simpler form also for its coupling H_{12} with the smaller system. This combination of the smaller system and the simpler bath is then called the *auxiliary system*. A typical auxiliary system for the Hubbard model would be the SIAM, where the impurity represents an arbitrary site p of the lattice, the bath represents the rest of the lattice sites and the hybridisation term between the impurity and the bath represents the coupling term H_{12} .

The algorithm of DMFT then involves starting with some local self-energy of the bath, $\Sigma(\omega)$, and using an impurity solver to calculate the impurity Greens function in the presence of this self-energy. This impurity Greens function is then used to calculate the impurity self-energy $\Sigma_d(\omega)$, and the self-energy of the bath is then set equal to this impurity self-energy: $\Sigma(\omega) = \Sigma_d(\omega)$, because we expect, on grounds of the lattice symmetry, that the impurity is the same as any other site in the bath. This is said to be the self-consistency step, because the bath self-energy is completely determined only at the end. With this updated bath self-energy, one then repeats the entire process until there is no further change in the bath self-energy at the self-consistency step.

The present method intends to calculate the quantities in a different fashion. We start with a SIAM (possibly with a correlated bath having a non-trivial self-energy), and solve it using the unitary renormalisation group approach to get to a fixed-point Hamiltonian. At the fixed-point, assuming the couplings are much larger compared to the kinetic energy, we can approximate the Hamiltonian by a zero-mode of the

kinetic energy part, which leads to a two-site Anderson molecule Hamiltonian. Such a model is exactly solvable. Armed with such a groundstate, we will then create the wavefunction of the entire Hubbard Hamiltonian by combining them according to a prescription which is guided by the symmetries of the problem. The impurity electron will be read off as an arbitrary site, p, of the lattice, while the zero-mode site becomes the site that is nearest to it. There are also integrals of motion that come out of the URG, they make up the rest of the sites in the lattice. We will first demonstrate this explicitly for the Hubbard dimer. Then we will show how we can create a full Hubbard Hamiltonian by joining Anderson molecule Hamiltonians, and this should hopefully be a step towards getting a general prescription for generating wavefunctions for the full lattice (not just dimers).

3 Solution of the Hubbard dimer using the Anderson molecule

The Hubbard dimer and Anderson molecules (zero-mode) are defined by the following respective Hamiltonians:

$$H^{H} = -t^{H} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U^{H} \sum_{i=1,2} \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - \mu^{H} \sum_{\sigma,i=1,2} \hat{n}_{i\sigma}$$

$$H^{A} = -t^{A} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{d\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{z\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \epsilon_{d}^{A} \sum_{\sigma} \hat{n}_{d\sigma} + U^{A} \hat{n}_{d\uparrow} \hat{n}_{d\downarrow}$$
(3.1)

In the first Hamiltonian, the indices i=1,2 refer to the two lattice sites that constitute the dimer. In the second Hamiltonian, the subscript d indicates the impurity site, while the subscript z indicates the zero-mode site. First, we will assume that the Hubbard dimer is at half-filling $(\frac{1}{2}U^H = \mu^H)$:

$$H^{H} = -t^{H} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U^{H} \sum_{i=1,2} \hat{\tau}_{i\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{i\downarrow} + \left(\frac{1}{2} U^{H} - \mu^{H} \right) \sum_{\sigma,i=1,2} \hat{n}_{i\sigma} + \text{constant}$$

$$= -t^{H} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U^{H} \sum_{i=1,2} \hat{\tau}_{i\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{i\downarrow}$$
(3.2)

Since the Hubbard Hamiltonian is at half-filling, we will also place the impurity at half-filling by setting $\epsilon_d^A = -\frac{1}{2}U^A$:

$$H^{A} = -t^{A} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{d\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{z\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \left(\epsilon_{d}^{A} + \frac{1}{2} U^{A} \right) \sum_{\sigma} \hat{\tau}_{d\sigma} + U^{A} \hat{\tau}_{d\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{d\downarrow} + \text{constant}$$

$$= -t^{A} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{d\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{z\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U^{A} \hat{\tau}_{d\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{d\downarrow}$$
(3.3)

The first step is to recreate the Hubbard dimer Hamiltonian eq. 3.2 using the Anderson molecule Hamiltonian eq. 3.3:

$$H^{H} = -t^{H} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U^{H} \sum_{i=1,2} \hat{\tau}_{i\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{i\downarrow}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[-t^{H} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + t^{H} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{2\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} 2U^{H} \sum_{i=1,2} \hat{\tau}_{i\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{i\downarrow}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[-t^{A} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{d\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{z\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) \Big|_{\substack{z \to 2, d \to 1 \\ t^{A \to tH}}} + t^{A} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{2\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) \Big|_{\substack{d \to 2, z \to 1 \\ t^{A \to tH}}} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left(U^{A} \hat{\tau}_{i\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{i\downarrow} \Big|_{\substack{d \to 1 \\ U^{A \to 2UH}}} + U^{A} \hat{\tau}_{i\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{i\downarrow} \Big|_{\substack{d \to 2 \\ U^{A \to 2UH}}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[H^{A} \left(t^{A} \to t^{H}, U^{A} \to 2U^{H}, d \to 1, z \to 2 \right) + H^{A} \left(t^{A} \to t^{H}, U^{A} \to 2U^{H}, d \to 2, z \to 1 \right) \right]$$

The conclusion we can draw from this is that the Hubbard dimer Hamiltonian can be obtained from the Anderson dimer Hamiltonian in the following fashion:

- The essential idea is that we have to create a local Hubbard Hamiltonian for each site of the Hubbard lattice by replacing the impurity label d in the Anderson dimer with the label of the particular site. So if there are two sites, we will get two local Hamiltonians obtained by replacing d with 1 and 2 respectively. For each local Hamiltonian, the zero-mode label z is replaced by the site that is nearest to the one that d is being replaced by. So, if $d \to 1(2)$, then $z \to 2(1)$.
- This, however, is not the only change that we must make, in order to get the local Hamiltonian for a particular site. Along with d and z, we must also make the transformations $t^A \to t^H, U^A \to 2U^H$.
- Finally, once we have the local Hamiltonians for sites 1 and 2, we average them to get the total Hubbard Hamiltonian.

Note that we expect most of these "rules" to be specific for the dimer, and there will be generalizations to most of them for a general N-site Hubbard Hamiltonian.

The wavefunctions for the N=2 sector can also be connected through these transformations. Since both the Hamiltonians are analytically solvable, we can write down their groundstate wavefunctions [2]:

$$|\Psi_{\text{GS}}^{H}\rangle = a_{1}(U^{H}, t^{H}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow_{1}, \downarrow_{2}\rangle - |\downarrow_{1}, \uparrow_{2}\rangle \right) - a_{2}(U^{H}, t^{H}) \sqrt{2} \left(|\uparrow_{1}\downarrow_{1}, \rangle - |, \uparrow_{2}\downarrow_{2}\rangle \right)$$

$$|\Psi_{\text{GS}}^{A}\rangle = a_{1} \left(\frac{1}{2} U^{A}, t^{A} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\uparrow_{d}, \downarrow_{z}\rangle - |\downarrow_{d}, \uparrow_{z}\rangle \right) - a_{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} U^{A}, t^{A} \right) \sqrt{2} \left(|\uparrow_{d}\downarrow_{d}, \rangle - |, \uparrow_{z}\downarrow_{z}\rangle \right)$$

$$E_{\text{GS}}^{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta \left(U^{H}, t^{H} \right), E_{\text{GS}}^{A} = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta \left(\frac{1}{2} U^{A}, t^{A} \right)$$

$$(3.5)$$

where

$$a_1(U,t) \equiv \frac{4t}{\sqrt{2\Delta(U,t)(\Delta(U,t)-U)}}, \quad a_2(U,t) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\Delta(U,t)-U}{2\Delta(U,t)}}, \quad \Delta(U,t) \equiv \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}$$
 (3.6)

 a_1, a_2 satisfy $a_1(-U, t) = -a_2(U, t)$ and $a_1(U, t)a_2(U, t) = \frac{2t}{\Delta(U, t)}$. From the forms of the wavefunctions and eigenenergies, we can immediately write down

$$|\Psi_{\mathrm{GS}}^{H}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left[|\Psi_{\mathrm{GS}}^{A}\rangle \left(t^{A}, U^{A} \to t^{H}, 2U^{H}, d \to 1, z \to 2 \right) + |\Psi_{\mathrm{GS}}^{A}\rangle \left(t^{A}, U^{A} \to t^{H}, 2U^{H}, d \to 2, z \to 1 \right) \right]$$

$$E_{\mathrm{GS}}^{H} = E_{\mathrm{GS}}^{A} \left(t^{A}, U^{A} \to t^{H}, 2U^{H} \right)$$

$$(3.7)$$

This shows that the rules laid out before work for the Hamiltonians, as well as the wavefunctions and energy eigenvalues of the N=2,0,4 sector. These sectors specifically work because it is only in these sectors can we ensure that $n_d=n_z$, which is required for the Hubbard Hamiltonian because $n_1=n_2$. In the other sectors (N=1,3), the impurity site and the zero-mode sites have to be singly-occupied in some part, and since the impurity site incurs a single-occupation cost of $-\frac{U^H}{2}$ which is not borne by the zero-mode site, there is an intrinsic dissimilarity between the two sites of the Anderson molecule in this regime. This dissimilarity does not exist for the Hubbard model, so we cannot hope to connect the two models in this regime.

4 Creating General N-site Hubbard Hamiltonian from Anderson molecule

We will follow the strategy outlined in the previous section. For concreteness, we will consider a lattice of N lattice sites and w nearest neighbours for each site. Note that a uniform number of nearest neighbours means that there is perfect translational invariance on the lattice, which means there cannot be any edge sites. This is achieved by applying periodic boundary conditions on the edges of the lattice. A square 2d lattice is thus placed on a torus.

For each site i out of the N sites (having nearest neighbours $\{i_j, j \in [1, w]\}$), we will create w local Hamiltonians $\{H_{i,i_j}^H, i \in [1, N], j \in [1, w]\}$ out of the Anderson molecule Hamiltonian, by setting the impurity index d to i and the zero-mode index z to i_j . We will also need to suitably transform the Hamiltonian parameters U^A, t^A , but we will figure those out as we go along. All N sites will then produce Nw local Hamiltonians in total, and the general Hubbard Hamiltonian should be the average of these local Hamiltonians.

The local Hamiltonians look like

$$H_{i,i_j}^A = -t^A \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i_j\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U^A \hat{\tau}_{i\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{i\downarrow}$$
 (4.1)

We havent transformed the Anderson parameters U^A , t^A to the Hubbard parameters yet. The sum of all local Hamiltonians for a particular site i then gives the total Hamiltonian for that site:

$$H_i^A = \sum_{j \in [1, w]} H_{i, i_j}^H = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \\ j \in \text{N.N. of } i}} -t^A \left(c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + w U^A \hat{\tau}_{i\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{i\downarrow}$$

$$\tag{4.2}$$

If we now sum over all the lattice sites and take the average of all the Hamiltonians (Nw in number), we get

$$H^{H} = \frac{1}{Nw} \sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{i}^{H} = -\frac{2}{Nw} t^{A} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \\ \langle ij \rangle}} \left(c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{1}{N} U^{A} \hat{\tau}_{i\uparrow} \hat{\tau}_{i\downarrow}$$
 (4.3)

The factor of 2 in front of t^A appears because each nearest neighbour pair $\langle i, j \rangle$ is counted twice, once when $d \to i$ and again when $d \to j$. Comparing with the actual Hubbard model, we can guess the following

transformations for the model paramters:

$$\frac{2}{Nw}t^A \to t^H, \quad \frac{1}{N}U^A \to U^H \tag{4.4}$$

For the dimer versions, we had N=2, w=1 and if we substitute these values into the transformations we recover the transformations we had discovered that worked for the dimer. The Hubbard Hamiltonian can thus be obtained from the Anderson molecule using the following equation:

$$H^{H}\left(t^{H}, U^{H}\right) = \frac{1}{Nw} \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} \left[H^{A}\left(d \to i, z \to j\right) + H^{A}\left(d \to j, z \to i\right) \right]_{t^{A} \to \frac{Nw}{2}t^{H}} \tag{4.5}$$

The combination that is being summed over,

$$H^{A}\left(d \to i, z \to j\right) + H^{A}\left(d \to j, z \to i\right) \tag{4.6}$$

is actually a Hubbard dimer Hamiltonian.

$$H^{D}(U^{D}, t^{D}) \equiv -t^{D} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{0\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U^{D} \left(\tau_{0\uparrow} \tau_{0\downarrow} + \tau_{1\uparrow} \tau_{1\downarrow} \right)$$

$$H^{A} \left(d \to i, z \to j \right) + H^{A} \left(d \to j, z \to i \right) = -2t^{A} \sum_{\sigma} \left(c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + U^{A} \left(\tau_{i\uparrow} \tau_{i\downarrow} + \tau_{i\uparrow} \tau_{i\downarrow} \right)$$

$$\Longrightarrow H^{A} \left(d \to i, z \to j \right) + H^{A} \left(d \to j, z \to i \right) = H^{D}(0 \to i, 1 \to j, t^{D} \to 2t^{A}, U^{D} \to U^{A})$$

$$(4.7)$$

The tiling can thus be actually done in terms of Hubbard dimers:

$$H^{H}\left(t^{H}, U^{H}\right) = \frac{1}{Nw} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[H^{D}\left(1 \to i, 2 \to j\right) \right]_{U^{D} \to Nwt^{H} \atop U^{A} \to NU^{H}}$$

$$\tag{4.8}$$

For reasons that will become apparent later, we will scale the internal Hubbard dimer by half, pulling out a two:

$$H^{H}\left(t^{H}, U^{H}\right) = \frac{2}{Nw} \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} \left[H^{D}\left(1 \to i, 2 \to j\right) \right]_{U^{D} \to \frac{1}{2}Nwt^{H}}$$

$$(4.9)$$

5 Computing Greens functions and related quantities

In terms of the Greens function, equation 4.9 becomes

$$\omega - G_H^{-1}(\omega) = \frac{2}{Nw} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[\omega - G_D^{-1} \left(1 \to i, 2 \to j \right) \right]_{U^D \to \frac{1}{2}Nwt^H}$$

$$(5.1)$$

where G_H and G_A are the Greens functions for the full Hubbard and the Hubbard dimer respectively:

$$G_H(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - H^H}, \quad G_D(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - H^D}$$
 (5.2)

Since the ω on the RHS of eq. 5.1 is independent of the summation indices, they can be pulled out along with a factor. The factor is just the total number of nearest neighbour pairs, which is $\frac{Nw}{2}$. This allows it to cancel the ω on the LHS. The equation then simplifies to

$$G_{H}^{-1}(\omega) = \frac{2}{Nw} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[G_{D}^{-1} \left(\omega, 1 \to i, 2 \to j \right) \right]_{U^{D} \to \frac{1}{2}NU^{H}}^{D \to \frac{1}{2}Nwt^{H}} = \frac{2}{Nw} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} G_{D}^{-1} \left(\omega, i, j, t^{D} = \frac{1}{2}Nwt^{H}, U^{D} = \frac{1}{2}NU^{H} \right)$$
(5.3)

where $G_D^{-1}(i, j, t^D, U^D)$ is the Greens function (matrix) of the Hubbard dimer Hamiltonian with i, j as the two sites. Henceforth, we will suppress the transformation rules in the arguments of the Greens function and assume they have been applied. For the record, the transformations are

$$t^{D} = \frac{1}{2}Nwt^{H}$$

$$U^{D} = \frac{1}{2}NU^{H}$$
(5.4)

We will now calculate the single-particle site diagonal and site offdiagonal Greens functions. First lets consider the diagonal Greens function at i^{th} site. The only terms that will contribute on the RHS of eq. 5.3 are those that have i in one of the indices. There will be w such terms, because i has w nearest neighbours. Thus, the right hand side will be a sum of w terms, each term being the inverse Greens function of a Hubbard dimer. Each term will be a real space local Greens function, and because of translational invariance, it will be the same on both sites. So we will represent the real space local inverse Greens function as $\left[G_D^{-1}(\omega)\right]_{00\sigma}$. The entire thing is thus w times this local Greens function.

$$\left(G_H^{-1}(\omega)\right)_{i\sigma,i\sigma} = \frac{2}{Nw} \left[G_D^{-1}(\omega)\right]_{00\sigma} \times w = \frac{2}{N} \left[G_D^{-1}(\omega)\right]_{00\sigma} \equiv g_0 \tag{5.5}$$

Now we come to the off-diagonal Greens function for the nearest neighbour sites i and j. This will receive contribution from only one nearest neighbour pair in the summation of the RHS of eq. 5.3, namely that of (i,j). This will not be a diagonal Greens function. Instead, it involves two nearest neighbour sites. We call this Greens function $\left[G_D^{-1}(\omega)\right]_{01\sigma}$.

$$\left(G_H^{-1}(\omega)\right)_{\langle i,j\rangle\sigma} = \frac{2}{Nw} \left[G_D^{-1}(\omega)\right]_{01\sigma} \equiv g_1$$
(5.6)

Since the only real-space interaction is that between the nearest neighbours, there are no further real space Greens functions, and the matrix G^{-1} is tridiagonal in real space. Because of translational invariance, we know that $\left(G_H^{-1}\right)_{ii}$ and $\left(G_H^{-1}\right)_{ij}$ are independent of i,j.

It can be written in the operator form as

$$G_H^{-1}(\omega) = g_0 \sum_{i=1}^N |i\rangle \langle i| + g_1 \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle}^N (|i\rangle \langle j| + \text{h.c.})$$
(5.8)

We can Fourier transform the real space basis kets $|i\rangle \equiv |\vec{r_i}\rangle$ into momentum space:

$$|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\vec{k}=\vec{k}_{1}}^{k_{N}} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_{i}} |\vec{k}\rangle$$

$$\sum_{i} |i\rangle \langle i| = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} e^{i\left(\vec{k}-\vec{q}\right)\cdot\vec{r}_{i}} |\vec{k}\rangle \langle \vec{q}| = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} N\delta \left(\vec{k}-\vec{q}\right) |\vec{k}\rangle \langle \vec{q}| = \sum_{\vec{k}=\vec{k}_{1}}^{\vec{k}_{N}} |\vec{k}\rangle \langle \vec{k}|$$

$$\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} |i\rangle \langle j| = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} e^{i\left(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_{i}-\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}_{j}\right)} |\vec{k}\rangle \langle \vec{q}| = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{i\left(\vec{k}-\vec{q}\right)\cdot\vec{r}_{i}} \sum_{\hat{e}_{i}=\hat{e}_{1}}^{\hat{e}_{D}} \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{q}} e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot a_{i}\hat{e}_{i}} |\vec{k}\rangle \langle \vec{q}|$$

$$= \sum_{\hat{e}_{i}=\hat{e}_{1}}^{\hat{e}_{D}} \sum_{\vec{q}} e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{e}_{i}} |\vec{q}\rangle \langle \vec{q}| = \sum_{\vec{q}} \left(\sum_{i_{1}}^{D} e^{-ia_{i}q_{i}}\right) |\vec{q}\rangle \langle \vec{q}|$$

$$(5.9)$$

 \hat{e}_i are the primitive lattice unit vectors for the general d-dimensional lattice. q_i are the projections of \vec{q} along those vectors. a_i are the lattice spacings along each primitive vector. Adding the Hermitian conjugate simply extracts the real part:

$$\sum_{i} |i\rangle \langle i| = \sum_{\vec{q}} \sum_{i_{1}}^{D} 2\cos(a_{i}q_{i}) |\vec{q}\rangle \langle \vec{q}|$$

$$= \xi_{\vec{q}}$$
(5.10)

The inverse Greens function thus becomes diagonal in momentum space

The matrix is of course written in momentum space. Since $G_H^{-1}(\omega)$ is diagonal in this basis, we can invert the matrix trivially by replacing the diagonal elements with their reciprocals.

$$G_{H}(\omega) = \sum_{\vec{k}=\vec{k}_{1}}^{\vec{k}_{N}} \left(g_{0} + g_{1}\xi_{\vec{k}} \right)^{-1} |\vec{k}\rangle \langle \vec{k}| = \begin{pmatrix} \left(g_{0} + g_{1}\xi_{\vec{k}_{1}} \right)^{-1} & & \\ & \left(g_{0} + g_{1}\xi_{\vec{k}_{2}} \right)^{-1} & & \\ & & \left(g_{0} + g_{1}\xi_{\vec{k}_{3}} \right)^{-1} & \\ & & & \left(g_{0} + g_{1}\xi_{\vec{k}_{3}} \right)^{-1} & \\ & & & & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.12)

The diagonal elements of G_H in momentum space are simply $G_H(\vec{k},\omega)$.

$$G_{H}(\vec{k},\omega) = \left(g_{0} + g_{1}\xi_{\vec{k}}\right)^{-1}$$

$$= \left\{\frac{2}{N}\left[G_{D}^{-1}(\omega)\right]_{00\sigma} + \frac{2}{Nw}\left[G_{D}^{-1}(\omega)\right]_{01\sigma}\xi_{\vec{k}}\right\}^{-1}$$
(5.13)

Appendix: Spectra of Hubbard dimer and Anderson molecule

eigenstate	symbol	eigenvalue
0,0 angle	$ 0\rangle$	$\frac{U^H}{2}$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sigma,0\rangle\pm 0,\sigma\rangle\right)$	$ 0\sigma_{\pm}\rangle$	$\mp t^H$
$ \sigma,\sigma angle$	$ \sigma\sigma\rangle$	$-\frac{U^H}{2}$
$rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\ket{\uparrow},\downarrow angle+\ket{\downarrow},\uparrow angle ight)$	$ ST\rangle$	$-\frac{U^H}{2}$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(2,0\rangle- 0,2\rangle\right)$	$ CS\rangle$	$\frac{U^H}{2}$
$a_1(U^H, t^H) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \right) + a_2(U^H, t^H) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(2, 0\rangle + 0, 2\rangle \right)$	$ -\rangle$	$\left -\frac{1}{2}\Delta(U^H, t^H) \right $
$-a_2(U^H, t^H) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \right) + a_1(U^H, t^H) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(2, 0\rangle + 0, 2\rangle \right)$	$ +\rangle$	$\frac{1}{2}\Delta(U^H,t^H)$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\ket{\sigma,2}\pm\ket{2,\sigma}\right)$	$ 2\sigma_{\pm}\rangle$	$\pm t^H$
2,2 angle	$ 4\rangle$	$\frac{U^H}{2}$

Table 1: Spectrum of Hubbard dimer at half-filling

eigenstate	symbol	eigenvalue
$ 0,0\rangle$	$ 0\rangle$	$\frac{U^A}{4}$
$a_1\left(U^A, t^A\right) \sigma, 0\rangle + a_2\left(U^A, t^A\right) 0, \sigma\rangle$	$ 0\sigma_{-}\rangle$	$-\frac{1}{4}\Delta(U^A, t^A)$
$-a_2\left(U^A,t^A\right)\left \sigma,0\right\rangle + a_1\left(U^A,t^A\right)\left 0,\sigma\right\rangle$	$ 0\sigma_{+}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{4}\Delta(U^A,t^A)$
$ \sigma,\sigma angle$	$ \sigma\sigma\rangle$	$-\frac{U^A}{4}$
$rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left \uparrow,\downarrow ight angle +\left \downarrow,\uparrow ight angle ight)$	$ ST\rangle$	$-\frac{U^A}{4}$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(2,0\rangle - 0,2\rangle\right)$	$ CS\rangle$	$\frac{U^A}{4}$
$a_1(\frac{1}{2}U^A, t^A)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle - \downarrow,\uparrow\rangle\right) + a_2(\frac{1}{2}U^A, t^A)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(2,0\rangle + 0,2\rangle\right)$	$\ket{-}$	$ -\frac{1}{2}\Delta(\frac{1}{2}U^A, t^A) $
$-a_2(\frac{1}{2}U^A, t^A)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle - \downarrow,\uparrow\rangle\right) + a_1(\frac{1}{2}U^A, t^A)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(2,0\rangle + 0,2\rangle\right)$	$\ket{+}$	$\frac{1}{2}\Delta(\frac{1}{2}U^A,t^A)$
$a_1\left(U^A, t^A\right) \sigma, 2\rangle - a_2\left(U^A, t^A\right) 2, \sigma\rangle$	$ 2\sigma_{-}\rangle$	$-\frac{1}{4}\Delta(U^A, t^A)$
$a_2\left(U^A, t^A\right) \sigma, 2\rangle + a_1\left(U^A, t^A\right) 2, \sigma\rangle$	$ 2\sigma_{+}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{4}\Delta(U^A, t^A)$
$ 2,2\rangle$	$ 4\rangle$	$\frac{U^A}{4}$

Table 2: Spectrum of Anderson molecule at particle-hole symmetry

Appendix: Local Greens function for the Hubbard dimer

From the spectral representation, we have the following expression for the local Greens function at the i^{th} :

$$G_{ii}^{\sigma}(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{m,n} ||\langle m | c_{i\sigma} | n \rangle||^2 \left(e^{-\beta E_m} + e^{-\beta E_n} \right) \frac{1}{\omega + E_m - E_n}$$
 (5.14)

m, n sum over the exact eigenstates. E_m, E_n are the corresponding energies. We are interested in teh $T \to 0$ Greens function. In that limit, all exponentials except that for the ground state E_{gs} will die out. The exponential inside the summation will then cancel the exponential in the partition function.

$$G_{ii}^{\sigma}(\omega, T \to 0) = \sum_{n} \left[|| \langle GS | c_{i\sigma} | n \rangle ||^{2} \frac{1}{\omega + E_{GS} - E_{n}} + || \langle n | c_{i\sigma} | GS \rangle ||^{2} \frac{1}{\omega + E_{n} - E_{GS}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n} \left[|| \langle n | c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} | GS \rangle ||^{2} \frac{1}{\omega + E_{GS} - E_{n}} + || \langle n | c_{i\sigma} | GS \rangle ||^{2} \frac{1}{\omega + E_{n} - E_{GS}} \right]$$
(5.15)

The ground state $|GS\rangle$ is just the state $|-\rangle$ in the table 1. We will choose to look at $\sigma = \uparrow$. Then,

$$c_{1\uparrow} |-\rangle = \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{2}} |0,\downarrow\rangle + \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{2}} |\downarrow,0\rangle$$

$$c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} |-\rangle = -\frac{a_1}{\sqrt{2}} |2,\uparrow\rangle + \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{2}} |\uparrow,2\rangle$$
(5.16)

The set of states $|n\rangle$ that give non-zero inner product $|GS\rangle$ are therefore

$$\{|n\rangle\} = |0\downarrow_{\pm}\rangle$$

$$||\langle n|c_{\uparrow\sigma}|GS\rangle||^{2} = \frac{1}{4}(a_{2} \pm a_{1})^{2} = \frac{1}{4}(1 \pm 2a_{1}a_{2})$$

$$\{E_{n}\} = \mp t$$
(5.17)

for the first inner product, and

$$\{|n\rangle\} = |2 \uparrow_{\pm}\rangle$$

$$||\langle n| c_{\uparrow\sigma}^{\dagger} |GS\rangle||^{2} = \frac{1}{4} (a_{2} \mp a_{1})^{2} = \frac{1}{4} (1 \mp 2a_{1}a_{2})$$

$$\{E_{n}\} = \pm t$$
(5.18)

for the second. The Greens function is therefore

$$G_{ii}^{\uparrow}(\omega, T \to 0) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2t}{\Delta}\right) \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - \left(\frac{\Delta}{2} - t\right)^2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2t}{\Delta}\right) \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - \left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + t\right)^2}$$
(5.19)

The site local spectral can now be calculated:

$$A(i\uparrow,\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im } G_{ii}^{\uparrow}(\omega)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2t}{\Delta}\right) \left[\delta(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\Delta - t) + \delta(\omega + \frac{1}{2}\Delta + t)\right] + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2t}{\Delta}\right) \left[\delta(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\Delta + t) + \delta(\omega + \frac{1}{2}\Delta - t)\right]$$
(5.20)

Appendix: Greens functions for Anderson molecule

$$G_{dd}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = \sum_{n} \left[\frac{||\langle n|c_{d\uparrow}^{\dagger}|GS\rangle||^{2}}{\omega + E_{GS} - E_{n}} + \frac{||\langle n|c_{d\uparrow}|GS\rangle||^{2}}{\omega + E_{n} - E_{GS}} \right]$$

$$G_{zz}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = \sum_{n} \left[\frac{||\langle n|c_{z\uparrow}^{\dagger}|GS\rangle||^{2}}{\omega + E_{GS} - E_{n}} + \frac{||\langle n|c_{z\uparrow}|GS\rangle||^{2}}{\omega + E_{n} - E_{GS}} \right]$$

$$G_{dz}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = \sum_{n} \left[\frac{\langle GS|c_{d\uparrow}|n\rangle\langle n|c_{z\uparrow}^{\dagger}|GS\rangle}{\omega + E_{GS} - E_{n}} + \frac{\langle n|c_{d\uparrow}|GS\rangle\langle GS|c_{z\uparrow}^{\dagger}|n\rangle}{\omega + E_{n} - E_{GS}} \right]$$

$$G_{zd}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = \sum_{n} \left[\frac{\langle GS|c_{z\uparrow}|n\rangle\langle n|c_{d\uparrow}^{\dagger}|GS\rangle}{\omega + E_{GS} - E_{n}} + \frac{\langle n|c_{z\uparrow}|GS\rangle\langle GS|c_{d\uparrow}^{\dagger}|n\rangle}{\omega + E_{n} - E_{GS}} \right] = \left\{ G_{dz}^{\uparrow}(\omega) \right\}^{\dagger}$$

$$(5.21)$$

We proceed exactly as before. With the following shorthand notations,

$$a_{1,2} \equiv a_{1,2} \left(U^A, t^A \right), \quad \tilde{a}_{1,2} \equiv a_{1,2} \left(\frac{1}{2} U^A, t^A \right)$$

$$\Delta \equiv \Delta \left(U^A, t^A \right), \quad \tilde{\Delta} \equiv \Delta \left(\frac{1}{2} U^A, t^A \right)$$

$$C_z^{\pm} \equiv a_1 \tilde{a}_1 \pm a_2 \tilde{a}_2, \quad C_x^{\pm} \equiv a_1 \tilde{a}_2 \pm a_2 \tilde{a}_1$$

$$(5.22)$$

$$E_{\pm} \equiv E(|2\sigma_{\pm}\rangle) - E(|-\rangle) = E(|0\sigma_{\pm}\rangle) - E(|-\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta} \pm \frac{1}{4}\Delta$$
 (5.23)

the diagonal Green's functions are

$$G_{dd}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = \left[a_1\tilde{a}_2 + a_2\tilde{a}_1\right]^2 \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - \left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta} - \frac{1}{4}\Delta\right]^2} + \left[a_1\tilde{a}_1 - a_2\tilde{a}_2\right]^2 \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - \left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta\right]^2}$$

$$= C_x^{+2} \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - E_-^2} + C_z^{-2} \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - E_\perp^2}$$
(5.24)

$$G_{zz}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = \left[a_{1}\tilde{a}_{1} + a_{2}\tilde{a}_{2}\right]^{2} \frac{\omega}{\omega^{2} - \left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta} - \frac{1}{4}\Delta\right]^{2}} + \left[a_{1}\tilde{a}_{2} - a_{2}\tilde{a}_{1}\right]^{2} \frac{\omega}{\omega^{2} - \left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta\right]^{2}}$$

$$= C_{z}^{+2} \frac{\omega}{\omega^{2} - E_{-}^{2}} + C_{x}^{-2} \frac{\omega}{\omega^{2} - E_{+}^{2}}$$
(5.25)

and the off-diagonal Greens functions are

$$G_{dz}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = (a_{1}\tilde{a}_{1} + a_{2}\tilde{a}_{2}) (a_{1}\tilde{a}_{2} + a_{2}\tilde{a}_{1}) \frac{\frac{1}{4}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta}}{\omega^{2} - (\frac{1}{4}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta})^{2}} - (a_{1}\tilde{a}_{1} - a_{2}\tilde{a}_{2}) (a_{1}\tilde{a}_{2} - a_{2}\tilde{a}_{1}) \frac{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta}{\omega^{2} - (\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta)^{2}}$$

$$= -C_{z}^{+}C_{x}^{+} \frac{E_{-}}{\omega^{2} - E_{-}^{2}} - C_{z}^{-}C_{x}^{-} \frac{E_{+}}{\omega^{2} - E_{+}^{2}}$$

$$G_{zd}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = G_{dz}^{\uparrow}(\omega)^{\dagger} = G_{dz}^{\uparrow}(\omega)$$
(5.26)

To summarize, the four Green's real space Greens functions, in the compact notation, of the Anderson molecule are

$$G_{dd}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = C_x^{+2} \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - E_-^2} + C_z^{-2} \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - E_+^2}$$

$$G_{zz}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = C_z^{+2} \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - E_-^2} + C_x^{-2} \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - E_+^2}$$

$$G_{dz}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = G_{zd}^{\uparrow}(\omega) = -C_z^{+} C_x^{+} \frac{E_-}{\omega^2 - E_-^2} - C_z^{-} C_x^{-} \frac{E_+}{\omega^2 - E_+^2}$$
(5.27)

References

- [1] Lucia Reining Richard M. Martin and David M. Ceperley. *Interacting Electrons-Theory and Computational Approaches*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [2] Eva Pavarini. Dynamical mean-field theory for materials, September 2019.