

Comparing to human-level performance

Understanding human-level performance

Human-level error as a proxy for Bayes error

Medical image classification example:

Suppose:

- (b) Typical doctor 1 % error
- (c) Experienced doctor 0.7 % error
- (d) Team of experienced doctors .. 0.5 % error



Bayes < 0.5%.

What is "human-level" error?

Error analysis example

- As your model starts performing well, as in case of © it becomes harder to decide where to forms on (bias or variance) - In B and A), it was easy to tell where to forms

Human (proxy for Bayes)

1% or .7% or .2%

Training error 5%

1% 0.7%

In (c) Avoidable bias = 0 to 0.2%

Dev error

6/6

5% 0.8%

Varance = 0.1%. Q which bias to choose? should choose 0.2% as it Shows there is Room for

Improvement Focus on bias (·2 > -1)

In A, Variance = 1 %. Avoidable bias is a Range

Avoidable bias doesn't matter can be b/w 0% & .5%.

Andrew Ng

Summary of bias/variance with human-level performance

Training error

Dev error

Human-level error

(proxy of Bayes)

Avoidable

focus on that to be I

So rather than trying to Always I your training error to 0, try & See what the Bayes limit is-That will act as your lower limit, may not be always persible to go to 0 es noisy Audio

Andrew Ng