

Assessing Evidence of Implicit Racial & Gender Bias in the US Coast Guard

Background

FICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The U.S. Coast Guard
Academy Must Take
Additional Steps to Better
Address Allegations of
Race-Based Harassment
and Prevent Such
Harassment on Campus



June 3, 2020 OIG-20-36



Improving Gender Diversity in the U.S. Coast Guard

Identifying Barriers to Female Retention

KIMBERLY CURRY HALL, KIRSTEN M. KELLER, DAVID SCHULKER, SARAH WEILANT, KATHERINE L. KIDDER, NELSON LIM



Primary Investigative Question

Research Question

Do US Coast Guard officers demonstrate implicit bias when considering two resumes that suggest candidates of different gender and race?

Pilot Research Methodology

Administer an **Audit Study** with the UC Berkeley xLab that aims to answer this question via an online recruitment survey

Final Research Methodology

Administer an **Audit Study** with the US Coast Guard Officer Corps that aims to answer this question via an email recruitment survey

Please Consider...

 How to improve our multi factor design without reducing power?

 How to encourage participation in a restricted survey setting (privacy / restricted covariates)?

• What other metrics can be collected for granular outcome measurement?

 How to effectively communicate and administer policy changes in the US Coast Guard?

Experimental Design



Treatment

- Control vs Treatment

Control: Receive resumes **without** names Treatment: Receive resumes **with** names

- Multi-factor compound treatment

Race/Gender	Male	Female	
White	WM	WF	
Black	ВМ	BF	

- Between subject design

Participant shown multiple sets for comparison



Data

- Likert scale

Force comparison between 2 resumes to avoid personal baseline difference

- Covariate: strata, gender, age group

Avoid pinpointing respondent Limited access to CG covariate information



- Resume summaries instead of real resumes

Reduce survey time in order to increase response rate

- No go back button

Reduce spillover effects

Resume Building

• How to pick names?

First Name: Demographic Aspects of First Names, Scientific Data (Article Number: 180025 (2018))

Last Name: 1990 Census Surname Data

Race / Gender	Male	Female
White	Bradley Meyer	Kirsten Schmidt
Black	Reginald Washington	Gwendolyn Jackson

Why fixed names?

Avoid treatment explosion (power concerns / complexity)

Resume Building

How to build a Resume Summary?

Requirement for promotion: 4 areas x 2 standards

Each resume satisfies 2 preferred and 2 minimum qualifications (randomly assigned)

Create similar skill sets for each section. Randomly assign skills and resume layouts to build resumes.

Area	Preferred		Minimum	Minimum		
Professional Experience	Skill set A Skill set B		Skill set A	Skill set A Skill set E		
Leadership Experience		Skill set	t A		Skill set A	
Highest Education Level	Skill s	set A	Skill set B	Skill set A	Ski	ill set B
Professional Development	Skills	set A	Skill set B	Skill set A	Ski	ill set B

Control Resume Summary Set

Strongly
Prefer Prefer Slightly Prefer No Preference Slightly Prefer Prefer

Your choice

Recent Professional and Leadership Experience

- Division Chief Sector Response (OT), received the Coast Guard Achievement Medal
- Small Boat Station
 Commanding Officer
 (OT), received the
 Coast Guard
 Commendation Medal

Graduate Education

Boston, MA

 Master's of Science in Computer Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University

Professional Development

 Member at Large, Regional Chapter, National Naval Officers' Association

Recent Professional and Leadership Experience

@uscg.mil |

- Commanding Officer on 110 ft warship (OT), received the Coast Guard Achievement Medal (OT)
- Department Head -Sector Logistics (ST), received the Coast Guard Commendation Medal

Graduate Education

New Orleans, LA

 Master's of Science in Public Policy and Management, Carnegie Mellon University

Professional Development

 Member at Large, Regional Chapter, Coast Guard Officers' Association Handler is hidden in the beginning to avoid anchoring bias on preference score

Treatment Resume Summary Set

Strongly
Prefer Prefer Slightly Prefer No Preference Slightly Prefer Prefer Prefer

Bradley Meyer

bradley.meyer@uscg.mil | (510) 987-6543 | Alameda, CA

Recent Professional and Leadership Experience

- Maritime Enforcement Policy Advisor at Coast Guard Headquarters (ST), received the CG Achievement Medal
- Small Boat Station Commanding Officer (OT), received the Coast Guard Commendation Medal

Graduate Education

Your choice

 Master's of Science in Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, University of Michigan

Professional Development

 Vice-Chairman, Regional Chapter, National Naval Officers' Association

Reginald Washington

reginald.washington@uscg.mil | (617) 654-3219 | Boston, MA

Recent Professional and Leadership Experience

- Division Chief Sector Response (OT), received the Coast Guard Achievement Medal
- Small Boat Station
 Commanding Officer
 (OT), received the
 Coast Guard
 Commendation Medal

Graduate Education

 Master's of Science in Computer Engineering, Carnegie Mellon

Professional Development

 Member at Large, Regional Chapter, National Naval Officers' Association Handler is hidden in the beginning to avoid anchoring bias on preference score

Experimental Flow Diagram

Omnibus Survey Respondents

N = 314

Never Takers = 32 Attritors = 1

> Pre-Exposure Placebo Response

> > N = 281

Control Group

N = 143

Post-Exposure Placebo Response

N = 142

Treatment Group

N = 139

Post-Exposure Placebo Response

N = 138

Treatment Effect Calculation: DiD

Hypothetical Results

Strongly Prefer	Prefer	Slightly Prefer	No Preference	Slightly Prefer	Prefer	Strongly Prefer
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

Group	Outcomes in Control (Only Resume Compared)	Outcomes in Treatment (Resume + Names Compared)	Diff in Diff = ATE
R1-WM, R2-WF	4	2	-2
R2-WF, R3-BM	3	4	1
R3-BM, R4-BF	4	5	1
R4-BF, R1-WM	4	6	2
R1-WM, R3-BM	4	1	-3
R2-WF, R4-BF	5	3	-2

Treatment Effect Calculation: Bias on Race

Strongly Prefer	Prefer	Slightly Prefer	No Preference	Slightly Prefer	Prefer	Strongly Prefer
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

Group	Outcomes in Control (Only Resume Compared)	Outcomes in Treatment (Resume + Names Compared)	Diff in Diff = ATE
R1-WM, R2-WF	4	2	-2
R2-WF, R3-BM	3	4	1
R3-BM, R4-BF	4	5	1
R4-BF, R1-WM	4	6	2
R1-WM, R3-BM	4	1	-3
R2-WF, R4-BF	5	3	-2

Experimental Improvements

- Identify and reduce spillover effects between treatment doses
 - **1.** Currently, we assume no anchoring bias within treatment subject
 - 2. We also assume non-interference between subjects (solution is to randomize set order)
- Increase scope of Experiment (for meaningful policy change)
 - 1. Add other races / job positions
 - 2. Add different quality / experiences in resume design
- Capture the true effect of white male vs the effect of "Bradley Meyer"
 - 1. Same goes for other treatment subjects
 - 2. Same goes for resume elements (college)
 - 3. Difficult to code up (encode "John Smith" and "Bradley Meyer" as WM)
- Add attention checks to ensure quality control on responses
 - 1. Which school did Bradley Meyer go to?

Thank you for listening! Now let's discuss!

 How to improve our multi factor design without reducing power?

 How to encourage participation in a restricted survey setting (privacy / restricted covariates)?

• What other metrics can be collected for granular outcome measurement?

 How to effectively communicate and administer policy changes in the US Coast Guard?