

Theory Report Abhishek Sushil 2021441

Theory Report Abhishek Sushil 2021441

⑤

- a) MSE  $\rightarrow$  simply minimizes dist b/w real & predicted values  
Based on squared  $L_2$  norm of actual & predicted  
 $\therefore$  No bias for false +ves or false -ve as  
it takes squared dist

Eg: Here labelling a sweet papaya is not sweet  
doesn't affect customer but the opposite does  
and good

b)  $\text{BinomialEntropy}(\underset{\text{real}}{\hat{y}}, \underset{\text{predicted probability}}{\hat{y}}) = - [y \log_2 \hat{y} + (1-y) \log_2 (1-\hat{y})]$

c)  $\hat{y}$  for class 0 = 0.9  $\therefore$   $\hat{y}$  for class 1 = 0.1

$$L(y, \hat{y}) = -[1 \log_2 0.1 + 0 \cdot \log_2 0.9]$$

$$= -\log_2 0.01 \approx 3.32$$

d)  $S_1 \rightarrow 2(1, 0.1) = 3.32$  as above

$$S_2 \rightarrow 2(0,0.2) = -[0 \log 0.2 + 1 \log 0.8] = 0.32$$

$$S_3 \rightarrow d(0,0.7) = -[0 \log 0.7 + 1 \log 0.3] = 1.73$$

$$\therefore \text{Average} = 1.79$$

e)  $W = W - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial L_{CE}}{\partial W} + 2 \lambda W$

More penalty as  $2 \lambda W$  term present  $\therefore$  a decrease in weights for model A that uses  $L_2$  regularization.

Advantage for model A as  $L_2$  prevents overfitting

f) KL Divergence

$\rightarrow$  Used to see how far apart 2 probability distributions are based on how well one distribution is likely to generate samples from the other

$$D_{KL}(P(x) \| Q(x)) = \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \left( \frac{\log(P(x))}{\log(Q(x))} \right)$$

Cross Entropy

$\rightarrow$  Diff. b/w actual & predicted probability distribution

$$H(P(x), Q(x)) = - \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \log(P(x))$$

$$D_{KL} = \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \left( \frac{\log(P(x))}{\log(Q(x))} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in X} P(x) [\log(P(x)) - \log(Q(x))]$$

$$= \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \log P(x) - \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \log Q(x)$$

$$D_{KL} = -H(P(x)) + H(P(x), Q(x))$$

$$\Rightarrow D_{KL}(P(x) \| Q(x)) + H(P(x)) = H(P(x), Q(x))$$

Q.

a)  $\dim(w^{[2]}) = k \times D_a$

$$\dim(b^{[2]}) = k \times 1$$

$\dim$  of hidden layer = 'm' samples =  $D_a \times m$

b) 
$$\hat{y}_k = \frac{e^{z_k^{[2]}}}{\sum_{i=0}^k e^{z_i^{[2]}}}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \hat{y}_k}{\partial z_k^{[2]}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k^{[2]}} \left[ \frac{e^{z_k^{[2]}}}{\sum_{i=0}^k e^{z_i^{[2]}}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left( \sum_{i=0}^k e^{z_i^{[2]}} \right)^2} \left[ \frac{\partial e^{z_k^{[2]}}}{\partial z_k^{[2]}} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^k e^{z_i^{[2]}} - \frac{\partial \sum_{i=0}^k e^{z_i^{[2]}}}{\partial z_k^{[2]}} \cdot e^{z_k^{[2]}} \right] \quad \text{--- Division Rule}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left( \sum_{i=0}^k e^{z_i^{[2]}} \right)^2} \left[ e^{z_k^{[2]}} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^k e^{z_i^{[2]}} - e^{z_k^{[2]}} \cdot e^{z_k^{[2]}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{e^{z_k^{[2]}}}{\left( \sum_{i=0}^k e^{z_i^{[2]}} \right)} - \left[ \frac{e^{z_k^{[2]}}}{\sum_{i=0}^k e^{z_i^{[2]}}} \right]^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}_k}{\partial z_k^{[2]}} = \hat{y}_k - (\hat{y}_k)^2 = \hat{y}_k (1 - \hat{y}_k)$$



c)  $i \neq k$

$$\hat{y}_k = \frac{e^{z_k^{[2]}}}{\sum_{i=0}^K e^{z_i^{[2]}}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}_k}{\partial z_i^{[2]}} = \frac{\partial \left[ \frac{e^{z_k^{[2]}}}{\sum_{i=0}^K e^{z_i^{[2]}}} \right]}{\partial z_i^{[2]}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial e^{z_k}}{\partial z_i} = 0$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left( \sum_{i=0}^K e^{z_i^{[2]}} \right)^2} \left[ 0 - e^{z_k^{[2]}} \cdot e^{z_i^{[2]}} \right]$$

$$= - \frac{e^{z_k^{[2]}}}{\sum_{i=0}^K e^{z_i^{[2]}}} \cdot \frac{e^{z_i^{[2]}}}{\sum_{i=0}^K e^{z_i^{[2]}}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}_k}{\partial z_i^{[2]}} = - \hat{y}_k \cdot \hat{y}_i$$

d) i)  $i = k$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_k^{[2]}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}_k} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{y}_k}{\partial z_k^{[2]}} \quad \text{chain rule}$$

$$= \frac{\partial \left[ - \sum_{i=0}^K y_i \log \hat{y}_i \right]}{\partial \hat{y}_k} \cdot \left( \hat{y}_k (1 - \hat{y}_k) \right) \quad \text{from b}$$

$$= \frac{y_k \log \hat{y}_k}{\partial \hat{y}_k} \cdot \left( \hat{y}_k (1 - \hat{y}_k) \right)$$

$$= \frac{y_k}{y_k} (\hat{y}_k) (1 - \hat{y}_k)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_k^{[2]}} = \underset{\hookrightarrow 1}{y_k} (1 - \hat{y}_k) = (1 - \hat{y}_k)$$

i)  $B \neq k$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_i^{(2)}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}_k} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{y}_k}{\partial z_i^{(2)}}$$

$$= \frac{\partial \left[ - \sum_{i=0}^n y_i \log \hat{y}_i \right]}{\partial \hat{y}_k} \cdot \left( - \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k \right) \dots \text{from (c)}$$

$$= \frac{y_k \cdot -1 \times \hat{y}_i \cdot \hat{y}_k}{\hat{y}_k}$$

$$= -1 \cdot y_k \cdot \hat{y}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_i^{(2)}} = -\hat{y}_i$$

e) Numerical instability can be encountered when dealing w/ very large or very small values. These can then be taken in exponentials. Very large values lead to numerical overflow & very small values to underflow.

Assume the final layer has a vector w/ values in a similar range. We can normalise the vector before soft-maxing process.

$$[a, b, c] \rightarrow \text{last layer} \quad \bar{x} = \text{mean} = \frac{a+b+c}{3}$$

$\sigma$

$$\sigma = \text{std dev}(a, b, c)$$

$$\left[ \frac{a-\bar{x}}{\sigma}, \frac{b-\bar{x}}{\sigma}, \frac{c-\bar{x}}{\sigma} \right] \rightarrow \text{modified last layer}$$

Now apply soft max on the modified layer