

⑥

If we wish to make a model for the bond market, it is obvious that this can be done in many different ways

- We may specify the dynamics of the short rate (and then try to derive bond prices using arbitrage arguments).

- We may directly specify the dynamics of all possible bonds.

- We may specify the dynamics of all possible forward rates and then use the above Lemma in order to obtain bond prices

All these approaches are related to each other

— Relation between $df(t, T)$, $dp(t, T)$ and $r(t)$

We will consider dynamics of the following form

Short rate dynamics

$$dr(t) = a(t)dt + b(t)dW(t) \quad \text{--- ①}$$

Bond price dynamics

$$dp(t, T) = p(t, T)m(t, T)dt + p(t, T)v(t, T)dW(t) \quad \text{--- ②}$$

Forward rate dynamics

$$df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T)dt + \beta(t, T)dW(t). \quad \text{--- ③}$$

The processes $a(t)$, $b(t)$, $m(t, T)$, $v(t, T)$, $\alpha(t, T)$, $\beta(t, T)$ are adapted processes.

We will study the formal relations which must hold between bond prices and interest rates, ~~and~~

Proposition:- (i) If $p(t, T)$ satisfies (2), then the forward rate dynamics we have

$$df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T) dt + \sigma(t, T) dW(t)$$

where α and σ are given by

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(t, T) = v_T(t, T) \cdot v(t, T) - m_T(t, T) \\ \sigma(t, T) = -v_T(t, T) \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $f(t, T)$ satisfies (3) then the short rate satisfies

$$dr(t) = a(t) dt + b(t) dW(t)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a(t) = f_T(t, t) + \alpha(t, t) \\ b(t) = \sigma(t, t) \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $f(t, T)$ satisfies (3) then $p(t, T)$ satisfies

$$dp(t, T) = p(t, T) \left\{ r(t) + A(t, T) + \frac{1}{2} \|S(t, T)\|^2 \right\} dt + p(t, T) S(t, T) dW(t)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm, and

$$\begin{cases} A(t, T) = -\int_t^T \alpha(t, s) ds \\ S(t, T) = -\int_t^T \sigma(t, s) ds. \end{cases}$$

Proof:- From the Ito formula we have

$$d \log p(t, T) = \frac{1}{p(t, T)} dp(t, T) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{p^2(t, T)} dp(t, T) dp(t, T).$$

$$= m(t, T) dt + v(t, T) dW(t) - \frac{1}{2} v^2(t, T) dt$$

$$\Rightarrow \log p(t, \tau) - \log p(0, \tau) = \int_0^t m(u, \tau) du + \int_0^t v(u, \tau) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t v^2(u, \tau) du \quad (8)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \log p(t, \tau) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \log p(0, \tau) = \int_0^t m_\tau(u, \tau) du + \int_0^t v_\tau(u, \tau) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t v_\tau(u, \tau) v(u, \tau) du.$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \log p(t, \tau) = f(0, \tau) - \int_0^t m_\tau(u, \tau) du - \int_0^t v_\tau(u, \tau) dW(u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t v_\tau(u, \tau) v(u, \tau) du.$$

$$\Rightarrow f(t, \tau) - f(0, \tau) = \int_0^t \alpha(u, \tau) du + \int_0^t \epsilon(u, \tau) dW(u)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha(t, \tau) = v_\tau(t, \tau) v(t, \tau) - m_\tau(t, \tau) \\ \epsilon(t, \tau) = -v_\tau(t, \tau) \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \quad df(u, t) = \alpha(u, t) du + \epsilon(u, t) dW(u)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(t, t) - f(0, t) = \int_0^t \alpha(u, t) du + \int_0^t \epsilon(u, t) dW(u).$$

$$\Rightarrow r(t) = f(t, t) = f(0, t) + \int_0^t \alpha(u, t) du + \int_0^t \epsilon(u, t) dW(u).$$

Now we can write

$$\alpha(u, t) = \alpha(u, u) + \int_u^t \alpha_\tau(u, s) ds$$

$$\epsilon(u, t) = \epsilon(u, u) + \int_u^t \epsilon_\tau(u, s) ds.$$

Putting this into above equation, we have

$$r(t) = f(0, t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s, s) ds + \int_0^t \int_s^t \alpha_\tau(s, u) du ds + \int_0^t \epsilon(s, s) dW(s) + \int_0^t \int_s^t \epsilon_\tau(s, u) du dW(s).$$

(9)

changing the orders of integration we obtain

$$n(t) = f(0,t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s,s) ds + \int_0^t \int_0^s \alpha_T(s,u) ds du + \int_0^t \phi(s,s) dW(s) \\ + \int_0^t \int_0^s \phi_T(s,u) dW(s) du.$$

$$\Rightarrow dn(t) = df(0,t) + \alpha(t,t) dt + \int_0^t \alpha_T(s,t) ds + \phi(t,t) dW(t) \\ + \int_0^t \phi_T(s,t) dW(s).$$

$$\text{and } f(t,t) = f(0,t) + \int_0^t \alpha(u,t) du + \int_0^t \phi(u,t) dW(u).$$

$$\Rightarrow dn(t) = [\alpha(t,t) + f_T(t,t)] dt + \phi(t,t) dW(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a(t) = f_T(t,t) + \alpha(t,t) \\ b(t) = \phi(t,t) \end{cases}$$

(iii) using the definition of the forward rates we may write

$$p(t,T) = e^{\gamma(t,T)}$$

where γ is given by

$$\gamma(t,T) = - \int_t^T f(t,s) ds.$$

From the Itô formula, we obtain the bond dynamics as

$$dp(t,T) = p(t,T) d\gamma(t,T) + \frac{1}{2} p(t,T) (d\gamma(t,T))^2$$

$$\text{Now } d\gamma(t,T) = -d\left(\int_t^T f(t,s) ds\right).$$

$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_t^T f(t,s) ds \right) dt - \int_t^T df(t,s) ds.$$

$$= -f(t,t) dt - \int_t^T \alpha(t,s) dt ds - \int_t^T \phi(t,s) dW(t) ds.$$

$$dY(t, T) = \left[r(t) - \int_t^T \alpha(t, s) ds \right] dt - \int_t^T \zeta(t, s) ds dW(t) \\ = (r(t) + A(t, T)) dt + S(t, T) dW(t)$$

$$dp(t, T) = p(t, T) \left\{ r(t) + A(t, T) + \frac{1}{2} \|S(t, T)\|^2 \right\} dt \\ + p(t, T) S(t, T) dW(t).$$

$$\text{Since } (dY(t, T))^2 = \|S(t, T)\|^2 dt$$

$$\text{Hence } \begin{cases} A(t, T) = - \int_t^T \alpha(t, s) ds \\ S(t, T) = - \int_t^T \zeta(t, s) ds \end{cases}$$

Note that the above results hold, regardless of the measure under consideration, and in particular we do not assume that markets are free of arbitrage.

Using the T-bond as Numeraire:-

suppose that we are given a specified bond market model with a fixed risk neutral martingale measure Q . For a fixed time of maturity T we now choose the zero coupon bond maturing at T as our new numeraire. We denote the price at t of a T-bond by $p(t, T)$

Defn:- For a fixed T , the T -forward measure Q^T is defined as the martingale measure for the numeraire process $p(t, T)$.

(11)

Let Q be the risk-neutral martingale measure with the money account B as the numeraire for our model. We have the following explicit description for Q^T .

Proposition:- If Q denotes the risk neutral martingale measure with the money account B as the numeraire, then the following hold:-

① The Radon-Nikodym derivative process D

$$L_t^T = \frac{dQ^T}{dQ} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$$

is given by

$$L_t^T = \frac{p(t, T)}{B(t)} \cdot \frac{1}{p(0, T)}$$

Proof:- The result follows immediately from the chapter change of numeraire with $Q^T = Q^\perp$ and $Q^0 = Q$.

$$\left(L_t^0 = \frac{S_1(t)}{S_1(0)} \cdot \frac{S_0(0)}{S_0(t)} \quad \begin{array}{l} S_1(t) = p(t, T) \\ \& S_0(t) = B(t) \end{array} \right)$$

Lemma:- Assume that, for all $T > 0$ we have $r(t)/B(t) \in L^1(Q)$.

Then for every fixed T , the forward rate process $f(t, T)$ is a Q^T -martingale and in particular we have

$$f(t, T) = \mathbb{E}^T[r(T) | \mathcal{F}(t)].$$

Proof:- Note that for any T -claim X we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(t, X) &= S(t) \mathbb{E}^S \left[\frac{X}{S(T)} \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \quad (S \text{ as the numeraire}) \\ &= p(t, T) \mathbb{E}^T [X \mid \mathcal{F}(t)] \quad (p(t, T) \text{ as the numeraire}).\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{E}^T denotes integration w.r.t \mathbb{Q}^T .

Now let $X = r(T)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(t, X) &= \mathbb{E}^Q \left[r(T) e^{-\int_t^T r(s) ds} \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= p(t, T) \mathbb{E}^T [r(T) \mid \mathcal{F}(t)].\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}^T [r(T) \mid \mathcal{F}(t)] &= \frac{1}{p(t, T)} \mathbb{E}^Q \left[r(T) e^{-\int_t^T r(s) ds} \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= - \frac{1}{p(t, T)} \mathbb{E}^Q \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T} e^{-\int_t^T r(s) ds} \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= - \frac{1}{p(t, T)} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \mathbb{E}^Q \left[e^{-\int_t^T r(s) ds} \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= - \frac{p_T(t, T)}{p(t, T)} = f(t, T)\end{aligned}$$

An alternative view of the money Account:-

Let us consider a self-financing portfolio which at each time t consists entirely of bonds maturing x units of time later

- At time t the portfolio thus consists only of bonds with maturity $t+x$. So the dynamics for this portfolio is given by

$$dV(t) = V(t) \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{dp(t, t+x)}{p(t, t+x)}$$