

1. (2 points) Let $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Poi(\lambda)$, where $\lambda > 0$ is unknown parameter. Is the family of distributions induced by the statistic $\mathbf{T} = (X_1, X_2)$ complete?

Solution: Note that $E(X_1 - X_2) = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Now,

$$P(X_1 \neq X_2) \geq P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 1) = \lambda e^{-2\lambda} > 0 \implies P(X_1 - X_2 = 0) = P(X_1 = X_2) < 1.$$

Thus, the family of distributions induced by the statistics (X_1, X_2) is not complete. [2 points]

2. (5 points) Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_9 be a random sample of size 9 from population having $U(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ distribution, where both θ_1 and θ_2 are unknown and $-\infty < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \infty$. Derive the estimators of θ_1 and θ_2 using method of moment.

Solution: Here $E(X_1) = \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}$ and $E(X_1^2) = \frac{\theta_1^2 + \theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_2^2}{3}$. [1 point]

Let $M_1 = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=1}^9 X_i$, $M_2 = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=1}^9 X_i^2$ and $S^2 = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=1}^9 (X_i - M_1)^2$. The method of moment estimators can be found by solving the following equations:

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 2m_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_1^2 + \theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_2^2 = 3m_2. \quad [1 \text{ point}]$$

The solutions for (θ_1, θ_2) are $(m_1 - \sqrt{3}S, m_1 + \sqrt{3}S)$ and $(m_1 + \sqrt{3}S, m_1 - \sqrt{3}S)$, where S is the positive square root of S^2 . As $\theta_1 < \theta_2$, the estimator for θ_1 and θ_2 are $\hat{\theta}_1 = M_1 - \sqrt{3}S$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = M_1 + \sqrt{3}S$, respectively. [3 points]

3. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size $n (\geq 2)$ from a population having probability density function

$$f(x, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\theta} x \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{\theta}\right] & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\theta > 0$ is a unknown parameter. Consider the problem of estimation of $\tau(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}}$.

- (a) (5 points) Derive minimum variance unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.

Solution: Note that $f(\cdot, \theta)$ belongs to a full rank exponential family. Thus, using property of exponential family of distributions, $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is complete and sufficient statistic for θ . [2 points]

Notice that X_i^2 follows exponential distribution with mean θ . Therefore,

$$T \sim \text{Gamma}\left(n, \frac{1}{\theta}\right). \quad [1 \text{ point}]$$

Thus, for $k > -n$,

$$E(T^k) = \frac{1}{\theta^n \Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty t^{k+n-1} e^{-\frac{t}{\theta}} dt = \frac{\Gamma(n+k)}{\Gamma(n)} \theta^k \implies E\left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n+k)} T^k\right) = \theta^k.$$

Therefore, using Lehmann-Scheffee theorem and taking $k = -\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\hat{\tau} = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

is the UMVUE of $\tau(\theta) = \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. [2 points]

- (b) (3 points) Show that the estimator that you obtained in (a) is consistent. You may use Stirling's approximation for $\Gamma(n)$: $\Gamma(n) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} (n-1)^{n-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n+1}$.

Solution: Here $E(X_1^2) = \theta$. Therefore, using WLLN,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \longrightarrow \theta$$

in probability. [1 point]

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tau}_n &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\sqrt{n}\Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\sim e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \right)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \longrightarrow \tau(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

in probability. Therefore $\hat{\theta}$ is a consistent estimator of $\tau(\theta)$. [2 points]

- (c) (3 points) Compute Cramer-Rao lower bound of an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.

Solution: Here $\tau'(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}\theta^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. The Fisher information present in X_1 is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{X_1}(\theta) &= -E \left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ln f(X, \theta) \right] \\ &= -E \left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ln \left\{ \frac{2X_1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{X_1^2}{\theta}} \right\} \right] \\ &= -E \left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \left\{ \ln 2 - \ln \theta + \ln X_1 - \frac{X_1^2}{\theta} \right\} \right] \\ &= -E \left[\frac{1}{\theta^2} - \frac{2X_1^2}{\theta^3} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^2}. \end{aligned} \quad [1 \text{ point}]$$

Therefore, CRLB is

$$\frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{n\mathcal{I}_{X_1}(\theta)} = \frac{1}{4n\theta}. \quad [2 \text{ points}]$$

4. (5 points) Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a Bernoulli distribution with success probability $p = \frac{1}{1+e^\theta}$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Find the maximum likelihood estimator of θ . [Hint: Investigate the existence and non-existence of maximum likelihood estimator.]

Solution: The likelihood function of θ is

$$L(\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{1+e^\theta} \right)^m \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+e^\theta} \right)^{n-m},$$

where m is the realized value of $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. [1 point]

Now, consider the following cases.

Case I: $m = 0$. In this case, the likelihood function of θ is

$$L(\theta) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+e^\theta} \right)^n,$$

which is an increasing function in $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, in this case the MLE of θ does not exist. [1 point]

Case II: $m = n$. In this case the likelihood function of θ is

$$L(\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{1+e^\theta} \right)^n,$$

which is a decreasing function in $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, the MLE of θ does not exist in this case also. [1 point]

Case III: $m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. In this case, the log-likelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = -n \ln(1+e^\theta) + (n-m)\theta.$$

Taking first derivative with respect to θ and equate it to zero, we obtain

$$-\frac{ne^\theta}{1+e^\theta} + n - m = 0 \implies \theta = \ln\left(\frac{n}{m} - 1\right).$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} l(\theta) = -\frac{ne^\theta}{(1+e^\theta)^2} < 0$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $l(\theta)$ attains its maximum at $\theta = \ln\left(\frac{n}{m} - 1\right)$. Thus, MLE of θ exists in this case and the MLE is $\hat{\theta} = \ln\left(\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} - 1\right)$. [2 points]

5. (7 points) Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size $n (\geq 2)$ from a population with probability density function

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\theta > 0$ is unknown. With preassigned $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, derive a level α likelihood ratio test for $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 (> 0)$ against $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$.

Solution: Here $\Theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}$ and $\Theta_1 = (0, \infty) \setminus \Theta_0$. The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right]. \quad [1 \text{ point}]$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta) = L(\theta_0) = \frac{1}{\theta_0^n} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right].$$

To find $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1} L(\theta)$, we need to find MLE of $\theta > 0$. Now, standard calculation shows that MLE of θ is $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1} L(\theta) = \sup_{\theta \in (0, \infty)} L(\theta) = L(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{\bar{x}^n} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\bar{x}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right] = \frac{1}{\bar{x}^n} e^{-n}. \quad [1 \text{ point}]$$

The likelihood ratio test statistics is

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_0} \right)^n \exp \left[-n \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_0} - 1 \right) \right]. \quad [1 \text{ point}]$$

A LRT rejects null hypothesis if

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &< k \quad [1 \text{ point}] \\ \iff \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_0} \right)^n \exp \left[-\frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_0} \right] &< k. \end{aligned}$$

Here k is used as an generic constant. Now consider the function

$$f(y) = y^n e^{-ny} \quad \text{for } y > 0.$$

It is easy to see that f has unique maximum at $y = 1$, f is strictly increasing for $0 < y < 1$ and strictly decreasing for $y > 1$. Moreover, $f(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} f(y) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\Lambda < k \iff \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_0} \right)^n \exp \left[-\frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_0} \right] < k \iff \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_0} < k_1 \text{ or } \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_0} > k_2, \quad [2 \text{ points}]$$

for $k_1 < k_2$. Now, under null hypothesis,

$$\frac{n\bar{X}}{\theta_0} \sim \text{Gamma}(n, 1).$$

Thus, the test function of level α LRT is

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_0} < G_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{ or } \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_0} > G_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where G_α is upper α -point of a $\text{Gamma}(n, 1)$ distribution. [1 point]