Lecture #11 (05/05/2020) SPR Characterization Theorem: Let a(8) be pxp propen rational transfer matrix. let det (G(3) + GT(-3) =0). Then G(3) is SPR iff (1) (1(8) is Hurwitz (> poles of all elements of G(8) have negative neal part  $(2)(G+G^*)(j\omega)>0 + \omega \in \mathbb{R}$ (3°) either  $G(\infty) + G^{T}(\infty) > 0$ or  $(G(\infty) + G^{T}(\infty) \neq 0$ and  $\lim_{\omega \to \infty} \omega^{2} M^{T}(G + G^{*})(j\omega)) M \neq 0$   $W \to \infty$  vank M of size  $p \times (p-q)$  (antid)

such that  $M^{T}(G(\omega) + G^{T}(\omega))M = 0$ Where  $q := vank(G(\omega) + G^{T}(\omega))$ . SPR for p=1 (Scalar/transfer function case): (2') becomes Re (G(jw))>0 + W ER (3')  $\begin{cases} \text{ either } G(\infty) > 0 \\ \text{ or } G(\infty) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{\omega \to \infty} 2^{\omega} \text{ Re}(G(\omega)) \end{cases}$ Exercise: •  $G(s) = \frac{1}{s}$  is PR but not SPR (because  $\frac{1}{s-\epsilon}$  has a pule in Re(s)>0 for any  $\epsilon > 0$ )

- Show that  $G(s) = \frac{1}{s+a}$ , a > 0 is PR, also SPR, •  $G(8) = \frac{1}{8^2 + 8 + 1}$  is not PR •  $G(8) = \frac{1}{8 + 1}$   $\begin{bmatrix} -8 & 1 \\ -1 & 28 + 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is SPR.

  - $G(8) = \frac{3}{3+1}$  is SPR.  $-\frac{1}{3+2} \frac{2}{3+1}$

check PRness/SPRness in It is possible to State Space. Positive Real Lemma: Consider minimal LTI
system (A,B,C,D) with

mxm transfer matrix (L(3) mxm

(A) A \in R^{nxn} B \in R^{nxm} C \in R^{nxm}

C(3) is PR \in STR \in R^{nxm} U \in R^{nxm}

L \in R^{nxm} W \in R^{nxm} St. PA + ATP = - LLT ---. (\*) SPB - CT = - LW ---. (\*\*) Gives a factorization of D+DT. If D=0, then W=0.

 $C^{T} - PB = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ W \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L^{T} & W \end{bmatrix} > 0$   $D + D^{T}$  $-PA-A^{T}P$  $C-B^TP$ KYP Leonma: Same setting as PR Leonma.

G(3) is SPR \ SPR \ SPR \ SPR \ LERNXM WERRMAN, E>0 8.t. PA + ATP = - LLT - EP -.. (\*) PB-CT = - LW ---(\*\*)  $D + D^{\top} = W^{\top}W - - - - (***)'$ 

We can

rewrite (\*), (\*\*), (\*\*) as:

Proof of KYP (emma (uses PR (emma): (=>) Suppose = P>O, L,W, E>O satisfying (\*)', (\*\*\*)'. Set  $\mu := E/2$ , and recall: G(8-M) = C(SI-MI-A) B+D From (\*), we have: P(A+µI)+(A+µI)TP = - LLT Then, from PR Lemma, a (3-11) is PR → G(3) is SPR. (€) Suppose a(s) is SPR. Then, 3 4>0 s.t. G(8-4) is PR. By PR lemma, 3 P>0, L, W s.t.

(\*\*\*)/ recover (AY, (AA)/(XX) Setting E : = 2µ, we Sammary of Passivity Results for LTI system: PR/KYP Lemma a(s) is PR/SPR LTI System is Passive/Strictly passive with analyatic storage function  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T P x$ (next pg.)

SP(A+MI) + (A+MI)TP = -LLT

LTI dissipativity with quadrative storage function. (:e) [(u(x))] y(x) dx = V(x(t)) - V(x6) (- 1 ) (ATP+PA)x(Y) Externally supplied energy until time t  $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(\tau) Q \chi(\tau) d\tau$ Here, 6 (shere Q>0 and  $-Q:=A^{T}P+PA$ )

 $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T} P x$ 

Absolute Stability with monlinearity in the LTI system feedback loop: We will analyze the case r = 0. 7 + C(8)  $\chi = A \chi + B \mu, \chi(0) = \chi_0$ Z 7 4(.) K  $\underline{y} = C_{x} + D_{y}$ ムニール(ナンス) & xample: ZERM, U, YERM If D=0, then z = Ax - BY(t, Cx)& nonlinear part of ( separates linear part Closed-100p dynamics)

Setting of the problem: · The static memoryless nonlineamity Y: [0,00) × R<sup>m</sup> +> R<sup>m</sup>
is piecewise continuous w.r.t. timet, and
locally Lipschitz in y. · Assume (A,B,C,D) minimal ( (A,B) is controllable pair, (A,C) is observable pair · Assume that u = - y(t, Cx + Du) has unique solution u for all pairs (t, x). (Can show that this always holds for D = 0).

Motivating Sector bounded nonlinearity \( V (.) : Consider Z = Y(t,y) s.t. Scalar Case:  $\left(\alpha y \leq Y(t,y) \leq \beta y\right)$  $\forall (t,y)$  where  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . looks like Graph of z= V(t, y)

To say Y(t,y) satisfies the sector bounded nonlineamity with internal  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , is same as Writing a duadratie inequality:  $(z-\alpha y)(z-\beta y) \leq 0 + (y,z)$ 8.t. z = \(\t, \(\t)\) for sector [a, B]

Example: (1D) Y ∈ Sector [-1,+1] ↔ | Y(y) | ≤ 19). Definition:

Let  $\underline{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$   $\underline{\beta} := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$   $\underline{\beta} := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ Definition: is said I static memory less function y to belong to sector  $y^{T}(y(t,y) - xoy) > 0$ · [∝,∞] if T(t'A)(A(t'A)-100A) <0 • [0, 飞] 诗

· [x, ]] if (\(\frac{1}{2}(t,\frac{1}{2}) - \alpha \omega \frac{1}{2}\) (\(\frac{1}{2}(t,\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}\omega \frac{1}{2}\) If in any case, the inequality is stried, then we write the sector notation as  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $(\alpha, \infty)$ ,  $(0, \beta)$ , or  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Lure's Problem (A,B,C,D) Y E Sector (x, F] time-varying and/or uncertain nowlineariti in the last uncertain noulinearities Goal! Prove stability meng only sector info. (i.e., not for a specific system, but for a family if

We say the system is Absolutely stable if origin of this system is GUAS for all Y in the given sector. It is (locally) absolutely stable if omigin is UAS in some domain of.

Lyapunov function  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Px$ . (next class).