





Q4. The results obtained by a single pass through an image of some 2-D kernels can also be achieved by two passes using 1-D kernels. For example, the same result of using a 3x3 smoothing kernel with coefficients  $1/9$  can be obtained by a pass of the kernel  $[1 \ 1 \ 1]$  through an image, followed by a pass of the result with the kernel  $[1 \ 1 \ 1]^T$ . The final result is then scaled by  $1/9$ . Show that the response of Sobel kernels can be implemented similarly by one pass of the differencing kernel  $[1 \ 0 \ 1]$  (or its vertical counterpart) followed by the smoothing kernel  $[1 \ 2 \ 1]$  (or its vertical counterpart). **10 Marks**

Q5. One often finds in the literature a derivation of the Laplacian of a Gaussian (LoG) that starts with the expression: **10 Marks[7+3]**

$$G(r) = e^{-r^2/\sigma^2}$$

Where  $r = x^2 + y^2$ . The LoG is then derived by taking the second partial derivative with respect to  $r$ :

$$\nabla^2 G(r) = \partial^2 G(r) / \partial r^2$$

Finally,  $x^2 + y^2$  is substituted for  $r^2$  to get the final (incorrect) result:

$$\nabla^2 G(x, y) = [(x^2 + y^2 - \sigma^2)/\sigma^4] \exp[-(x^2 + y^2)/2\sigma^2]$$

Derive this result and explain the reason for the difference between this expression and the following Eq:

$$\nabla^2 G(x, y) = \left[ \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 2\sigma^2}{\sigma^4} \right] e^{-\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)}$$

\*\*\*\*\*Best of Luck\*\*\*\*\*