

Q1

Abhishek Mod - 1001558833  
M.L

a) Probability of the first event to occur at time  $x$  after restart =  $P(s)$

is the performance measure

$$P(0|P(s)) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

described by exponential distribution

~~for~~ Analytic MLE optimization

- Convert to log likelihood

$$\hat{u} = \ln \sum P(0|P(s)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(\lambda e^{-\lambda x_i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (\ln \lambda - \lambda x_i) = n \ln \lambda - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Taking derivative w.r.t  $\lambda$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (n \ln \lambda - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) = 0$$

$$\frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\sum x_i}$$

$$b) D = \{1.5, 3, 2.5, 2.75, 2.9, 3\}$$

$$\Rightarrow n = 6$$

$$\sum x_i = 1.5 + 3 + 2.5 + 2.75 + 2.9 + 3$$

$$= 15.65$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\sum x_i} = \frac{6}{15.65} = 0.3833$$

Q1 c

$$P(\lambda|x) \propto P(x|\lambda) P(\lambda)$$

$$\propto \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum x_i} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \lambda}$$

$$\propto e^{-\lambda(\sum x_i + \beta)} \lambda^{n+\alpha-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\lambda|x) \propto \text{Gamma}(\alpha+n, \sum x_i + \beta)$$

Take log

$$\log P(\lambda|x) \propto -\lambda \left( \sum_i x_i + \beta \right) + (n+\alpha-1) \log \lambda$$

Take derivative w.r.t  $\lambda$  & set it = 0.

$$\therefore -\sum x_i - \beta + \frac{n+\alpha-1}{\lambda} = 0$$

$$\therefore \lambda = \frac{n+\alpha-1}{\sum_i x_i + \beta}$$

$$\alpha = 5 \text{ \& } \beta = 10$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{6+5-1}{15.65+10} = 0.3898$$

Q2a) Or

	Height	Weight	Age	Cartesian Point 1	Distance Point 2	Point 3	Point 4
W	170	57	32	22.869	13.0	14.247	36.510
M	192	95	28	66.655	33.541	31.032	15.264
W	150	45	30	8.66	32.078	35.707	55.0
M	170	65	29	29.765	5.830	9.273	28.390
M	175	78	35	42.941	9.899	8.0	19.849
M	185	90	32	58.386	25.0	22.561	13.0
W	170	65	28	29.783	6.403	9.949	28.089
W	155	48	31	8.944	26.645	30.0	50.099
W	160	55	30	16.583	18.138	21.744	41.533
M	182	80	30	48.518	15.748	13.190	14.282
W	175	69	28	35.916	6.480	7.071	23.021
M	180	80	27	47.843	15.0	13.747	12.206
W	160	50	31	11.874	22.383	25.317	46.054
M	175	72	30	38.065	5.744	5.385	21.189

(Cartesian Dist<sup>n</sup> formula =  $\sqrt{(\text{Height} - \text{Test Height})^2 + (\text{Weight} - \text{Test Weight})^2 + (\text{Age} - \text{Test Age})^2}$  for  $K=1$ )

Select the data which has the minimum cartesian distance

Point 1  $\rightarrow 8.66 \rightarrow [(150, 45, 30), 'W']$

Point 2  $\rightarrow 5.744 \rightarrow [(175, 72, 30), 'M']$

Point 3  $\rightarrow 5.385 \rightarrow [(175, 72, 30), 'M']$

Point 4  $\rightarrow 12.206 \rightarrow [(180, 80, 27), 'M']$

Return Majority label

$\therefore$  Point 1  $\rightarrow W$   
 Point 2  $\rightarrow M$   
 Point 3  $\rightarrow M$   
 Point 4  $\rightarrow M$

for  $k=3$

point 1  $\rightarrow$  3 nearest  $\rightarrow [(150, 45, 30), 'W'], [(155, 48, 31), 'W'], [(150, 60, 31), 'W']$

point 2  $\rightarrow$  3 nearest  $\rightarrow [(175, 72, 30), 'M'], [(170, 65, 29), 'M'], [(175, 69, 28), 'W']$

Similarly for Test point 3 & 4

- Return Majority label for points

∴ Test point 1  $\rightarrow$  W

Test point 2  $\rightarrow$  M

Similarly for Test point 3 & Test point 4  $\rightarrow$  M

Repeat same for  $k=5$   
— (Steps)

Q3a

$$P(\text{height} | W) = N(\text{height} | \mu, \sigma) = 0.03022$$

$$P(\text{weight} | W) = N(\text{weight} | \mu, \sigma) = 0.00962$$

$$P(\text{Age} | W) = N(\text{Age} | \mu, \sigma) = 0.0012$$

$$P(\text{height} | M) = N(\text{height} | \mu, \sigma) = 0.00017$$

$$P(\text{weight} | M) = N(\text{weight} | \mu, \sigma) = 0.00001$$

$$P(\text{Age} | M) = N(\text{Age} | \mu, \sigma) = 0.0286$$

$$N(z | \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(z-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$\text{mean} = \hat{\mu}_{i,j} = E[x_i | c_j] = \frac{\sum_{k: y^{(k)} = c_j} x_i^{(k)}}{\#(x^{(k)}, y^{(k)}) : y^{(k)} = c_j}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{i,j}^2 = \frac{\sum_{k: y^{(k)} = c_j} (x_i^{(k)} - \hat{\mu}_{i,j})^2}{(\#(x^{(k)}, y^{(k)}) : y^{(k)} = c_j) - 1}$$

$$\hat{c} = \arg \max_c P(c) \prod_i P(x_i | c)$$

	Column1. ▾	Column2 ▾	Column3.1 ▾	Column ▾		Column1 ▾	Column2 ▾	Column3 ▾	Column ▾	
	170	57	32	W		192	95	28	M	
	150	45	30	W		170	65	29	M	
	170	65	28	W		175	78	35	M	
	155	48	31	W		185	90	32	M	
	160	55	30	W		182	80	30	M	
	160	50	31	W		180	80	27	M	
	175	69	28	W		175	72	30	M	
mean	162.85714	55.57142857	30			179.85714	80	30.142857		
stdev	9.0632697	8.866738274	1.527525232			7.3354975	10.148892	2.6726124		

Q3d

- I think KNN is better than Gaussian Naive Bayes classifier, because Gaussian Naive Bayes makes one crucial assumption  $\rightarrow$  that the features of the input data are independent from each other; which can be a huge drawback in some cases.
- Also KNN is quite simple to implement.