

# Informed State Space Search

# The notion of heuristics

- ❑ Heuristics use domain specific knowledge to estimate the quality or potential of partial solutions
  
- ❑ Examples:
  - Manhattan distance heuristic for 8 puzzle
  - Minimum Spanning Tree heuristic for TSP
  - Heuristics are fundamental to chess programs

# The informed search problem

- Given:  $[S, s, O, G, h]$  where
  - $S$  is the (implicitly specified) set of states
  - $s$  is the start state
  - $O$  is the set of state transition operators each having some cost
  - $G$  is the set of goal states
  - $h()$  is a heuristic function estimating the distance to a goal
- To find:
  - A min cost seq. of transitions to a goal state

# Algorithm A\*

1. **Initialize:** Set  $OPEN = \{s\}$ ,  $CLOSED = \{ \}$ ,  
 $g(s) = 0$ ,  $f(s) = h(s)$
2. **Fail:** If  $OPEN = \{ \}$ , Terminate & fail
3. **Select:** Select the minimum cost state,  $n$ ,  
from  $OPEN$ . Save  $n$  in  $CLOSED$
4. **Terminate:** If  $n \in G$ , terminate with success, and return  $f(n)$

# Algorithm A\*

5. **Expand:** For each successor,  $m$ , of  $n$ 
  - If  $m \notin [\text{OPEN} \cup \text{CLOSED}]$ 
    - Set  $g(m) = g(n) + C(n,m)$
    - Set  $f(m) = g(m) + h(m)$
    - Insert  $m$  in OPEN
  - If  $m \in [\text{OPEN} \cup \text{CLOSED}]$ 
    - Set  $g(m) = \min \{ g(m), g(n) + C(n,m) \}$
    - Set  $f(m) = g(m) + h(m)$
    - If  $f(m)$  has decreased and  $m \in \text{CLOSED}$ ,  
move  $m$  to OPEN
6. **Loop:** Go To Step 2.

# Algorithm A\*

A heuristic is called admissible if it always under-estimates, that is, we always have  $h(n) \leq f^*(n)$ , where  $f^*(n)$  denotes the minimum distance to a goal state from state  $n$ .

- ❑ For finite state spaces, A\* always terminates
- ❑ At any time before A\* terminates, there exists in OPEN a state  $n$  that is on an optimal path from  $s$  to a goal state, with  $f(n) \leq f^*(s)$
- ❑ If there is a path from  $s$  to a goal state, A\* terminates (even when the state space is infinite)
- ❑ Algorithm A\* is admissible, that is, if there is a path from  $s$  to a goal state, A\* terminates by finding an optimal path
- ❑ If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are two versions of A\* such that  $A_2$  is more informed than  $A_1$ , then  $A_1$  expands at least as many states as does  $A_2$ .
  - If we are given two or more admissible heuristics, we can take their max to get a stronger admissible heuristic.

# Monotone Heuristics

- ❑ An admissible heuristic function,  $h()$ , is monotonic if for every successor  $m$  of  $n$ :

$$h(n) - h(m) \leq c(n,m)$$

- ❑ If the monotone restriction is satisfied, then  $A^*$  has already found an optimal path to the state it selects for expansion.
- ❑ If the monotone restriction is satisfied, the  $f$ -values of the states expanded by  $A^*$  is non-decreasing.

# Pathmax

- ❑ Converts a non-monotonic heuristic to a monotonic one:
  - During generation of the successor,  $m$  of  $n$  we set:
$$h'(m) = \max \{ h(m), h(n) - c(n,m) \}$$
and use  $h'(m)$  as the heuristic at  $m$ .



# Inadmissible heuristics

- ❑ Advantages:

- In many cases, inadmissible heuristics can cause better pruning and significantly reduce the search time

- ❑ Drawbacks:

- $A^*$  may terminate with a sub-optimal solution

# Iterative Deepening A\* (IDA\*)

1. Set  $C = f(s)$
2. Perform DFBB with cut-off  $C$   
Expand a state,  $n$ , only if its  $f$ -value is less than or equal to  $C$   
If a goal is selected for expansion then return  $C$  and terminate
3. Update  $C$  to the minimum  $f$ -value which exceeded  $C$  among states which were examined and Go To Step 2.

# Iterative Deepening $A^*$ : *bounds*

- ❑ In the worst case, only one new state is expanded in each iteration
  - If  $A^*$  expands  $N$  states, then  $IDA^*$  can expand:  
$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + N = O(N^2)$$
- ❑  $IDA^*$  is asymptotically optimal

# Memory bounded $A^*$ : $MA^*$

- Whenever  $|\text{OPEN} \cup \text{CLOSED}|$  approaches  $M$ , some of the least promising states are removed
- To guarantee that the algorithm terminates, we need to back up the cost of the most promising leaf of the subtree being deleted at the root of that subtree
- Many variants of this algorithm have been studied. Recursive Best-First Search (RBFS) is a linear space version of this algorithm

# Multi-Objective A\*: MOA\*

- ❑ Adaptation of A\* for solving multi-criteria optimization problems
  - Traditional approaches combine the objectives into a single one
  - In multi-objective state space search, the dimensions are retained
  
- ❑ Main concepts:
  - Vector valued state space
  - Vector valued cost and heuristic functions
  - Non-dominated solutions

# Iterative Refinement Search

- ❑ We iteratively try to improve the solution
  - Consider all states laid out on the surface of a landscape
  - The notion of local and global optima
  
- ❑ Two main approaches
  - Hill climbing / Gradient descent
  - Simulated annealing

# Hill Climbing / Gradient Descent

- Makes moves which monotonically improve the quality of solution
- Can settle in a local optima
- Random-restart hill climbing

# Simulated Annealing

- ❑ Let  $T$  denote the temperature. Initially  $T$  is high. During iterative refinement,  $T$  is gradually reduced to zero.
- 1. Initialize  $T$
- 2. If  $T=0$  return current state
- 3. Set next = a randomly selected succ of current
- 4.  $\Delta E = \text{Val}[\text{next}] - \text{Val}[\text{current}]$
- 5. If  $\Delta E > 0$  then Set current = next
- 6. Otherwise Set current = next with prob  $e^{\Delta E/T}$
- 7. Update  $T$  as per schedule and Go To Step 2.