
UGP: ESSAYS ON DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

Abhishek Shree

Last updated April 17, 2023

Contents

1	Introductory Dynamic Programming	2
1.1	Introduction	2
1.1.1	Notation	2
1.1.2	Functional Equations	2
1.2	Existence and Uniqueness Theorems	2
1.2.1	Existence and Uniqueness with g and h not necessarily non-negative	3
1.2.2	Uniqueness	6
1.3	Approximations	6
1.3.1	Approximation in the policy space	7
1.4	Properties of the solution	7
1.4.1	Convexity	7
1.4.2	Concavity	8

§1. Introductory Dynamic Programming

1.1. Introduction

1.1.1. Notation

The standard Dynamic Programming problem described throughout will be in metric space \mathbb{X} .

Given, $\Phi : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\Gamma : \mathbb{X} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$

For a fixed x^0 , find a sequence $x_m = x^1, \dots, x^m, \dots$ such that

$$\max \Phi(x^0, x^1) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta^i \Phi(x^i, x^{i+1}), \text{ where } \delta \in (0, 1) \text{ and } x^1 \in \Gamma(x^0), x^{i+1} \in \Gamma(x^i), m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Here δ is called the discount factor.

1.1.2. Functional Equations

Considering a space of Real numbers \mathbb{R} , we can define a functional equation as follows:

$$f(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} [g(y) + h(x - y) + f(ay + b(x - y))]$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and g, h are given functions.

This can be seen as an **insurance problem** where functions g and h represent the different places where and initial premium x is invested. The function f represents the value of the policy at the end of the period.

We can define, $R_1(x, y) = g(y) + h(x - y)$, initially the aim is to determine $\max_{0 \leq y \leq x} R_1(x, y)$. The value of x and y obtained from this maximization can be used to generate the next element of the sequence x_m .

i.e. $x_1 = ay + b(x - y) = y_1 + (x_1 - y_1)$

Further we define $R_2(x, y, y_1) = g(y) + h(x - y) + g(y_1) + h(x_1 - y_1)$ where $(y, y_1) \in [0, x] \times [0, x_1]$

This can be generalized to $R_m(x, y, y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}) = g(y) + h(x - y) + g(y_1) + h(x_1 - y_1) + g(y_2) + h(x_2 - y_2) + \dots + g(y_{m-1}) + h(x_{m-1} - y_{m-1})$

and the maximization problem becomes

$$\max R_m(x, y, y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}) \text{ such that}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} ay + b(x - y) = x_1 & 0 \leq y \leq x \\ ay_1 + b(x_1 - y_1) = x_2 & 0 \leq y_1 \leq x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ ay_{m-1} + b(x_{m-1} - y_{m-1}) = x_m & 0 \leq y_{m-1} \leq x_{m-1} \end{array}$$

Here, $f_N(x) = \max_{t, y_i} R_N(x, y_1, \dots, y_N)$ and $f_N(x)$ can be interpreted as the maximum return obtained from an N -stage decision with initial premium x and $x \geq 0$.

$$\therefore f_N(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} [g(y) + h(x - y) + f_{N-1}(ay + b(x - y))]$$

or

$$f(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} [g(y) + h(x - y) + f(ay + b(x - y))] \text{ for } N \rightarrow \infty$$

1.2. Existence and Uniqueness Theorems

Under the following assumptions, the functional equation has a unique solution, which is continuous at $x = 0$ and has a value 0 at $x = 0$. Moreover the solution is continuous at $x \geq 0$.

The assumptions are:

1. g and h are continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and $g(0) = h(0) = 0$
2. If $m(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} [\max\{|g(y)|, |h(y)|\}]$ and $c = \max\{a, b\}$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m(c^n x) < \infty \forall x \geq 0$

Proof. We define a mapping $T(f, y) = g(y) + h(x - y) + f(ay + b(x - y))$ and $f_{N+1}(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f_N, y)$, assuming g and h to be non-negative.

The sequence $\{f_N(x)\}$ is an increasing sequence of functions such that $f_N \rightarrow f$. Proving that T is bounded above would suffice.

Method 1: We know that $x_1 \leq cx$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore ax_1 + b(x_1 - y) &\leq cx_1 \leq c^2x \\ \implies T(f_N, y) &\leq 2(m(x) + m(cx) + \dots + m(c^N x)) \end{aligned}$$

Which proves that T is bounded above by a constant.

Method 2:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{N+1}(x) &= \sup_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f_N, y) \\ f(x) &\geq \sup_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f_N, y) \\ f(x) &\geq T(f_N, y) \forall y \in [0, x] \\ f(x) &\geq T(f, y) \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty \\ \therefore f(x) &= \sup_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f, y) \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} T(f_N, y) &= g(y) + h(x - y) + f_N(ay + b(x - y)) \\ &\leq g(y) + h(x - y) + f(ay + b(x - y)) \\ &= T(f, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{N+1}(x) &= \sup_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f_N, y) \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f, y) \\ f_{N+1}(x) &\leq \sup_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f, y) \end{aligned}$$

As $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$f(x) \leq \sup_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f, y)$$

$$\therefore f(x) = \sup_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f, y) \text{ using the above two inequalities}$$

■

1.2.1. Existence and Uniqueness with g and h not necessarily non-negative

We can write,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} [g(y) + h(x - y)] \\ &= \max_{y \in [0, x]} [g(y) + h(x - y) + 0] \\ &= \max_{y \in \Gamma(x)} [g(y) + h(x - y)] \end{aligned} \quad \Gamma(x) = [0, x] \text{ a set valued map}$$

Digression

We will use a generalized form of Berge Maximum Theorem in the following proof, which is described here.

Berge Maximum Theorem (Generalized Form)

Let Θ and \mathbb{X} be two metric spaces and $\Gamma : \Theta \rightrightarrows \mathbb{X}$ is a compact valued correspondence. Let $\phi : \mathbb{X} \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function.

Let $\sigma(\theta) = \operatorname{argmax}\{\phi(x, \theta) : x \in \Gamma(\theta)\}$ and let $\phi^*(\theta) = \max_x \{\phi(x, \theta) : x \in \Gamma(\theta)\}$

Let $\Gamma : \Theta \rightrightarrows \mathbb{X}$ is continuous at some $\theta \in \Theta$. Then,

1. $\sigma : \Theta \rightrightarrows \mathbb{X}$ is compact-valued, upper semi-continuous and closed at θ .
2. $\phi^* : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at θ .

Some definitions that follow from above are:

1. **Upper semi-continuous Map:** Let $\Gamma : \mathbb{X} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Y}$, then Γ is upper semi-continuous at $x \in \mathbb{X}$ if for any $\{x^m\} \in \mathbb{X}$ and $\{y^m\} \in \mathbb{Y}$ with $x_0^m \rightarrow x$ and $y^m \in \Gamma(x^m)$, the sequence $\{y^m\}$ has a convergent subsequence such that its limit is in $\Gamma(x)$.
2. **Compact valued Map:** $\Gamma : \mathbb{X} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Y}$ is a compact valued correspondence which is upper semi-continuous if for a compact subset $S \subset \mathbb{X}$, the set $\Gamma(S)$ is compact.
3. **Closed Map:** $\Gamma : \mathbb{X} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Y}$ is closed at $x \in \mathbb{X}$ if for any $\{x^m\} \in \mathbb{X}$ with $x^m \rightarrow x$ and $\{y^m\} \in \mathbb{Y}$ with $y^m \rightarrow y$ such that $y^m \in \Gamma(x^m)$ for each m , we have $y \in \Gamma(x)$.

By applying the generalized form of Berge Maximum Theorem, we can show that $f_1(x)$ is continuous.

$$T(f_N, y) = g(y) + h(x - y) + f_N(ay + b(x - y)) \text{ and } f_{N+1}(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f_N, y).$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{N+1}(x) &= T(f_N, y_N) \geq T(f_N, y_N + 1) \\ f_{N+2}(x) &= T(f_N + 1, y_N + 1) \geq T(f_N + 1, y_N) \end{aligned}$$

Define,

$$u_N(x) = \sup_{0 \leq z \leq x} |f_N(z) - f_N + 1(z)|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{N+1}(x) - f_{N+2}(x) &\geq T(f_N, y_{N+1}) - T(f_N + 1, y_{N+1}) \\ f_{N+1}(x) - f_{N+2}(x) &\leq T(f_N, y_N) - T(f_N + 1, y_N) \end{aligned}$$

From these two inequalities, we get

$$|f_{N+1}(x) - f_{N+2}(x)| \leq \max\{|T(f_N, y_{N+1}) - T(f_N + 1, y_{N+1})|, |T(f_N, y_N) - T(f_N + 1, y_N)|\}$$

$$|T(f_N, y_{N+1}) - T(f_N + 1, y_{N+1})| = |f_N(ay_N + b(x - y_N)) - f_{N+1}(ay_{N+1} + b(x - y_{N+1}))| \leq u_N(cx)$$

$$|T(f_N, y_N) - T(f_N + 1, y_N)| = |f_N(ay_N + b(x - y_N)) - f_{N+1}(ay_N + b(x - y_N))| \leq u_N(cx)$$

$\therefore ay + b(x - y) \leq cx$ where $c = \max\{a, b\}$

$$\therefore \boxed{u_{N+1}(x) \leq u_N(cx)} \text{ for } N \in \mathbb{N}$$

We now need to estimate $u_1(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x) &= T(f_1, y_1) \\ f_1(x) - f_2(x) &\geq g(y_1) + h(x - y_1) - T(f_1, y_1) \\ f_2(x) - f_1(x) &\leq f_1(ay_1 + b(x - y_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Let y_0 be the maximizing point to obtain $f_1(x)$ i.e. $f_1(x) = g(y_0) + h(x - y_0)$ and $f_2(x) \geq T(f_1, y_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) - f_2(x) &\geq g(y_0) + h(x - y_0) - T(f_1, y_0) \\ &= -f_1(ay_0 + b(x - y_0)) \\ f_2(x) - f_1(x) &\geq f_1(ay_0 + b(x - y_0)) \end{aligned}$$

$$|f_2(x) - f_1(x)| \leq \max\{f_1(ay_1 + b(x - y_1)), |f_1(ay_0 + b(x - y_0))|\} \implies |f_2(x) - f_1(x)| \leq 2m(cx) \forall x \in [0, x]$$

$$\therefore \boxed{u_1(x) \leq 2m(cx)}$$

$$u_{N+1}(x) \leq u_N(cx) \leq u_{N-1}(c^2x) \leq \dots \leq u_1(c^Nx) \leq 2m(c^{N+1}x)$$

$$\therefore u_{N+1}(x) \leq 2m(c^{N+1}x) \text{ and } u_1(x) \leq 2m(cx) \text{ for } N \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\implies \boxed{u_N(x) \leq 2m(c^Nx) \text{ for } N \in \mathbb{N}}$$

From the above result we can say that,

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} u_N(x) \leq \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} 2m(c^Nx)$$

that is

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} u_N(x) \leq \infty (\text{convergent})$$

$$\implies u_N(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

$\implies f_N(x)$ is a cauchy sequence (which then implies that $f_N - f_M$ can be expressed as a sum of differences).

$$\therefore f(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_N(x) \text{ exists and is continuous } \forall x \geq 0$$

Now we know that,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{N+1}(x) &\geq T(f_N, y) \\ &\geq g(y) + h(x - y) + f_N(ay + b(x - y)) \text{ for } y \in [0, x] \end{aligned}$$

For large N ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\geq g(y) + h(x - y) + f(ay + b(x - y)) \\ &\geq \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f, y) \end{aligned} \tag{A}$$

For a bounded sequence $\{y_N\}$ with $y_N \rightarrow y^*$ for some $y^* \in [0, x]$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= T(f, y^*) \\
 &\leq \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f, y)
 \end{aligned} \tag{B}$$

using (A) and (B),

$$f(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f, y)$$

1.2.2. Uniqueness

By proving the existence, we know that,

$$f(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f, y)$$

Assume on the contrary that there are two such solutions f & F

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= T(f, y) \geq T(f, w) \\
 F(x) &= T(F, w) \geq T(F, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(x) - F(x)| &\leq \max\{|T(f, y) - T(F, y)|, |T(f, w) - T(F, w)|\} \\
 &\leq \max\{|f(ay + b(x - y)) - F(ay + b(x - y))|, |f(aw + b(x - w)) - F(aw + b(x - w))|\}
 \end{aligned}$$

From previous definition, $u(x) = \sup_{0 \leq z \leq x} f(z) - F(z)$ We know that $u(x) \geq 0$ and $u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore 0 &\geq u(x) \geq |f(x) - F(x)| \\
 \implies |f(x) - F(x)| &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \\
 \implies f(x) &= F(x) \text{ for all } x \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

\therefore The solution obtained is unique.

1.3. Approximations

Theorem 1.1. Let $f_0(x)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- $f_0(x)$ is continuous for $x \geq 0$
- $f_0(0) = 0$

Then if the conditions of existence are fulfilled, the sequence defined by

$$f_{n+1}(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f_n, y) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{W}$$

converges to the solution $f(x)$ of the problem, uniformly in any finite interval.

1.3.1. Approximation in the policy space

We call a sequence of allocations, i.e. a sequence of admissible choices of y , a policy and a policy which yields $f(x)$ an optimal policy.

The duality that exists in the theory of dynamic programming arises from the interconnection between the functions $f(x)$ which measure the maximum return and the policies which yield these maximum returns. Actually a policy is a function, since a policy is a determination of y as a function of x . If the policy is not unique, y will not be a single-valued function of x .

Just as we can approximate in the space of the functions $f(x)$, so we can approximate in the space of policies, $y(x)$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f_0(x)$ be the result of an initial approximation in the policy space, that is, $f_0(x) = T(f_0, y_0(x))$ where $y_0(x)$ is any continuous function of x satisfying $0 \leq y_0(x) \leq x$, the sequence defined by

$$f_{n+1}(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} T(f_n, y) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

converges to the solution $f(x)$, uniformly and in a finite interval.

Proof. By the definition of $f_n(x)$, we have

$$f_{n+1} \geq f_n \geq f_0 \quad \forall \mathbb{N}$$

Proving that $f_0(x)$ is continuous, will imply continuity of $f_n(x)$.

$$f_0(x) = g(y_0) + h(x - y_0) + \dots$$

where g and h are continuous functions.

Hence, $f_0(x)$ which is iteratively obtained from $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ is also continuous in a finite interval. ■

We can construct examples for such a solution, say

Example. $f(x) = T(f, y(x))$ be an iterative form of the solution.

If the optimal policy consisted of the choice $y = 0$ continually, the solution can be represented by the equation $f(x) = h(x) + f(bx)$, with the value of b such that $h(b^n x) < \infty$ i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= h(x) + f(bx) \\ &= h(x) + h(bx) + f(b^2x) \\ &= h(x) + h(bx) + h(b^2x) + f(b^3x) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(b^n x) \end{aligned}$$

Here, $h(b^n x) < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so the series converges and $f(x)$ is a continuous function of x .

1.4. Properties of the solution

1.4.1. Convexity

Theorem 1.3. If, along with existence assumptions, we impose the conditions that g and h be convex functions of x , then $f(x)$ will be a convex function, and for each value of x , y will equal 0 or x .

Proof. g and h are convex functions and,

$$f_1(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} (g(y) + h(x - y))$$

In the interval $[0, x]$, g and h are convex, hence $g(y) + h(x - y)$ is also convex.

\therefore Maximum of a convex function must occur at the endpoints of the interval, i.e. $y = 0$ or $y = x$. We can say that,

$$f_1(x) = \max(g(y), h(x))$$

Then,

$$f_2(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} (g(y) + h(x - y) + f_1(ay + b(x - y)))$$

similarly follows,

$$f_2(x) = \max(g(x) + f_1(ax), h(x) + f_1(bx))$$

Therefore we can inductively conclude that $f_n(x)$ is convex thus, the limit of the sequence $f(x)$ is also convex. ■

1.4.2. Concavity

Theorem 1.4. [Weak Version] If, along with existence assumptions, we impose the conditions that g and h be strictly concave functions of x , then $f(x)$ will be a strictly concave function, with an optimal policy which is unique.

Lemma 1.5. If $G(x, y)$ is a concave function of x and y for $x, y \geq 0$, then $f(x)$ as defined by $f(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} G(x, y)$ is a concave function of x for $x > 0$.

Theorem 1.6. [Strong Version] Assuming that,

1. $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are both strictly concave for $x > 0$, monotone increasing with continuous derivatives and that $g(0) = h(0) = 0$.
2. $\frac{g'(0)}{(1-a)} > \frac{h'(0)}{(1-b)}$, $h'(0) > g'(\infty)$, $b > a$.

Then the optimal policy has the following form:

1. $y = x$ for $0 < x < \bar{x}$, where \bar{x} is the root of $h'(0) = g'(x) + (b-a)g'(ax) + (b-a)ag'(a^2x) + \dots$
2. $y = y(x)$ for $x > \bar{x}$ where $y(x)$ is a function satisfying the inequalities $0 < y(x) < x$, and $y(x)$ is the solution of $\boxed{g'(y) - h'(x - y) + (a-b)f'(ay + b(x - y)) = 0}$

Proof. It is known that,

$$f_1(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} (g(y) + h(x - y))$$

$\therefore g'(0) > h'(0) \implies g'(y) - h'(x - y) > 0$ for $y \in [0, x]$ with the roots at $y = x$ and in the interval $[0, x']$, $\forall x' > y$

At $y = x$, the value x^* for which $g'(x^*) - h'(0) = 0$ is the root of the equation $g'(x) - h'(0) = 0$.

This equation has precisely one solution due to the fact that g and h are strictly concave.

For $x \geq x^*$, let $y_1(x)$ be the unique solution of $g'(y) = h'(x - y)$. Then, $f_1(x) = g(y_1) + h(x - y_1)$ and $f'(x) = [g'(y_1) - h'(x - y_1)] \frac{dy_1}{dx} + h'(x - y_1) = h'(x - y_1)$ for $x > x_1$.

Further,

$$f_2(x) = \begin{cases} g'(x), & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq x_2 \\ h'(x - y_2) + bf_1(ay_2 + b(x - y_2)), & \text{if } x > x_2 \end{cases}$$

where $y_2(x)$ is the unique solution of $g'(y) = h'(x - y) + (b-a)f_1(ay + b(x - y))$ Inductively,

$$f_{n+1}(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} \{g(y) + h(x - y) + f_n(ay + b(x - y))\}$$

The solution space hence can be divided into three parts from, $[0, x_2]$, $[x_2, x_1]$ and $[x_1, \infty)$, wherein the inequality $f'_{n+1}(x) \geq f'_n(x)$ holds for all x .

For $n = 1$,

$$f'_2(x) = \frac{bg'(y_2) - ah'(x - y_2)}{b - a} \text{ for } x \geq x_2$$

and

$$f_1'(x) = \frac{bg'(y_1) - ah'(x - y_1)}{b - a} \text{ for } x \geq x_1$$

For $[x_1, \infty)$, and f' is monotonically decreasing and $y_2 < y_1$, $f_2'(x) > f_1'(x)$. In, $[0, x_2]$, $f_2'(x) = f_1'(x)$.

In $[x_2, x_1]$,

$$f_1'(x) = g'(x)$$

$$f_2'(x) = \frac{bg'(y_2) - ah'(x - y_2)}{b - a} \text{ for } x \geq x_2$$

Hence, in the interval, $0 \leq y_2 \leq x$ for $x \in [x_2, x_1]$,

$$f_2'(x) \geq \frac{bg'(x) - ah'(0)}{b - a} > g'(x)$$

And we assume that, $g'(x) \geq h'(0) \therefore f_2'(x) > f_1'(x)$

Or, for $x_1 > x_2 > x_3 > \dots > x_n > 0$, $f_1'(x) \leq f_2'(x) \leq f_3'(x) \leq \dots \leq f_n'(x) \leq \dots$

Since $f_n(x)$ converges to $f(x)$, $f_n'(x)$ to $f'(x)$, $y_n(x)$ to $y(x)$ and x_n to \bar{x} , the solution has the form,

$$f(x) = \max_{0 \leq y \leq x} \{g(y) + h(x - y) + f(ay + b(x - y))\}$$

$$\frac{df}{dx} = 0 \implies g'(y) - h'(x - y) + (a - b)f'(ay + b(x - y)) = 0$$

■

[Bel57]

References

[Bel57] Richard Bellman. *Dynamic Programming*. Dover Publications, 1957.