Gaussian Mixture Model using Expectation Maximization -Abhinava Sikdar

GAUSSIAN MIXTURE MODELS USING EXPECTATION MAXIMIZATION

GMM is a type of clustering algorithm. As in the name, each cluster is modelled according to a different Gaussian distribution. Unlike hard assignments into clusters(Eg. K-means), GMM assigns soft assignments to each of the data points which means that a given data point could have been generated by any of the different Gaussian Distributions but with a certain probability.

Assume that we are given a data set $D = \{x1, ..., xN\}$ where xi is a d-dimensional vector measurement. Assume that the points are generated in an IID fashion from an underlying density p(x). We further assume that p(x) is defined as a finite mixture model with K components i.e.

$$p(\underline{x}|\Theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k p_k(\underline{x}|z_k, \theta_k)$$

Where:

- The $p_k(x|z_k,\theta_k)$ are *mixture components*, $1 \le k \le K$. Each is a density or distribution defined over p(x), with parameters θ_k .
- $z=(z_1,...,z_K)$ Is a vector of K binary indicator variables that are mutually exclusive and exhaustive (i.e., one and only one of the z_k 's is equal to 1, and the others are 0). z is a K-ary random variable representing the identity of the mixture component that generated x. It is convenient for mixture models to represent z as a vector of K indicator variables.
- The $\alpha_k = p(z_k)$ are the mixture weights, representing the probability that a randomly selected x was generated by component k, where $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k = 1$.
- The complete set of parameters for a mixture model with K components is

$$\Theta = \{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_K, \theta_1, ..., \theta_K\}$$

How to calculate the membership weights?

We can compute the "membership weight" of data point xi in cluster k, given parameters Θ as

$$w_{ik} \ = \ p(z_{ik} = 1 | \underline{x}_i, \Theta) \ = \ \frac{p_k(\underline{x}_i | z_k, \theta_k) \cdot \alpha_k}{\sum_{m=1}^K p_m(\underline{x}_i | z_m, \theta_m) \cdot \alpha_m}, \quad 1 \le k \le K, \quad 1 \le i \le N.$$

This follows from a direct application of Bayes rule. The membership weights above reflect our uncertainty, given xi and Θ , about which of the K components generated vector xi. Note that we are assuming in our generative mixture model that each xi was generated by a single component—so these probabilities reflect our uncertainty about which component xi came from, not any "mixing" in the generative process.

For $x \in Rd$ we can define a Gaussian mixture model by making each of the K components a Gaussian density with parameters $\mu(k)$ and $\Sigma(k)$. Each component is a multivariate Gaussian density with its own parameters $\theta(k) = {\mu(k), \Sigma(k)}$.

$$p_k(\underline{x}|\theta_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\Sigma_k|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\underline{x}-\underline{\mu}_k)^t \Sigma_k^{-1}(\underline{x}-\underline{\mu}_k)}$$

The EM Algorithm for Gaussian Mixture Models

We define the EM (Expectation-Maximization) algorithm for Gaussian mixtures as follows. The algorithm is an iterative algorithm that starts from some initial estimate of Θ (e.g., random), and then proceeds to iteratively update Θ until convergence is detected. Each iteration consists of an E-step and an M-step.

E-Step: Denote the current parameter values as Θ . Compute w(ik) (using the equation above for membership weights) for all data points x(i), $1 \le i \le N$ and all mixture components $1 \le k \le K$. Note that for each data point x(i) the membership weights are defined such that $\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{ik} = 1$. This yields an N × K matrix of membership weights, where each of the rows sum to 1.

M-Step: Now use the membership weights and the data to calculate new parameter values. Let $N(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{ik}$, i.e., the sum of the membership weights for the kth component—this is the effective number of data points assigned to component k. Now update parameters as:

$$\alpha_k^{new} = \frac{N_k}{N}, \quad 1 \le k \le K.$$

$$\underline{\mu}_k^{new} = \left(\frac{1}{N_k}\right) \sum_{i=1}^N w_{ik} \cdot \underline{x}_i \quad 1 \le k \le K.$$

$$\Sigma_k^{new} = \left(\frac{1}{N_k}\right) \sum_{i=1}^N w_{ik} \cdot (\underline{x}_i - \underline{\mu}_k^{new}) (\underline{x}_i - \underline{\mu}_k^{new})^t \quad 1 \le k \le K.$$

The equations in the M-step need to be computed in this order, i.e., first compute the K new α 's, then the K new $\mu(k)$'s, and finally the K new $\Sigma(k)$'s.

After we have computed all of the new parameters, the M-step is complete and we can now go back and recompute the membership weights in the E-step, then recompute the parameters again in the E-step, and continue updating the parameters in this manner. Each pair of E and M steps is considered to be one iteration.

Initialization and Convergence for EM

The EM algorithm can be started by either initializing the algorithm with a set of initial parameters and then conducting an E-step, or by starting with a set of initial weights and then doing a first M-step. The initial parameters or weights can be chosen randomly (e.g. select K random data points as initial means and select the covariance matrix of the whole data set for each of the initial K covariance matrices) or could be chosen via some heuristic method (such as by using the k-means algorithm to cluster the data first and then defining weights based on k-means memberships).

Convergence is generally detected by computing the value of the log-likelihood after each iteration and halting when it appears not to be changing in a significant manner from one iteration to the next. Note that the log-likelihood (under the IID assumption) is defined as follows:

$$\log l(\Theta) \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log p(\underline{x}_i | \Theta) \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\log \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k p_k(\underline{x}_i | z_k, \theta_k) \right)$$

where $pk(x(i)|z(k), \theta(k))$ is the Gaussian density for the kth mixture component.

Which Data Set am I choosing & Initializations?

I am choosing the Iris data set which contains 4 features – petal length, sepal length, petal width and petal length. Based on these 4 features, there are 3 classes – Sertosa, Vesicolor and Virginica. I am choosing K=3 i.e. I will be trying to cluster the data with 3 different Gaussian Distributions. I have further chosen the initial mean array to be the mean of entire data + a random number from (0,1) as each of its entry. I have further initialised each of the covariance matrix as the identity matrix plus a random matrix with entries from (0,1). I have also set my tolerance as 1e-4 which means our implementation stops once the difference between successive log likelihoods have reduced beyond the tolerance.

Results:

With a log likelihood of -180, The density approximation was able to perform extremely well, with correctly clustering all the points for Sertosa and Virginica and about 45/50 of Vesicolor using one Gaussian component for each of the classes. The graphs are as follows:



