

and didn't assess risk. **learn why did failure occur, what was the risk and how it can be avoided, what can we change so it never happens again.** SE is about developing and utilizing engineering principles to produce software, learning from mistakes and enacting systemic change to avoid or mitigate risk. **SE BEST APPROACH IS AGILE.** LEAN IS NOT AN ALTERNATIVE TO AGILE (about learning eliminating waste). **Requirements engineering** (hardest thing is deciding what to build). cost of change increases over time. Two types: **HIGH LEVEL** (business requirements); what benefits will cust. get and user gets. **LOW LEVEL:** what will system do, how well? Steps: Find problem to solve, do concept exploration to determine if software is a good solution, determine a set of requirements to solve the problem, validate the requirements specifically, the problem, explore constraints, understand operation environment, address high level details of solution, determine feasibility **3 questions to ask** should system be built, must it be built, can it be built. should it be built (is problem important, how frequent is the problem, is the market for the problem large enough to justify the cost, would automated solution be better). must a system be built (is the solution already out there). can a system be built (what is the feasibility, two types: technical and political e.g. workforce, management, finances, laws). Cost benefit analysis is there resources, feasibility is fin flux. technology improves, companies change. **requirements engineering process: elicitation, specification, validation.** elicitation: find a consumer with problem, get list of requirements, asking what you want doesn't work, need specifics. stakeholders don't know anything, devs may not understand system requirements, diff stakeholders describe same thing different ways. reqs change. **INTERVIEW** (close interviews, open interviews, jargon heavy, obvious info not obvious, avoid preconceived ideas about the software, visual prototypes for interfaces). **ETHANOGRAPHY** (observe day to day life innovation), user stories (process by which a task will be completed or used, narrative). Scenarios: initial assumption, description of natural flow of events, description of what can go wrong, other activities, description of end result. User story guidelines: e.g. as a student user, I can create a new question and specify the folders, summary, a details. needs to be discrete but not precise, estimable (possible to estimate work needed), traceable (possible to know which parts of system satisfy the requirement), testable (so you know its done). **requirements are features, function, capability, property a software product must have and it must be testable:** eliciting requirements by close ended (specific and detailed), open ended, scenario (lets customer talk thru seq. of interactions), and probing (forces customer to think about justification for each requirements). requirements spec is much more specific than concept exploration, concept exploration determines what software CAN do, req specs are what software will do. 2 stakeholders, user reqs (consumer), system reqs (developer). User Requirements are requirements designed for review by end user, but may often lack details. Use broad statements to convey intent. These have to be turned into System Requirements. System Requirement high detailed list of requirements for a system. Functional: Describe the services/ features/ operation of the

Definition of software engineering: The application of a systematic disciplined quantifiable approach to the development , operation and maintenance of software. e.g. Tom takes 12 hrs, ben takes 8 hrs. how long to both paint 4.8 hrs. programming assumptions: 1) house tom and ben collected data on is the one they will paint (data collection of programmer output is inherently subjective). 2) homeowner wont change mind halfway thru painting (software requirements can and will change quickly). tom and ben have enough resources to never share it (shared software assets must be shared and maintained across multiple developers). 3) tom and ben will never do anything to slow each other down (never going to pain another into a corner, ensuring most efficient. communications!) 4) no unforeseen circumstances (what if market changes and product not needed) **biggest assumption is that there are no unexpected mistakes.** Programmers are bad at predicting errors before they manifest. Software runs nearly every aspect of our lives and we know that software has been fault prone. software is custom built but errors can be hard to predict. Engineering principles are **concepts rules or ideas to be kept in mind while solving an engineering problem.** no magic list, engineering principles are often earned through mistakes and failures. principles can change in light of new challenges. SE is new and thus best principles are still being discovered. Bridge building example: they are becoming larger and more complex. Tacoma narrows bridge before collapse, builders thought lighter and narrower stuff was better for suspension. In the 1930s,aerodynamics was poorly understood. forgot to consider vertical wind → destruction of tacoma narrows bridge. Software principles: use modern programming suites, when using 3rd party software, have contingency plans, ensure good modularizations, always document critical safety decisions. existing software is less risky than new custom software, use independent test teams when possible, test complete can detect defects, always test complete system within target environment e.g.gandi bit overflow (-2 modifier to aggression normally, but when -1 overflows to 255 super aggressive). modern compilers prevent this. heartbleed. navy social security leak. **Assessing risk** when you rely on third party software have risk assessment plan. should be identified and addressed via *avoidance, mitigation, having a contingency esp w/vendors* for security. mars climate was lbs/sec vs newton/sec. NATS. old software can be costly (newer tech is cheaper and reduces error rates). as code ages, harder to maintain. **this is what software entropy is.** combated with refactoring. **effort** refers to the time and money required to produce a piece of software. predicting effort is difficult. effort estimation research provides models to predict time and cost of software production. most use historical data and have wide margin of error. Thierac-25 (no hidep code review, unhelpful error messages, not testing with hardware and software together until in hospital). **Importance of testing** is the best way to catch software failure is to find it in testing, can never be exhaustive, should mimic the end environment as much as possible, code reviews are often encouraged in conjunction with testing. nothing caused by malicious intention. no criminal mastermind. well intended programmers who made mistakes, didn't check thoroughly

system. (user should be able to search for all clinics. system will generate daily report listing all appointments for the day) Non-functional: Constraints user should be able to use after 1 hr of training. list should load within 0.5 s) Godo requirements: complete, testable, traceable, consistent, concise, reusable, feasible, changeable What is good software: ISO 9126: functionality (satisfies needs), reliable (correctly operates), usability (effort needed to use software), efficiency, relation between performance and amount of resources, portability (can be transferred from 1 env to another). Internal quality of maintainability (can be understood), changeability (can be easily modified), stability, and testability. **how to we achieve internal quality DESIGN.** What is system modeling: process of developing abstract model presents a system. each abstract model presents a different view of that system. system modeling often involves diagramming interaction and processes. system model isn't complete rep. of system, it is an abstraction not a translation. Can be used during design, implementation, and after implementation. external perspective is to model the content or environment of the system and how it gets used by the user. interaction between system and environment... **structural** model the organization of system and data, **behavioral** model the dynamic behavior of system and how it responds to events. UML diagrams (unified modeling language). activity diagrams show all activities in process. use case diagrams show interactions between system and environment. state diagrams show how system reacts to events. class diagrams show object classes and relationships. sequence diagram shows interactions between actors and components in the system. **SOFTWARE DESIGN:** Essential difficulties: complexity: software not built on repeatable parts, building two pieces of software not like building 2 cars. complexity is inherent to software, no one person will fully understand an entire system **conceptual integrity** (many people agreeing on understanding) is integrable. Conformity: software must integrate with different interfaces, users, systems, requires more complexity. Changeability: infinitely malleable. manufactured things are rarely changed after manufacturing (in software however change is the norm). New users discover product, pushing edge classes, changing tech also creates change. Invisibility: we can have several different diagrams mapping the same system, overlaying graphs would be complicated. How do we organize code **modularity, functional independence** and how should we expose functionality (**abstraction, information hiding**) Technical debt is the cost of poor design decisions becomes worse over time. a form of delayed gratification. only for whatever the opposite of gratification is "delayed screwing yourself". Lack of documentation or changeability. Incremental changes is the repeated process of adding to code base. used in development by adding new features, expanding or improving existing features. maintenance fixing feedbacks reducing technical debt. Incremental change process (before writing code): Initiation (analyze user stores and change requirements and extract concepts), concept location is locating concepts in the source code. Impact analysis is the set of classes/methods likely to be affected by tech change. Prefactoring is to refactor to make changes easier. **DURING THE CODE** actualization is the implementation by

writing new code and incorporating it into the system. propagation is to propagate the changes thru the system. post factoring, new baseline is too commit the changes. Modularity. split that making diff between layers is hard, interface pass thru is hard. requirements changes may be needed. more code. public class StudentMVCdemo { public static void main(String[] args) { Student model = retrieveStudentFromDatabase(); StudentView view = new StudentView(); StudentController controller = new StudentController(model, view); controller.updateView(); controller.setStudentName("John"); controller.updateView(); } } private static Student retrieveStudentFromDatabase() { Student student = new Student(); student.setName("Robert"); student.setNumber(10); return student; } } **Groups of design patterns** Creation patterns: handle object creation and instantiation. Structural patterns and existing objects together. behavioral patterns give a way to allow you to visit all elements of a collection one at a time. if you implement a collection, must have iterator. functional independence and information hiding (don't have to know how collection is structured, just need to know if it works) it is a MEME. Creational patterns used that hide or limit constructor usage. Singleton: only one instance at a single time. that instance can be shared across multiple modules (e.g. logger). never use singleton if you need multiple. **Factory pattern** is when you might need to use a class on the flight by combining existing pieces. Interchangeable pieces of a system and put them together! Abstract factory pattern, having independent factories is bad, more classes = more complexity so the solution is to build several factories where the programmer can "order" the class they want. have all the factories share an interface so ordering is simple. // SINGLTON public class Logger { private static BufferedWriter logWriter; private static Logger instance; private Logger() { logWriter = new BufferedWriter(new FileWriter("log.txt")); } public static Logger getInstance() { if (instance == null) { instance = new Logger(); } return instance; } public void writeToFile(String s) { } } public class SomeOtherClass { } } public static void logExample() { Logger log = Logger.getInstance(); log.writeToFile("Inside some other class"); } } **ABSTRACT FACTORY** public abstract class AbstractFactory { abstract Color getShape(String shapeType); abstract Shape getShape(String shapeType); } public class AbstractFactoryDemo { public static void main(String[] args) { AbstractFactory shapeFactory = FactoryProducer.getFactory("SHAPE"); Shape shape = shapeFactory.getColor("RED"); colorFac- tory.getColor("RED") = colorFac- tory.getColor("BLUE") = color2.mil(); } } Bridge pattern is decoupling an abstraction from its implementation so that the two can vary independently. maintain separate inheritance hierarchies that ally a client to assume combinations as needed. have an abstract implementor that selects a concrete Shapes. List <Shape> shapes = ... shapes.add(new Circle(30, 30, 20, new GrayscaleRender())); shapes.add(new Rectangle(80,80,120,120, new Color-

dist dev as each team can work on a layer, good for sec). advantage is replacement of layers, redundant actions are in all layer. disadvantage is that making diff between layers is hard, interface pass thru is hard. requirements changes may be needed. more code. public class StudentMVCdemo { public static void main(String[] args) { Student model = retrieveStudentFromDatabase(); StudentView view = new StudentView(); StudentController controller = new StudentController(model, view); controller.updateView(); controller.setStudentName("John"); controller.updateView(); } } private static Student retrieveStudentFromDatabase() { Student student = new Student(); student.setName("Robert"); student.setNumber(10); return student; } } **Groups of design patterns** Creation patterns: handle object creation and instantiation. Structural patterns and existing objects together. behavioral patterns give a way to allow you to visit all elements of a collection one at a time. if you implement a collection, must have iterator. functional independence and information hiding (don't have to know how collection is structured, just need to know if it works) it is a MEME. Creational patterns used that hide or limit constructor usage. Singleton: only one instance at a single time. that instance can be shared across multiple modules (e.g. logger). never use singleton if you need multiple. **Factory pattern** is when you might need to use a class on the flight by combining existing pieces. Interchangeable pieces of a system and put them together! Abstract factory pattern, having independent factories is bad, more classes = more complexity so the solution is to build several factories where the programmer can "order" the class they want. have all the factories share an interface so ordering is simple. // SINGLTON public class Logger { private static BufferedWriter logWriter; private static Logger instance; private Logger() { logWriter = new BufferedWriter(new FileWriter("log.txt")); } public static Logger getInstance() { if (instance == null) { instance = new Logger(); } return instance; } public void writeToFile(String s) { } } public class SomeOtherClass { } } public static void logExample() { Logger log = Logger.getInstance(); log.writeToFile("Inside some other class"); } } **ABSTRACT FACTORY** public abstract class AbstractFactory { abstract Color getShape(String shapeType); abstract Shape getShape(String shapeType); } public class AbstractFactoryDemo { public static void main(String[] args) { AbstractFactory shapeFactory = FactoryProducer.getFactory("SHAPE"); Shape shape = shapeFactory.getColor("RED"); colorFac- tory.getColor("RED") = colorFac- tory.getColor("BLUE") = color2.mil(); } } Bridge pattern is decoupling an abstraction from its implementation so that the two can vary independently. maintain separate inheritance hierarchies that ally a client to assume combinations as needed. have an abstract implementor that selects a concrete Shapes. List <Shape> shapes = ... shapes.add(new Circle(30, 30, 20, new GrayscaleRender())); shapes.add(new Rectangle(80,80,120,120, new Color-

fixed time scales of releases. has a better track record in code quality and speed of development. disadvantages: collaboration is time consuming. requires heavy customer interaction, evolving requirements mak predicting effort difficult, scalability concerns, code quality can degrade over sprints, turnover. **SE BEST APPROACH IS AGILE.** LEAN IS NOT AN ALTERNATIVE TO AGILE (about learning eliminating waste). **Requirements engineering** (hardest thing is deciding what to build). cost of change increases over time. Two types: **HIGH LEVEL** (business requirements); what benefits will cust. get and user gets. **LOW LEVEL:** what will system do, how well? Steps: Find problem to solve, do concept exploration to determine if software is a good solution, determine a set of requirements to solve the problem, validate the requirements specifically, the problem, explore constraints, understand operation environment, address high level details of solution, determine feasibility **3 questions to ask** should system be built, must it be built, can it be built. should it be built (is problem important, how frequent is the problem, is the market for the problem large enough to justify the cost, would automated solution be better). must a system be built (is the solution already out there). can a system be built (what is the feasibility, two types: technical and political e.g. workforce, management, finances, laws). Cost benefit analysis is there resources, feasibility is fin flux. technology improves, companies change. **requirements engineering process: elicitation, specification, validation.** elicitation: find a consumer with problem, get list of requirements, asking what you want doesn't work, need specifics. stakeholders don't know anything, devs may not understand system requirements, diff stakeholders describe same thing different ways. reqs change. **INTERVIEW** (close interviews, open interviews, jargon heavy, obvious info not obvious, avoid preconceived ideas about the software, visual prototypes for interfaces). **ETHANOGRAPHY** (observe day to day life innovation), user stories (process by which a task will be completed or used, narrative). Scenarios: initial assumption, description of natural flow of events, description of what can go wrong, other activities, description of end result. User story guidelines: e.g. as a student user, I can create a new question and specify the folders, summary, a details. needs to be discrete but not precise, estimable (possible to estimate work needed), traceable (possible to know which parts of system satisfy the requirement), testable (so you know its done). **requirements are features, function, capability, property a software product must have and it must be testable:** eliciting requirements by close ended (specific and detailed), open ended, scenario (lets customer talk thru seq. of interactions), and probing (forces customer to think about justification for each requirements). requirements spec is much more specific than concept exploration, concept exploration determines what software CAN do, req specs are what software will do. 2 stakeholders, user reqs (consumer), system reqs (developer). User Requirements are requirements designed for review by end user, but may often lack details. Use broad statements to convey intent. These have to be turned into System Requirements. System Requirement high detailed list of requirements for a system. Functional: Describe the services/ features/ operation of the

WAYS TO PORT SOFTWARE independent development on native platform, pros: application optimized for each platform, cons: significantly more effort, new feats need to be implemented twice, diff bugs may emerge on diff systems. OR use high level lang to compile on diff systems, take src and build on each system, pros: code once build twice, cons: reqs access to both systems, system specific problems can arise. Common solution: core functionality in C++, interface modules are programmed separately for each inter-face. 3-Tier/Layered: Presentation tier <-> Application Tier <-> Database Tier. Can also cross compile: compile on one host system for all other systems (designing apps for android/windows) easier when dealing w/large num of platforms, cons: requires cross compilers, bugs on tgt systems have to be fixed, costly/time-consuming debugging, more than making software work. cultural and non functional differences. Windows 9 not exist bc bad code, also Windows v linux likes having cmd win whereas windows pref gui.

USABILITY Why use real world examples? b/c humans compare a computer interface with the real world interface. Software quality IOS 9126 INTERNAL: analyzability, changeability, stability, testability, EXTERNAL: functionality, reliability, efficiency, usability, portability. WHY IS USABILITY IMPORTANT? Therac-25 Radiation therapy machine involved a radiation safety error that killed a w/6 seconds before 1985-87, moved some safety features from hardware to software, some software from therac-20 was used and assumed to be correct, but was tested extensively and did not stop 'no dose', common occurrence, technician pressed "p" to proceed, re-delivers' dose happens 4 more times later. Tyler tx 86, delivered 1 x dose of radiation, also type tx, malfunction 54 = death. WHAT MAKES SOFTWARE USABLE? Efficient, can accomplish task quickly w/minimal user effort, engaging, user wants to learn the interface, easy to learn, initially or over time, error tolerant- able to recover from user errors. Intuitive, bc not everyone has equal experience. DESIGNING FOR USABILITY: USER CENTERED DESIGN: Persons who are the users/what do they know, what is their motivation. SCENARIO: what are the doing/thinking? what are their expectations of the system? INFORMATION VISUALIZATION: part of dev of user interfaces w/how info is represented, comp sci + cog psyc. HUMAN PROCESSOR MODEL perception pipeline, senses -> perceptual subsystem -> visual/al/auditory image storage -> working memory <-> long term memory -> cognitive processor OR motor processor -> movement response. Perceptual, cognitive, and motor subsystems, INFO VIZ: METAPHORS: metaphor is socially agreed upon construct that relate to importance and significance. In info viz, metaphors are used to indicate which data is more important and attract users eyes to appropriate place. EVALUATING USABILITY: HEURISTICS: general guidelines or widely accepted best practices, USING THE SYSTEM under normal circumstances. METRICS: measure quantitative aspects such as time to complete task, error rate, memorability. NIELSEN USABILITY HEURISTICS: 1. Match b/w system and real world

2. consistency and standards 3. Help and documentation 4. user control and freedom. 5. Visibility of system status. 6. Flexibility and efficiency of use. 7. Error prevention 8. Recognition rather than recall. 9. Recognize/diagnose errors 10. aesthetic and minimalist design. Usability studies: Focus groups (few ppl), surveys (many), Observation (few doing in controlled setting), ethnography (many doing field obs in nat setting). USER METRICS: HUMAN RELIABILITY ASSESSMENT: error rate - how often does user keep in their mind during a task. memorability -how much does the user remember What makes usability important -> therac-25, what makes usable software? user-centered design. Info vis? metaphors. Evaluating usability: Heuristics, studies, metrics. INTEGRATION: what order do we implement our systems in? consider hwi. userInterface - uses -> processor - uses -> TweetReader. TweetFileReader Implements TweetReader. Everything uses Tweet, User Interface has a processor, processor has a tweetreader. tweetreader is extended by tweetFileReader. WHAT BROAD STRATEGIES CAN WE IMPLEMENT? BIG BANG INTEGRATION: integrate all components as they are completed. BOTTOM UP INTEGRATION: implement and test modules without dependencies, then implement things that only depend on implemented things. TOP DOWN INTEGRATION. Implement and test modules on which nothing depends. Then implement and test modules on which nothing implemented depends. BIG BANG -> "basically hell", code may not even compile without significant interface. Difficult to test. If the output is incorrect, which system or tier is responsible? BOTTOM UP: Write TweetFileReader first. Advantages: do development and integration together, clearer indication of responsibility errors. Disadvantages: Assumes no cyclic dependencies, design is completely planned out, lowest level modules are easy to implement/test. If implementation finds necessary design changes, this can be time consuming. TOP DOWN: First implement UserInterface. Use STUBS to simulate dependencies. Advantages: tested product is consistent because testing is performed basically in the end environment, stubs are quick and easy to write. STUB EXAMPLE: String state = getState(); List<Tweet> result = processor.getTweetsForState(state); for (Tweet tweet : result) { system.out.println(tweet); } We don't have to implement Processor.getTweetsForState(state); before testing the UI. What that would look like: List<Tweet> getTweetsForState(String state) { List<Tweet> tweets = new ArrayList<Tweet>(); tweets.add(new Tweet(...)); ... add dummy data; return tweets; } THE GOAL OF A STUB: stimulate just enough functionality so that other modules can be tested. Write stubs when stubs are simpler than underlying processes. POJOs: Plain Old Java Objects; Technically a subset of java beans with fewer getters. Generally a collection of data + getters/setters. Doesn't do things, just stores things. Write stubs easier to implement than write stubs for so we just implement them. UP DOWN INTEGRATION CONTINUED: we've implemented user interface using "Processor" that is all stubs. No we implement Processor, stubbing out its dependencies. (TweetReader). HOW SHOULD WE CREATE DE-

PENDENCIES? Option #1: hardcoded them: In UserInterface, TweetReader, Product design: look and feel branding usability. Architecture: How it should be implemented, class org, coding style, api access, inheritance vs composition. Analytics: g o through each screen, find key uses, AB testing, collect lack of data. Devs: break down features, estimate tasks, sprint planning. QA: qual dev test scenarios. Launch & Live, de test scenarios. Commenting: gets out of date, hard to maintain, diff writing styles. Imp. complex code, sometimes lack necessary. magin nums. self doc code: variable names, method names, easy to read, use exactly what the code is doing, up to date. SOURCE CONTROL: Git a. Continuous integration: centralized certs, consist build env, improve workflow, async review. CodeReview be open, learning, never be afraid to share. TESTING: test first, code later, force problems, outline diff scenarios. help rethink impl. unit tests: any logic in the app, API calls, Model View ViewModel, UI Tests, Reactor. Smoke tests. Overcommunicate. READABILITY: you'll read your code far more times than you will write it. you won't remember when you're thinking when you wrote the code. other will have to read your code, readability and understandability matter. READABILITY: ease that readers can id and differentiate tokens and syntactic meaning. UNDERSTANDABILITY: ease with which a reader can identify the semantic meaning of code. READABILITY: syntactic meaning: whitespace usage, spacing and indentation, identifier length, use of dictionary words, variation b/w identifiers. UNSTABILITY: necessary but not sufficient for understandable code but be reasonable. code has to be readable to be understandable, but readable code isn't necessarily understandable. Comments, adherence to coding conventions? meaningful identifier names, unambiguous # of units of measure. Structural: # of paths thru code (too many = bad for understandable) # of identifiers: # of identifiers needs to be as small as possible.

TEST DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT AND DEFENSIVE PROGRAMMING: Test driven development- write the code, box tests first, before writing code, implement just enough code to make the tests pass, if your method still requires new features, write more tests that fail and then repeat.DEFENSIVE PROGRAMMING: writing your code in such a way that it cannot be used incorrectly. Strategies: Don't do anything unique; bad in -> bad out. Nothing caller: bad in -> error. Halt; bad in -> stop. Error codes are bad bc they aren't meaningful, not possible, and easy to ignore. Exceptions force the caller to handle the error by throwing exceptions. These are precautions, things that we assume to be true at the start of the method. Difficulty in just having a "catch all" Exception and doing nothing. Assert will throw an assertion error (things that should never happen). JVMs disable assertion errors and may appear in dev, but not deployment. Post conditions: that should be true at the end of the method, what to do? Option 1: ignore and let the caller deal with it. Option 2: roll back and notify (undo any changes and throw exception). Roll back bc if the exception is caught and handled the state must be assumed not to have changed. Option 3: Halt (assert False). FINISHING UP TESTING + EFFICIENCY: bug reports: ID and describe an encountered defect, pro-

vide means by which the bug can be reproduced, identify expected behavior, severity of the bug, workarounds. Format: title, actions, environ. Stack trace gives u a snapshot of the program when it crashed associated with the trace sometimes. print statements are bad and assumes you have somewhere to print. Debuggers: get familiar with them (variable watches, breakpoints, step into vs step over vs step return vs resume). Advantages: see all the variables, not just you print. see all the watch the state of the program change after each step. you don't have to make any code changes. Debug model imitations: significant cost, where to breakpoint isn't easy, no backtracking. debug can tell you that a variable is null but not WHY. EFFICIENCY: Rule 1: USE THE RIGHT DATA STRUCTURE AND ALGORITHM: arraylist vs linkedlist not equal. hashing saves a ton of time. Know vector/arraylist (always better), linked/arraylist with synchronization). Sets: TreeSet is a balanced BST, HashSet uses hashing. HashMap also. Need duplicates -> lists. Order? Lists or treesets. > other time: sets. LAZINESS dont do it until you actually have to. SHORT CIRCUITING: Use power of short circuiting to your advantage, execute in order of complexity. MEMOIZATION: LazyInitialization. b/w space & time complexity. Tradeoffs: don't instantiate until method call. PARALLEL Embarrassingly Parallel: Something that is incredibly easy to make parallel. Example from class: applying an image filter line by line. "COST" P * TP(n), where p is the number of processors, T the processing time and n the input. Starting threads has a time value that could make parallelizing beyond a point inefficient. CHEATING THREADS: Threads can be created through implementing runnable, which loosely couples with your choice of concurrency or through extending thread, which forces concurrency. Callable adds the ability to return results and also throw checked exceptions. Run executes a thread. Start because a ThreadJVM and calls the run method. Join waits for a thread to die, can specify time in milliseconds. Interrupt interrupts a thread Some methods that block such as Object.wait() may consume the interrupted status immediately and throw an appropriate exception (usually InterruptedException). Stop stops a thread and is deprecated. EXECUTORS Executors abstract the low-level details of how to manage threads. They deal with issues such as creating the thread objects, maintaining a pool of threads, controlling the number of threads, running, and graceful shutdown. SYNCHRONIZATION The synchronized keyword is all about different threads reading and writing to the same variables, objects and resources. CRITICAL SECTION are things that need to be synchronized. However, global things should not be synchronized. Strings should also not be synchronized since all strings with the same value will be synchronized due to how java is built. However, THREAD HANDLING is best done by synchronizing classes that handle variables that multiple threads may be attempting to access. This is done through the synchronized keyword.

ethnographic research). Architecture, What can be built? client landscape. Product design: look and feel branding usability. Architecture: How it should be implemented, class org, coding style, api access, inheritance vs composition. Analytics: g o through each screen, find key uses, AB testing, collect lack of data. Devs: break down features, estimate tasks, sprint planning. QA: qual dev test scenarios. Launch & Live, de test scenarios. Commenting: gets out of date, hard to maintain, diff writing styles. Imp. complex code, sometimes lack necessary. magin nums. self doc code: variable names, method names, easy to read, use exactly what the code is doing, up to date. SOURCE CONTROL: Git a. Continuous integration: centralized certs, consist build env, improve workflow, async review. CodeReview be open, learning, never be afraid to share. TESTING: test first, code later, force problems, outline diff scenarios. help rethink impl. unit tests: any logic in the app, API calls, Model View ViewModel, UI Tests, Reactor. Smoke tests. Overcommunicate. READABILITY: you'll read your code far more times than you will write it. you won't remember when you're thinking when you wrote the code. other will have to read your code, readability and understandability matter. READABILITY: ease that readers can id and differentiate tokens and syntactic meaning. UNDERSTANDABILITY: ease with which a reader can identify the semantic meaning of code. READABILITY: syntactic meaning: whitespace usage, spacing and indentation, identifier length, use of dictionary words, variation b/w identifiers. UNSTABILITY: necessary but not sufficient for understandable code but be reasonable. code has to be readable to be understandable, but readable code isn't necessarily understandable. Comments, adherence to coding conventions? meaningful identifier names, unambiguous # of units of measure. Structural: # of paths thru code (too many = bad for understandable) # of identifiers: # of identifiers needs to be as small as possible.

TEST DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT AND DEFENSIVE PROGRAMMING: Test driven development- write the code, box tests first, before writing code, implement just enough code to make the tests pass, if your method still requires new features, write more tests that fail and then repeat.DEFENSIVE PROGRAMMING: writing your code in such a way that it cannot be used incorrectly. Strategies: Don't do anything unique; bad in -> bad out. Nothing caller: bad in -> error. Halt; bad in -> stop. Error codes are bad bc they aren't meaningful, not possible, and easy to ignore. Exceptions force the caller to handle the error by throwing exceptions. These are precautions, things that we assume to be true at the start of the method. Difficulty in just having a "catch all" Exception and doing nothing. Assert will throw an assertion error (things that should never happen). JVMs disable assertion errors and may appear in dev, but not deployment. Post conditions: that should be true at the end of the method, what to do? Option 1: ignore and let the caller deal with it. Option 2: roll back and notify (undo any changes and throw exception). Roll back bc if the exception is caught and handled the state must be assumed not to have changed. Option 3: Halt (assert False). FINISHING UP TESTING + EFFICIENCY: bug reports: ID and describe an encountered defect, pro-