

1 cis350 notes

intentioned programmers who made mistakes, didn't check thoroughly and didn't assess risks, what was the **did failure occur**, what was the **risk** and how it could have been **avoided**, what can we change so it **never happens again**. SE is about developing and utilizing engineering principles to produce software, learning from mistakes and enacting systemic change to avoid or mitigate risk. SE is concerned with *process over products*, tools to help with *software development, improvement, demand, efficiency*.

2 Standish Group CHAOS Report 1993: 16.2% of software projects are successful! On time, on budget; 32.7% are late and/or over time, fewer features than specified; 31.1% Failure; 189 percent initial cost, twice as long as expected. Only 61 percent of features Properties of good software: works as specified, does what the customer asked for, is reliable/predictable (bug free), maintainable, cost effective. Six major steps of software: specification, design, development, testing, deployment, maintenance. **ad-hoc** building and fix, cowboy coding: 1) build first version 2) modify until customer is happy. Software life cycle models: constructs that dictate life cycle models. disclaimer, no one adheres to a model. Waterfall and hybrid models exist. theory: each phase (req gathering, system design, implementation, testing, deployment, maintenance) falls into the next (e.g. full complete requirements and design before any code is written), no new features after coding starts). Simple model, easy to manage, clear deliverables, process don't overlap. disadvantage of series design flaws may not be discovered until testing, no working code until late in the model. immobile to requirement changes.

face to face tim. incremental releases, keep customers informed and happy. fixed time scales of releases, has a better track record in code quality and speed of development, disadvantages: collaboration is time consuming, requires heavy customer interaction, evolving requirements make predicting effort difficult, scalability concerns, code quality can degrade over sprints, turnover is NOT AN ALTERNATIVE TO AGILE (about learning engineering waste). **Requirements engineering** (hardest thing is deciding what to build), cost of change increases over time. Two types: HIGH LEVEL (business requirements); what benefits will customer and users get. LOW LEVEL: what will system do, how well? Steps: Find problem to solve, do concept exploration to determine a set of requirements to solve the problem, specify the requirements specifically, validate requirements. Goals: define the problem, explore constraints, understand operation environment, address high level details of solution. determine feasibility **3 questions to ask** should system be built, must it be built, can it be built, should it be built (is the problem important, how frequent is the problem, is the market for the problem large enough to justify the cost, would automated solution be better). must a system be built (is the solution already out there). can a system be built (what is the feasibility, two types: technical and political, e.g. workforce, management, finances, laws). Cost benefit analysis are there, technology improves, companies change. **requirements engineering process: elicitation, specification, validation.** elicitation: find a consumer with problem, get list of requirements. asking what you want doesn't work, need specifics, stakeholders don't know anything, devs may not understand system requirements, diff stakeholders describe same thing different ways. reqs change. **INTERVIEW** (close interviews, open interviews, jargon heavy, obvious info not obvious, avoid preconceived ideas about the software, visual prototypes for interfaces), **ETHNOGRAPHY** (observe day to day, stimulus innovation), user stories (process by which a task will be completed or by which a narrative). Scenarios: Initial assumption, description of natural flow of events, description of what can go wrong, other activities, description of end result. User story guidelines: e.g. as a student user, I can create a new question and specify the folders, summary, an details, needs to be discrete, but not precise, estimable (possible to estimate work needed), traceable (able to know which parts of software satisfy the requirement), verifiable (you know its done). **requirements are features, functionality, capabilities, property of software, produce measurable and it must be testable** (eliciting requirements by closed ended (specific and detailed), open ended (scenario interactions) and probing (force customer to think about justification for each requirements) requirements exploration, concept exploration determines what software can do, reqs are what software will do, 2 stakeholders, user reqs (consumer), system reqs (developer). User Requirements are requirements designed for review by end user, but may often lack details. Use broad statements to convey intent. These have to be turned into System Requirements. Required list of requirements for

<p>a system. Functional: Describe the services/features/operation of the system. (user should be able to search for all clinics. system will generate daily report listing all appointments for the day) Non-functional: Constraints under which the system operations (user should be able to use after 1 hr of training. list should load within 0.5 s) Goto requirements: complete, testable, traceable, consistent, concise, feasible, changeable What is good software: ISO 9126: functional (satisfies needs), reliable (correctly operates), usability (effort needed to use software), efficiency (relation between performance and amount of resources, portability (can be transferred from env to other), internal quality (maintainability (can be easily modified), changeability and testability</p>	<p>What is system modeling: process of developing abstract models of a system, each abstract model presents a different view of that system, system modeling often involves diagramming interaction and processes. system is model isn't complete rep of system, it can be used during design, implementation and after implementation. external perspective is to model the content of environment of the system and how it gets used by the user. interaction between system and environment... structural model the organization of system and data, behavioral model the dynamic behavior of system and how it responds to events. UML diagrams (unified modeling language), activity diagrams allow all activities in process. design diagrams show relationships between system and environment, state diagrams show how system reacts to events, class diagrams show objects, classes and relationships between classes and relationships between objects. diagram shows interactions between actors and complements in the system.</p>
--	--



SOFTWARE DESIGN: Essential difficulties: complexity; software not built on repeatable parts; building two pieces of software not like building two cars; complexity is inherent to software; no one person will fully understand an entire system; **conceptual integrity** (many people agreeing on understanding) is impossible. Software must integrate with different interfaces, users, systems, requirements, more complexity. Changeability: infinitely malleable. Manufactured things are rarely changed after manufacturing (in software however change is the norm). New users discover product, pushing edge cases, changing tech, also creates change. Invisibility: we can have several different diagrams mapping the same system, overlaying graphs would be complicated. How do we organize code **modularity**, **functional independence** and **how should we expose functionality (abstraction, information hiding)**. Technical debt is the cost of poor design decisions becomes worse over time. A form of delayed gratification, only for whatever the opposite of gratification is "delayed screwing yourself". Lack of documentation or changeability. Incremental changes is the repeated process of adding to code base. Use of design patterns: adding new features, experimenting or improving existing features.

features, maintenance fixing (fixed), reducing technical debt, incremental change process (before writing code), initiation (analyze user stores and change requirements and extracting concepts in the source code, impact analysis is the set of classes/methods likely to be affected by tech change, prefactoring is to refactor to make changes easier, DURING THE CODE, actualization is the implementation by writing new code and incorporating it into the system, propagation is to propagate the changes thru the system, post factoring, new baseline is to commit the changes, Modularity, split stuff up (Tweet class stores records and calls TweetFinder and TweetTime), UNDERSTAND THE ASSUMPTIONS, YOUR INTERFACE MAKES, single responsibility principle each module should only have one reason to change, should only address 1 part of the requirements, cohesion: all functional modules should be closely related, breaking into smaller modules is good, Functional independence, example: if I find the tweet within the tweet module I have to know a lot about the state, if I have a separate module I only need to know about the interface, Loose coupling, good, tight coupling bad (requires more info than needed, modules depend on each other and share global data), Abstraction is to program an interface, what they do not how they do it, have functions input output based, Abstract data types (just need a list, doesn't matter what), things to avoid, THE GOD CLASS Architectural pattern: Pattern is a way of presenting, sharing, and reusing knowledge about a software system, a pattern is an architectural pattern is an abstract description of good practice with the pattern, this good practice description comes from years of experiences, this description clearly identify if pattern is appropriate and where it isn't, details advantages and disadvantages, **monolithic** single module or small number of tightly coupled modules, simple to develop/scale/deploy, larger code base is intimidating, difficult to learn, and dev is difficult, **component based** is the collection of off the shelf modules that provide various services, these modules are glued together, having multiple components in the same view is difficult, **client-server** system is presented as a set of services, a service is represented by a separate server, multiple clients access each server to use the service, service access is a backend data structure, some network is used to access these services, used when data in shared db needs to be accessed from multiple locations and the load on the system is variable, **microservices** allow for dist of services across network, general functionalities can be available to add clients who don't want to be implemented on all services, individual services can be defined by independently disavagable, limited by weak and uncardinate security, **software service** component based client-server + component based, growing use of web based interfaces makes the market potentially larger, MVC Model view controller, web dev, MVC Model view controller, model manages data, view manages information for the user, controller manages user interactions, separates presentation and interaction from data, 3 models interact to control, view, and manipulate data, allow multiple ways to view data, useful when requirements are unknown, Advantages allow the data to be indep of the representation, supports using data in diff ways, disadvantages more code though, **layered architecture** has presentation layer

```

// (UI), application service/interface layer
// (business logic)
// (enforces real world limitations on
// data, data access layer interface with
// db, and system later OS interfaces. In
// theory same separation and indep
// MMVC, can change each layer without
// changing other ones.
// control, low level bottom. interactions
// have to travel up and down a layer.
// reuse when building on top of existing
// system of services or data (good for
// dist dev as each team can work on
// a layer, good for sec). advantage
// is replacement of layers, redundant
// actions are in all layer. disadvantage is
// that making diff between layers is hard,
// interface pass thru is hard. require-
// ments changes may be needed. more
// code, public class StudentMMVCDemo {
// public static void main(String[] args) {
//     Student model = retrieveStudentFrom-
// Database(); StudentView view = new
// StudentView(); StudentController con-
// troller = new StudentController(model);
// controller.updateView(); controller.set-
// studentName("John"); } private
// static Student retrieveStudentFrom-
// Database() { Student student = new
// Student(); student.setName("Robert");
// return student; } } } } Groups of design patterns Cre-
// ational and instantiation. Structural patterns
// bring existing objects together. behav-
// ior patterns give a way to manifest
// flexible behavior. Iterators allow you a collection
// of an implementation of a collection
// one at a time. if you implement a has-
// collection, must have iterator. has-
// functional independence and infor-
// mation hiding (don't have to know
// how collection is structured, just need
// to know if it works) is a MEME.
// -Creational patterns used that hide or
// limit constructor usage. Singleton:
// only one instance at a single time. that
// instance can be shared across multiple
// modules (e.g. logger). never use
// pattern is when you need multiple. Factory
// uses a class on the flight by combining
// interchangeable pieces. Interchangeable
// pieces of a system and put them together!
// Abstract factory pattern, having in-
// dependent factories is bad. more classes
// = more complexity so the solution is to
// build several factories where the
// programmer can "order" the class they
// want. have all the factories share an
// interface so ordering is simple. //
// SINGLETON public class Logger {
// private static BufferedWriter logWriter;
// private static Logger Instance; private
// Logger() { logWriter = new Bufferd-
// Writer(new FileWriter("log.txt")); }
// public static Logger getInstance() {
//     if (Instance == null) { Instance =
// new Logger(); } return Instance; }
// public void writeToFile(String s) {
//     public class SomeOtherClass {
//         { public static void logExample() {
//             { public static void logToLogFile(
// String logFile) { try { logWriter.write(logFile+
// "\n"); } catch (IOException e) {} } } } }
// FACTORY public class // ABSTRACT
// class AbstractFactory { abstract Color
// getColors(String colorType); abstract
// Shape getShape(String shapeType); }
// public class AbstractFactoryDemo {
//     public static void main(String[] args) {
//         AbstractFactory shapeFactory = Fac-
// toryProducer.getFactory("SHAPE");
//         Shape shape1 = shapeFace-
// ctory.getShape("CIRCLE");
//         Shape shape2 = shape-
// ctory.getDrawable(); Shape shape2 = shape-
// Factory.getShape("RECTANGLE");
//         AbstractFactory colorFactory =
// FactoryProducer.getFactory("COLOR");
//         Color color1 = colorFace-
// ctory.getColor("RED");
//         Color color2 = colorFace-
// ctory.getColor("BLUE");

```

} } Bridge pattern is decoupling an abstraction from its implementation so that the two can vary independently, maintain separate inheritance hierarchies that ally a client to assemble combinations as needed, have an abstract implementor that selects a concrete implementor. Shapes. List <Shape> shapes = . . . shapes.add(new Circle(50, 20, new GrayscaleRenderer())); shapes.add(new Rectangle(80,80,120,120, new ColorRenderer())); for (Shape s : shapes) s.draw(); // use the interface interface Renderer { void drawCircle(int x, int y, int radius); void drawRectangle(int x1, int x2, int y1, int y2); } class ColorRenderer implements Renderer { . . . } class GrayscaleRenderer implements Renderer { . . . } // DECORATOR abstract class Ingredient implements Drinkable{ protected Drinkable b; base = b; } Ingredient(Drinkable b) { base = b; } double price { return base.price(); } } String toString { return base.toString(); } } bid ball of mud is software that lacks clear structure or architecture, system are appended haphazardly and expeditiously. Internal software quality deteriorates. God class sucks. Review: Creational Patterns handle object creation and instantiation Singleton - only one instance can exist at a time, shared by multiple modules without those modules being aware of each other Factory - defer instantiation to subclass, define interface for creating object, but let subclasses decide which class to instantiate Abstract Factory - have several factories share interface to make 'ordering' simple. Structural Patterns bring existing objects together Bridge maintain separate inheritance hierarchies that ally a client to assemble combinations as needed, abstract 'implementer' selects a concrete implementor Decorator on the fly object creation Adaptor adapt existing class/object to new interface without changing underlying class (useful to update interfaces while minimizing side effects/propagation of changes) Facade hide a complicated interface or set of interfaces with a single interface that (useful to hide complex interfaces that are hard to use correctly). Behavioral Patterns give a way to manifest flexible behavior Iterator allow you to visit all elements of collections one at a time, functional independence, information hiding Observer Objects need to notify varying list of objects that some event has occurred (variable change method called), possible that you'll want to link objects to notify each other at runtime Strategy class that represents the strategy and pass instance to method that implements rest of algorithm

PORTABILITY: software portability is the usability of software across multiple systems, interfaces, architectures, platforms, etc, most of the market is android. Most of the time spent consuming media is now on mobile, gaming on mobile has now increased beyond dedicated systems, WHY DO WE NEED PORTABILITY? hardware and people come and go "things change, inter-rates fluctuate" software you write for a target system may outlive the target system, even the basic paradigms of how you write and use software changes overtime, WHY DO WE STILL USE OLD SOFTWARE? new software is significantly more expensive to produce, chapter to port existing software, COBOL: inertia is a strong force, the cost of rewriting everything is also prohibitive and replacing everything is also prohibitive and risk intensive, demand for cobol programs to interface with legacy systems, we have a lot of new platform, people use different devices and

attract users eyes to appropriate place. EVALUATING USABILITY: HEURISTICS: general guidelines or widely accepted best practices, USABILITY STUDIES: observe ppl using the system under normal circumstances. METRICS: measure quantitative aspects such as time to complete task, error rate, memorability. NIELSEN USABILITY HEURISTICS: 1. Match b/w system and real world 2. consistency and standards 3. Help and documentation 4. user control and freedom. 5. Visibility of system status. 6. Flexibility and efficiency of use. 7. Error prevention 8. Recognition rather than recall. 9. Aesthetics and minimalist design. Usability studies: Focus groups (few ppl), surveys (many). Observation (few ppl), controlled setting, ethnography (many doing field obs in net setting). USER METRICS: HUMAN RELIABILITY ASSESSMENT: error rate - how often mistake, cognitive load - how much does user keep in their mind during a task. memorability - how much what makes it usable? 5Es, how do you make usable software? user-centered design. Info vis? metaphors. Evaluating usability: Heuristics, studies, metrics. INTEGRATION: what order do we implement our systems in? consider hw1. userInterface -uses -> Processor - uses -> TweetReader. TweetFileReader implements TweetReader. Everything uses Tweet, User Interface has a processor. processor has a tweetreader. tweetreader is extended by tweetFileReader. WHAT BROAD STRATEGIES CAN WE IMPLEMENT? BIG BANG INTEGRATION: integrate all components as they are completed. BOTTOM UP INTEGRATION: implement and test modules without dependencies, then implement things that only depend on implemented things. TOP DOWN INTEGRATION. Implement and test modules on which nothing depends. Then implement and test modules on which nothing implemented depends. BIG BANG - >basically ad hoc. can lead to "integration hell", code may not even compile without significant interface. Difficult to test. If the output is incorrect, which system or tier is responsible? BOTTOM UP: Write TweetFileReader first. Advantages: do development and integration together, clearer indication of responsibility errors. Disadvantages: Assumes no cyclic dependencies, design modules are easy to implement/test. If implementation finds necessary design changes, that can be time consuming. TOP DOWN: First implement UserInterface. Use STUBS to simulate dependencies. Advantages: tested product is consistent because testing is performed basically in the environment, stubs are quick and easy to write. STUB EXAMPLE: String state = getState(); List<Tweet> result = processor.getTweetsForState(state); for (Tweet tweet : result) { system.out.println(tweet); } We don't have to implement Processor.getTweetsForState(state); before testing the UI. What that would look like: List<Tweet> getTweetsForState(String state) { List<Tweet> tweets = new ArrayList<Tweet>(); tweets.add(new Tweet(...)); // add dummy data; return tweets; } THE GOAL OF A STUB: stimulate just enough functionality so that other modules can be tested. Write stubs when stubs are simpler than underlying processes. POJOs: Plain Old Java Objects. Technically a subset of javaBeans with fewer results. Generally a collec-

tion of data + getters/setters. Doesn't do things, just stores things. There are easier to implement than write stubs for so we just implement them . TOP DOWN INTEGRATION CONTINUED: we've implemented user interface using "Processor" that is all stubs. No we implement Processor, using stubs for its dependencies (Tweet Reader). HOW SHOULD WE CREATE DEPENDENCIES? Option #1: hardcode them: In UserInterface. TweetReader tr = new TweetFileReader(); Option # 2: let client create them. Processor processor = new Processor (new TweetFileReader()); Option # 3: factory method pattern: Processor process = new TweetFileProcessor() // a factory that generates a processor, which is given TweetFileReader(). Option # 4: Singleton Pattern. TweetReader tr = TweetFileReader.getInstance(); SOFTWARE TESTING: Bridge test. Intention plays a 'correct' correctness or not software is 'correct' correctness is domain specific. Intention plays a big role in whether or not software is correct. correctness is domain specific. How did you know your program worked? How do you know the tournament you generated was the best one? You'll never know if your code is correct. Even trivial software can have theoretically infinite input. cannot test all input. Testing CANNOT prove code is correct. SOFTWARE TESTING: Executing a piece of software with intention of finding defects/faults/bugs. SOFTWARE TESTING: Software testing is intended to ensure the program behaves correctly. Testing is useful for discovering defects before delivery. Testing typically involves executing a program artificially. If you want to test a bridge you fail. However, software can be hard to test because. 1) Demonstrate that the software meets requirement, that the software meets requirement, for generic software one test for each system feature included in release. This is valued validation testing. Two goals of software testing: detects inputs or seq of input to create errors (defect testing). Validation: did we do it right? Defect: how broken is it? Testing: can only slow presence of errors, not their absence. Exhaustive testing: attempt a test w/ every possible input. Random Testing: select random inputs. Black box testing: select inputs based on specific space. CONTROLLABILITY: easy to put into a state that you want to test. OBSERVABILITY: easy to observe external behavior of a system. EQUIVALENCE PARTITIONING: Assume similar inputs behavior similarly. divide the space of inputs into smaller groups and pick a representative example. ROBUSTNESS TESTING: inputs that are syntactically valid but semantically not meaningful: test error handling. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS: Look for inputs on the boundaries b/w two equivalence classes. WHITE BOX TESTING: statement coverage: have at least one test covering every statement, condition coverage: have every boolean eval to both true and false, BRANCH COVERAGE: for every if do you eval to true? for every loop, do u eval normal tier, one pass, zero passes, infinite condits? Code is a graph, you want to cover every node and every edge w/ one test. WILLOW TREE: Prod strat -> design -> architectural prep -> dev QA -> launch. Prod business obj user centric approach (user interviews, personas, surveys, ethnographic research). Architecture. What can be built? client landscape. Product design: look and feel branding usability. Architecture: How it should be implemented, class org, coding style,

trace gives u a snapshot of the program when it crashed associated with the trace sometimes. print statements are bad and assumes you have somewhere to print. Debuggers: get familiar with them (variable watches, breakpoints, step into vs step over vs step return vs resume). Advantages: see all the variables, not just you print. you can watch the state of the program change after each step. you don't have to make any code changes. Debug model imitations: significant cost, where to breakpoint isn't easy, no backtracking. debug can tell you that a variable is null but not why. EFFICIENCY: Rule 1: USE THE RIGHT DATA STRUCTURE AND ALGORITHM: arraylist vs linkedlist not equal. hashing saves a ton of time. Know linkedlist, arraylist (always better), vector/arraylist with balanced BST, HashSet uses hashing. Order? Lists or treesets. Any other time sets. LAZINESS dont do it until you actually have to. SHORT CIRCUITING: Use power of short circuiting to your advantage, execute in order of complexity. MEMOIZATION: Trade off b/w space & time complexity. LazyInitialization: don't instantiate until method call.

PARALLEL Embarrassingly Parallel: Something that is incredibly easy to make parallel. Example from class: applying an image filter line by line. "COST" OF THREADS: defined as CP(n) = p * TP(n), where p is the number of processors, T the processing time and n the input. Starting threads has a time value that could make parallelizing beyond a point inefficient. CHEATING THREADS: Threads can be created through implemting runnable, which loosely couples with your choice of concurrency or through extending thread, which forces concurrency. Callable adds the ability to return results and also throw checked exceptions. Run executes a thread. Start because a ThreadJVM and calls the run method. Join waits for a thread to die, can specify time in milliseconds. Interrupt interrupts a thread Some methods that block such as Object.wait() may consume the interrupted status immediately and throw an appropriate exception (usually InterruptedException). Stop stops a thread and is deprecated. EXECUTORS Executors abstract the low-level details of how to manage threads. They deal with issues such as creating the thread objects, maintaining a pool of threads, controlling the number of threads are running, and graceful / less that graceful shutdown. SYNCHRONIZATION The synchronized keyword is all about different threads reading and writing to the same variables, objects and resources. CRITICAL SECTION are things that need to be synchronized. However, global things should not be synchronized. Strings should also not be synchronized since all strings with the same value will be synchronized due to how Java is built. However, THREAD HANDLING is best done by synchronizing classes that handle variables that multiple threads may be attempting to access. This is done through the synchronized keyword.

TEST DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT AND DEFENSIVE PROGRAMMING: Test driven development- write the black-box tests first before writing code implement just enough code to make the tests pass. if your method still requires new features, write more tests that fail and then repeat.DEFENSIVE PROGRAMMING: writing your code in such a way that it cannot be used incorrectly. Strategies: Don't do anything unique: bad in -> bad out. Notifying caller: bad in -> error. Halt: bad in -> stop. Error codes are bad bc they aren't meaningful, not possible, and easy to ignore. Exceptions force the caller to handle the error by throwing exceptions. These are preconditions, things that we assume to be true at the start of the method. Difficulty in just having a "catch all" Exception and doing nothing. Assert will throw an assertion error (things that should never happen). JVMs disable assertion errors and may appear in development errors and may appear in dev but not deployment. Post conditions: that should be true at the end of the method, what to do? Option 1: ignore and let the caller deal with it. Any changes and throw notify (undo back bc if the exception is caught and have changed. Option 3: Halt (assert False). FINISHING UP TESTING + EFFICIENCY: bug reports: ID and describe an encountered defect, provide means by which the bug can be reproduced, identify expected behavior, severity of the bug, workarounds. Format: title, actions performed, expected vs actual results, environ. Stack