Definition of software engineering: The and application of a systematic desciplined quantifiable approach to the developerates and materianance of software. e.g. Tom takes 12 hts; ben not software as construction of programmer output is inherently considerated a cross must be shared and every patient of programmer output is inherently and an individual across must be shared and every going to programmer across must be shared and every some of programmer are also it is (shared software assets must be shared and subjective). 2) homeowner woll change in market changes and product not step programmers are bed for every going to a connect subject as assumption is that there are no unexpected mistakes of the many every aspect of our lives most efficient. Communication!) 4) to market changes and product not and we know that software has been did and the programmers are bed at predicting erosis before they manifels. Software is custom built produce of teaching principles are often earned thorugh mistakes are often earned thorugh mistakes are often earned thorugh mistakes and failures. Principles are fail being discovered. Bridge building example: world and thus best principles are still being discovered. Bridge building example confer earned thorugh mistakes and failures. Principles are still being discovered. Bridge building example conference on the security and mistakes and failures. Principles are still being discovered. Bridge building example conference and outland the software programming cronsider vertical wind — destruction but of tacoma narrows bridge. Software going of tacoma narrows bridge becoming the software failure of the security has a sessensement plan. should be identified and dedressed via aroidmore, missing side, when yosishe, code review and software failure and morey required to predict the are

and didn't assess risk, learn why fared a visik and now the count have been a visid and how it count have been a visid and how it count have been a visid and how it count have been a relevation and utilizing engineering principles to produce software, learning and utilizing engineering principles to produce software, learning and the software projects are successful: On time, on budget; 52.7% software projects are successful: On time, on budget; 52.7% in software in the court which a specificable (budget; 52.7% in software in the customer asked for; an along of good software work as specificable (budget; 52.7% major specificable (budget; 52.7% major specificable; budget; 52.7% in maintiaanneer ad-home is happy. Software; specificable; budget; 52.7% was lifety of good software; specification design, implementation, testing, development, testing, development, an intimized over the software; specification of seed profession on a adheres to a model sexist. Waterfall maintiaanneer ad-home cad-home is happy. Software in greycle models: constructs that dictate life cycle models: constructs shad design before any code is written, no new features after coding starts).

In process don't overlap, or specification of system on the discovered until leathing to expensive and design before any code is written, on one adherest language of series design flines any start model, easy to manage of series design flines and design in meremental model; build 1,2.3, build on expective soft of the system of the discovered until leathing products at it is conconstructed).

Individuals and interesting productypes of features for the beginning. Processes and tools working cools but the beginning at the beginning at the beginning in internetive (increm

under which the system operations under which the system operations (user should be able to use after 1 hr of training. Hist should load within 0.5 s) Godo requirmeents: complete, testable, traceable, consistent, concise, readable, feasible, changeable What is good software: ISO 9126; finctionality (sathsifies needs), reliable (correctly operates), usability (effort ended to use software), efficiency, relation between performance and amount of resources, portability (can be transfered from 1 env to another). Internal quality of maintainability (can be uderstood), changeability (can be uderstood), changeability, and testability. how to we achieve internal quality DESIGN What is system modeling: process of developing abstract models of a system each abstract model system, sudifferent view of that system. System and abstraction and processes, system modeling often involves diagramming interaction and processes, system and after implementation. Each and abstraction not a translation. Can be used during design, implementation, and alter user, interaction and how it gets used by the user, interaction environment. and complenets in the system. SOFTWARE DESIGN: Essential difficulties:
complexity: software not built on
repeatable parts; building two pieces of
software not like building 2 cares. complexity is inherent to software. no one
system conceptual integirty (many
people agreeing on understanding)
is impossible. Conformity: software
must integrate with different interfaces, users. systems, requires more
complexity Changeability: infinitely
malleable. manufactured things are
rarely changed after manufacturing (in
software however change is the norm).
New users discover product, pushing
edge chases. changing tech also creates
change. Invisibility: we can have
several different diagrams mapping
the same system, overlaying graphs
would be complicated. How do we
organize code modularity, functional
independence and how should we
expose functionality (abstraction,
information hiding). Technical debt
in throaten of none organized. between system and environment...
structural model the organization of
system and data, behavioral model the
dynamic behavior of system and how
it responds to events. UML diagrams
(unified modeling language), activity
diagrams show all activities in process.
use case diagrams show interactions
between system and environment, state
diagrams show boy system reacts to
events. class diagrams show object is the cost of poor deisgn decisions becomes worse over time. a form of delayed gratification, only for whatever the opposite of gratification is "delayed serwing yourself". Lack of documentation or changeability. Incremental changes is the repeated process of adding to code base. used in development by adding new features, expanding or improving existing features. maintenance fixing feddects reducing technical debt. Incremental concepts). concept location is locating concepts in the source code, impact analysis is the set of classes/methods likely to be affected by teh change. Prefetcring is to refactor to make changes easier. DURING THE CODE actualization is the ipmlementation by classes and realtionship. sequence diagram shows interactions between actors and complenets in the system. SOFTappointmentso f al: Constraints ore writing code) change requirements an concepts) concept location reducing technical debt. change process (before wi initiation (analyze user daily report listing all app the day) Non-functional: heavy courstomer interaction, evolving cult, scalability concerns, code quality cult, scalability concerns, code quality can dear degrade over rapints, turnover. BEST APPROACH IS AGILE. LEAN BEST APPROACH IS AGILE. LEAN BEST APPROACH IS AGILE. LEAN GIALE. MAN AGILE (Mardest thing is deciding what to build). cost of change increases over inne. Two types: HIGH LEVEL (business requirements); what benefits will cust. eget and users get. LOW LEVEL. what will system do, how well? Steps: Find problem to solve, do concept waylorder of cequirements to solve the problem, specifically, delication to determine it software is a good solution, determine a set of requirements to solve the problem, specify the requirements. Goals: definition determine feasibility 3 questions to a built, can it be built, can it be built, should it be built (is problem large enough to justify the botter). must a system be built (in must it be built (is problem large enough to justify the solution already out there). can a system be built (what is the feasibility, two types: technical and political eg. workforce, management, finances, laws). Cost benefit analysis are there resources: teasibility sifn flux, technology improves, companies change. Head in the problem, get list of requirements asking what you want doesn't work, anything, devs may not understand saking what you want doesn't work, anything, devs may not understand saking what you want doesn't ways electrice stackingements, different ways, system requirements, different ways, integriement. describe same thing different ways. reqs change. INTERIVEW (close interviews, open interviews, jargon heavy, obvious into not obvious, avoid preconceived ideas about the software visual prototypes for interfaces). ETHANOGRAPHY (observe day to day stifels innovation), user stories (process by which a task will be completed or used, narrative). Scenarios: initial assumption, description of natural flow of events, description of natural flow of events, description of natural flow of events, description of standard to the standard way, an details. needs to be discrete but not precise, estimable (possible to estimate work needed), traceable (possible to know which parts of system satisfy the requirement), restables oy us know its done). requirements are features, function, capability, property a software product must have and it must be testable. eliciting requirements by close ended (specific and detailed), open ended (specific and settines what software CAN do, req specific and the software of interactions), and probing (forces customer to think about justification for each requirements) especis much more specific than concept exploration, concept exploration determines what software CAN do, req special ewhat software of user prequirements are requirements designed for review the end user, but may often lack details. fixed time scales of relases. has a better track record in code quality and speed of development. disadvantages: collaboration is time consumeing. requires by end user, but may often lack details. Use broad statements to convey intent. These have to be turned into System Requirements. System Requirement high detailed list of requirements for system. Functional: Describe the services/ features/ operation of the

writing new code and incorporating dit into the system. Propagate it into the system. Propagation is to a propaget the changes, Modularity, split stufff up (Tweet Finder and TweetFinder and tweet module is have should only have one reason to change a separate module is goood. Within the tweet module show as exparate module in only new coupling bad (trequires more inforthan medical modules depend an one ach other and share global data). Why the Market they do not how they do it, have functions into program an interface by what they do not how they do it, have functions input output based on each other and share global data). Why they can be a separate and the function shall be the more than the system is an abstract the description of good practice description is a spropriate and where it isn't desails advantages and disadvantages. The Market they do not how they do it, have functions input output based as a percent of good practice description is a spropriate and where it isn't desails advantages and disadvantages and description of good practice description is a spropriate and where it isn't desails advantages and disadvantages and disadvantages and disadvantages and disadvantages and description of good practice description is appropriate and where it isn't based is the collection of off the shelf modules are glued together. Market based interfaces individiants service service access each based in the service access the market potentially large and system is presented as a set of services across the market potentially and system sports using data in dispendently, disadvantages in the service service access from multi

dist dev as each team can work on a layer, good for see). advantage is that making diff between layers; redundant actions are in all layer. Gladadvantage is that making diff between layers; redundant actions are in all layer. Gladadvantage is that making diff between layers is hard, merits changes may be needed. more code, public class Studenth/VCDemo, public static void main(String[] args) {
Student model = retriveStudent/Fountainer.
Student word = retriveStudent/Fountainer.
Darabase(); Student/view(); Private static Student model = retriveStudent/Fountainer.
Darabase() Student student = new Students/Fountainer.
Darabase() Student student = new Students/Fountainer.
Darabase() Student student = new Students student; by controller.update/view(); private static student setName("abolt word or collection in the vortex at a time, if you implement a collection; must have iterator. has functional independence and information hiding (don't have to know found on the state static student setName can be singletent or controller.update/view(); pattern, having independence and single time that instance and single time, that instance can be singletent if you need and information in dependence and single time, that modelles (e.g. logger). never use singleten if you need and single time, that instance and single time, that instance and single time, that instance and singletent, have all the factories share and information in dependent factories is bad, more classes = more complexity so the solution is student static Bufferd/Writer or private static Bufferd/Writer in private static Bufferd/Writer in private static Bufferd/Writer in the paper static Bufferd/Writer in the paper in the static Bufferd/Writer in the static Bufferd/Writer in the stati

USABILITY Why use real

PORTABILITY: software portability is the usability of software across multiple a systems, interfaces, architectures platforms, etc. most of the market is sandroid. Nost of the time spent consuming media is now on mobile, gaming on mobile has now increased beyond dedicated systems. WHY DO WED NEED PORTABILITY? hardware and software come and go "things change, people change, hairstyles change, interface that a staget system. WHY DO WE STILL Got a target system may outlive the target system, even the basic paradigms of how you write and use software changes overtime. WHY DO WE STILL USE OLD SOFTWARE? new software for a target system. WHY DO WE STILL USE OLD SOFTWARE? new software changes overtime, with DO WE STILL IS significantly more expensive to produce, chapter to port existing software. COBOL: inertia is a strong force, the cost of rewriting cobol is too probability and risk-intensive, demand for cobol programs to interface with logacy systems. we have a lot of new platforms, people use different devices and each has its own architecture. Windows can't run linux be executable architecture of windows is different from linux. Linux uses ELF (executable and linkable format), Windows uses PE coding or web-based apps. both lim-tied c usage but android has limited c api. have different styles, iphone doesn't have native back, android does. (portable executable). Porting from iphone -> android (iphone uses obj c, android java). porting reqs full re-

WAYS TO PORT SOFTWARE indeference, prosts application optimized for each platform. cons: significantly more effort, new feats need to be implemented twice, diff bugs may emerge on compile on diff systems, take sit and to compile on diff systems, take sit and build on each systems, take sit can build on each systems, rack code once build twice, coms: regs access to both systems, system specific probalems may arise. Common solution: core functionality in C++, interface modules are tonality in C++, interface modules are programmed separately for each interface. 3-Tier/Layered: Presentation tierface. 3-Tier/Layered: Presentation tierface. 3-Tier/Layered: Presentation tierface. 3-Tier/Layered: promple on one host system for all other pile on one host system for all other systems designing apps for android on windows). easier when dealing w/large compilers, bugs on tgt systems have to be fixed. costly/time-consuming debugging, more than making software work. cultural and non functional differences. Windows v linux: linux likes having cmd lin whereas windows pref gui.

Compliant with use real world examples? b/c bumans compare a computer interface to real world interface. Software quality 108 9126: INTERNAL: analyzability, changeability, stability, tearbility, efficiency, usability, portability, efficiency, usability, portability, efficiency, wability portability. Physics 105-Radiation therapy machine involved w/6 accidents b/r 1985-87. moved some asfety features from hardware to software, some software from therac-20 was used and assumed to be correct, safety analysis, assume software would allow for possibility of residual errors. Hamilton, Ontario, Jul 1985. Machine stopped in doses, common occurrence, technician pressed "p" to proceed, re-delivers" dose, happens 4 more times, patient gets 5x more dose, died 4 muths later. Tyleir xs 86, delivered 1 x dose of radiation. also typer tx, mathuration 54 death. WHAT MAKES SOFTWARE USABLE? 5 Es: Effective-can accomplish as task, Efficient, can accomplish rask quickly w/minimal user effort, engaging, user wants to learn the interface, easy to learn- initially or over time. contain—and to Tecope Inom user has equal experience. DESIGNING FOR USABILITY: USER CENTERED DESIGN: Persons who are the users/ what do they know, what is their motivation. SCENARIO: what do they want to dof' what are the doing/think-ing' what are their expectations of the system? INFORMATION USUALIZA-TIONS: part of dev of user interfaces w/how info is represented. comp sci + cog psyc. HUMAN PROCESSOR MODEL perception pipeline. senses -> movement response. Perceptual, cognitive, and motor subsystems. INFO VIZ. METAPHORS. metaphor = socially agreed upon construct that relate to importance and significance. In info viz., metaphors are used to indicate which data is more important and attract users eyes to appropriate place. EVALUATING USABILITY: eral guidelines best practices, ES: observe ppl ader normal circumstances. METRICS: measure quantitative aspects such as time to complete task, error rate, memorability. NIELSEN USABILITY HEURISTICS: 1. Match b/w system and real world MODEL perception pipeline. senses => perceptual subsystem -> visu-al/auditory image storage -> working memory <-> long term memory -> cognitive processor OR motor processor system under nor i. METRICS: general STUDIES: or widely accepted USABILITY STUDIE HEURISTICS: using the

exmaple. MODESTINESS: IDESTINGS: inputs that are synatcically valid but semantically relateror handling. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS: Look for inputs on the boudaries b/w two equivalence classes. WHITE BOX TESTING: statement coverage: harve at least one test covering every statement, condition coverage: have ment, condition coverage: have every bolean eval to both tru and false bolean eval to both tru and false you eval to t/f? for every look, do u eval to t/f? for every look, do u eval to cover every node and every infinit condits? Code is a graph, you want to cover every node and every edge w/one test. WILLOW TREE. ASSESSMENT: error rate - how often mistake, cognitive load - how much does user keep in their mind during a task. memorability - how much does the user remember What makes usability important -> therac-25, what makes it usable? 5Es, how do you make usable software? user-centered design. Info vis? metaphors: Evaluating usability: Heuristics, studies, usting usability: Heuristics, studies, usering usability: Heuristics, studies, we implement our systems in? consider hwl. userInterface - uses -> processor - uses -> TweetFile Reader Implements TweetFile Reader Implements TweetFile Reader Everything uses Tweet, User Interface has a processor. processor interface has a processor. Processor interface has a processor tweether tweetrader is extended by tweetFile Reader. Everything uses Tweet. User Interface has a processor tweetrader is extended by tweetFile Reader. Everything uses Tweet. TION: integrate all components as they are completed. BOTTOM UP INTEGRATION: implement and test modules without dependencies, then implement things that only depend on implement things. TOP DOWN INTEGRATION: Implement and test modules on which nothing depends. Then implement and test modules on which nothing depends on which nothing unimplemented depends. BIG BANG -> basically ad hoc. can lead to "integration hell", code may not even compile to test. If the output is incorrect, which system or tier is responsible? BOTTOM UP: Write TweetFileReader first. Advantages: do development and integration together, clearer indication of responsibility errors. Disadvantages: modules are easy to implement/test. If modules are easy to implement/test. If modules are easy to implement User-Interface. User-Interface. User-Interface. User-Interface. User-Interface. User-Interface. dependencies. Advantages: tested product is consistent because testing is performed basically in the end environment, stubs are quick and easy to write. STUB EXAMPLE: String state = getState(); List<Tweet> result = processor.getTweetsOrState(state); for (Tweet tweet : result) { system.out.printhn(tweet) } . We don't have to implement Processor.getTweetsForState(state); before testing the UI. What that would look like: List<Tweet> getTweets for State(state); tweets = new ArrayList<Tweet> (transpired for the process of the proc tweets add(new Tweetc.,); ... add
dummy data; return tweets; }. THE
GOAL OF A STUB: stimulate just
enough functionality so that other
modules can be tested. Write stubs
when stubs are simpler than underlying
processes. POJOS: Plain Old Java Objects; Technically a subset of javabeans
with fewer results. Generally a collection of data + getters/ setters. Doesn't
do things, just stores things. There are
easier to implemented than write stubs
for so we just implement them. 2. consistency and standards 3. Help and decoumentation 4. user control and freedom. 5. Visibility of system status. 6. Flexibility and efficiency of use. 7. Error prevention 8. Recognition rather than recall. 9. Recognize/diagnose errors 10. aesthetic and minimalist design. (Sability studies: Focus errors 10. aesthetic and minimalist design. Usability studies: Focus groups (few ppl), surveys (many), Observation (few doing in controlled setting), ethanography (many doing field obs in nat setting). USER METRICS: HUMAN RELIABILITY extended by tweetFileReader. WHAT BROAD STRATEGIES ON WE IMPLEMENT? BIG BANG INTEGRA-TION: integrate all comments. we've implemented user interface using "Processor" that is all stubs. No we implement Processor, suing stubs for its dependencies (Tweet Reader). HOW SHOULD WE CREATE DEfor so we just implement them . TOP DOWN INTEGRATION CONTINUED:

Product design: look and feel branding usability. Architecture: How it should be implemented, class org, coding style, api access, inheritance vs composition. Analytics: go thru each screen, find dara. Dev. break dewar features, est tickets, sprint planning. QA. qual assurance, make sure the app works, dev test scenarios. Launch & Live support. Commenting: gets out of date, hard to maintain, diff writing styles. Imp. complex code, somtimes hack necessary, magin nums, self doce code: variable names, method names, code: Wertante manies, metabod names, code we wartante manies, code is doing, up to date. SOURCE CONTROL: Git af. Continuous integration: centralized certs, consist. build env. improve workflow, async build process, id testing errors for review. CodeReview be open, learning, never be afraid to share. TESTING: cutilities, code later, forsee problems, outline diff scenarios. help rethink impl. unit tests: any logic in the app. API calls, Moded View Viewmodel, UI Tests, Reactor. Smoke tests. Overcommunicate. READABILITY: you'll read your code far more times than you write the you won't remmeber when you were thinking when you wrote the code, other will have to read your code, other will have to read your code. Tests and and differentate tokens and syntactic meaning. Whitespace usage, spacing and indentation, identifier length, use of dictionary words, variation by widentifiers. UNBRSTABILITY: syntactic meaning: whitespace usage, spacing and indentation, identifier length, use code into the readable come to be readable. Comments, adherence to coding conventions? meaningful identifiers man, unaderstandable. but readable coments, adherence to coding conventions? meaningful identifiers mush unaderstandable. In dentifiers: # and paths thur code (too many = bad for understandablity) # of identifiers: # of leatifiers we had be as small as small as PENDENCIES? Option #1: hardcode them: In UserInterface. TweetRadaer it = new TweetFileRader(); Option # 2: let client create them. Processor processor processor = new Processor (new TweetFileRader()); Option # 3: factory method pattern: Processor process = new TweetFileRader(); Option # 4: Singleton Pattern. TweetRender tr = Singleton Pattern. TweetRender tr = Singleton Pattern. TweetRender tr = Singleton Pattern it consume it gone to cost whatsoever, Free kitten-responsibility that must be tended to and maintained, whatsoever, Free kitten-responsibility that must be tended to and maintained, whatsoever, Free kitten-responsibility that must be tended to and maintained, of may exercise, but aren't required to. Incenses: MIT-do whatever u want as long as u credit original creator, BSD 3-clause-similar to MIT but u can't add original creator's men as endorsement of final product, Apache 2.0-similar to MIT but patterts must be licensed to any user of code, GPL-most popular, must redistribute as GPL. SOFTWARE TESTINGS. Bridge resting, less obvious when software falls. Intention plays a big role in whether or or redictive in the correction plays a big role in whether or or software is correct correctness is domain specific. DOF INTRA INDIANCE STRUME to behaves correctly. Testing is useful for discovering defects before delivery. Testing typically involves executing a program artificially. If you want to test a bridge you fail. However, software can be hard to test because. I) Demonstrate to the developer and customer that the software meets requirement, for generic software one test for each system feature included in release. This is valled validation testing. Two goals of software testing, detects inputs or seq of input to create errors (defect testing). Validation: did we do it right? Defect: how broken is it? Testing: can only show presence of errors, not their absense. Exhaustive Testing: attempt a test wevery possible input. Radom Testing: select random inputs. Black-box testing: select inputs based on specific space. CONTROLLABILITY: easy to put into a state that you want to test. OBSERWABILITY: easy to observe external behavior similarly. Sume similar inputs behavior similarly. Sume similar inputs behavior similarly. not software is correct. correctness is domain specific. How did you know you program worked? How did you know the tournament you generated was the best cone? You'll never know if your code is correct. Event trivial software can have theoretically infinite input, cannot test all input. Testing CANNOT prove code is correct. SOFTWARE TESTING: Executing a piece of software with intention of finding defects/faults/bugs. SOFTWARE TESTING: SOFTWARE TESTING:

TEST DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT AND DEFENDSIVE PROGRAMMING: Test driven development—write the black box tests first, before writing code, inselement just enough code to make the tests pass. If your method still requires new features, write more tests that fail and then repeat.DEFENSIVE PROGRAMMING: writing your code in such a way that it cannot be used in correctly. Strategies: Don't do anything unique: bad in ~> error. Halt. Do, tify caller: bad in ~> error. Halt. De they aren't meaningful, not possible, and easy to ignore bad out. Notify caller: bad in ~> stop. Error codes are bad bet they aren't meaningful, not possible, and easy to ignore backet in the caller to handle the error by throwing exceptions. These are preconditions, things that we assume to be true at the start of the method. Difficulty in just having a "carch all!" Exception and doing nothing, Assert will should never happen). JWMs disable assertion error and may appear in deventhed. What to do' Option 1: ignore and let the caller deal with it. Option 2: roll back and notify (undo back be; if the exception) Roll back by if the exception; handled the state must be assumed not to have changed. Option 3: Halt (as-sert False). FINISHING UP TEST-ING + EFFICIENCY: bug reports: ID and describe an encourtered defect, pro-vide means by which the bug can be reproduced, identify expected behav-

> at -> design -> architectural dev & QA -> launch. Prod X Strate (what to build), id Strate (what to build), id obj user centric approach

prep -> der strat: UX 3 business

nas, surveys, Architecture, client landscape.

(user interviews, perso ethnographic research). What can be built? cli

the trace sometimes. Trips statements are bad and assumes you have somewhere to print. Debuggers: get familiar with them (variable watches, breakpoints, step into va step over va step return vs reusme). Advatages: see all the variables, not just you print, you can watch the state of the program change after each step. you don't have to make any code chagues. Debug model imitations: significant cost, where to breakpoint isn't easy, no backtracking debug can tell you that a variable is null but not why. BEFICIENOY: Rule I: USE THE RIGHT DATA STRUC-IUME AND ALGORITHMA arraylist vs lincellist you and hashing saves as linkedlist not equal. hashing saves a ton of time. Know Linkedlist, arraylist (always better), vector(arraylist with synchronization). Sets: TreeSet is a balanced BST, HashSet uses hashing. HashMap also. Need duplicates -> lists. Order? Lists or treesets. Any other time: sets. LAZINESS dont do it until you actually have to. SHORT CIRCUITING: Use power of short circuitior, severity of the bug, workarounds. Format: title, actions performed, expected vs actual results, environ. Stack trace gives u a snapshot of the program when it creashed associated with ing to your advantge, execute in order of complexity. MEMOIZATION: Trade off o/w space & time complexity. LazyIni-

threads has a time value the protessing time and n the input. Starting threads has a time value that could make parallelizing beyond a point ineffectiont. CREATING THREADS Threads can be created through implementing runnable, which loosely couples with your choice of concurrency or through extending thread, which forces concurrency. Callable adds the ability to return results and also throw checked exceptions. Run exceutes a thread. JWA and calls the run method. Join waits for a thread some methods that block such as Object-wait() may consume the interrupted status immediately and throw an appropriate exception (usually Interrupted status immediately and throw an appropriate exception stops a thread and is deprecated. EXECUTORS Exceutors abstract the low-level details of how to manage threads. They deal with issues such as creating the thread of threads controlling the number of threads controlling and graceful / less that graceful shutdown. SYNCHRONIZATION The PARALLEL Embarrasingly Parallel:
Something that is incredibly easy to
make parallel. Example from class:
applying an image filter line by line.
"COST" OF THERADS: defined as
CP(n) = p * TP(n), where p is the
number of processors, T the processsynchronized keyword is all about different threads reading and writing to the same variables, objects and resources. CRITICAL SECTION are things that need to be synchronized. However, global things should also not be synchronized strings should also not be synchronized since all strings with the same value will be synchronized due to how Java is built. However, THREAD HANDLING is best done due to how Java is built. However, THREAD HANDLING is best done by synchronizing classes that handle variables that multiple threads may be attempting to access. This is done through the synchronized keyword. and graceful , shutdown. SYN

possible.