A Carnot engine receives 250 kJ s-1 of heat from a heat source reservoir at 525 deg C and rejects heat to a heat-sink reservoir at 50 deg C. What are the power developed and the heat rejected?

Solution

Use equation 5.7 to compute efficiency, and then use 5.6 to get the work, based on the heat received.

$$\begin{split} &\inf\{\cdot\}: & \quad \eta = 1 - \frac{50 + 273.15}{525 + 273.15} \\ &\text{Out}\{\cdot\}: \\ & \quad 0.595126229406 \\ \\ &\inf\{\cdot\}: & \quad QH = 250 * \frac{kJ}{sec} \text{; (*heat in is positive*)} \\ & \quad W = -\eta * \text{QH (*work out is negative*)} \\ & \quad - \frac{148.781557351 \text{ kJ}}{sec} \\ & \quad - \frac{148.781557351 \text{ kJ}}{sec} \\ & \quad (*Power developed is -148.782 \text{ kJ/sec} = -148.782 \text{ kW. //ANS*)} \\ & \quad Use eq 2.3 \text{ (first law) with } \Delta U=0 \text{ to compute heat ejected, that is } Qc+QH+W=0: \\ & \quad \ln[\cdot]: & \quad QC = -QH - W \\ & \quad Out[\cdot]: & \quad - \frac{101.218442649 \text{ kJ}}{sec} \\ & \quad \ln[\cdot]: & \quad Solve[QC + 250 + (-148.782) = 0, QC] \\ & \quad Out[\cdot]: & \quad \{QC \to -101.218\} \} \end{split}$$

(*Heat rejected is -101.218 kJ/sec = -101.218 kW. //ANS*)

Which is the more effective way to increase the thermal efficiency of a Carnot engine: to increase T_H with T_C constant, or to decrease T_C with T_H constant? For a real engine, which would be the more practical way?

Solution

We have to start by interpreting what is meant by "more effective." Since a derivative is a rate of change, it is a natural choice in this context for assessing effectiveness. For example, since η is a function of both Tc and Th, we can take the derivatives of η with respect to Th and Tc, while holding the other constant, and campare them to look for a greater than or less than relationship. In other words, writie the definition of the efficiency, then take $\left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial T_{\mu}}\right)_{T_c}$ and $\left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial T_{\nu}}\right)_{T_{\mu}}$ and then compare the results.

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{\mathsf{Tc}}{\mathsf{Th}};$$

 $\partial_{\mathsf{Th}} \eta$

 $\frac{\text{Tc}}{\text{Th}^2}$

 $\partial_{\mathsf{TC}} \eta$

_ <u>1</u> _ Th

Note that the partial derivative of η with respect to T_C is equal to $\frac{-1}{T_H}$ and the partial derivative of η with respect to T_H is equal to $\frac{T_C}{T_H}$. We see that the latter includes the former. That is,

$$\left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial T_H}\right)_{T_C} = \frac{T_C}{T_H^2} = \frac{1}{T_H} \left(\frac{T_C}{T_H}\right) = \left[\left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial T_C}\right)_{T_H} \left[\left(\frac{T_C}{T_H}\right) = \right] - \frac{1}{T_H} \left[\left(\frac{T_C}{T_H}\right) \right] \right]$$

Since by definition $T_C < T_H$, then $\frac{T_C}{T_U} < 1$. Therefore, in terms of absolute magnitudes,

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial T_C} \right)_{T_H} \right| > \left| \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial T_H} \right)_{T_C} \right|.$$

This means that lowering T_C will always be more effective at increasing η than raising T_H .

Interpreting the negative sign: Notice that $\left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial T_c}\right)_{T_H}$ is negative. This means is that lowering the Tc increases η , which is completely consistent with the problem statement (decrease T_C with T_H constant).

For real engines, it is more difficult to lower the temperature of the cold reservoir than it is to raise the temperature of the hot, because the cold reservoir is normally closely connected to the ambient conditions, which will not change significantly unless we change the geographic location to Antarctica or outer space, which will not help much for more local operations.

With respect to 1 kg of liquid water:

- (a) Initially at 0 deg C, it is heated to 100 deg C by contact with a heat reservoir at 100 deg C. What is the entropy change of the water? What is the entropy change of the heat reservoir? What is ΔS_{total} ?
- (b) Initially at 0 deg C, it is first heated to 50 deg C by contact with a heat reservoir at 50 deg C, and then heated to 100 deg C by contact with a heat reservoir at 100 deg C. What is ΔS_{total} ?
- (c) Explain how the water might be heated from 0 deg C to 100 deg C so that $\Delta S_{total} = 0$.

Solution to Part (a)

```
In[11]:= m = 1; (*kg*)
         Cp = 4.184; (*kJ/kg*degC*)
         T2 = 100 + 273.15; (*K*)
         T1 = 0 + 273.15; (*K*)
         \Delta T = T2 - T1; (*degC*)
         Qhot = m * Cp * \Delta T; (*kJ*)
         \Delta \mathsf{Shot} = \frac{-\mathsf{Qhot}}{\mathsf{T2}} \; (*\mathsf{kJ/K*})
         \Delta Swater = m * \int_{T1}^{T2} \frac{Cp}{T} dT (*kJ/K*)
         \triangleStotal = \triangleShot + \triangleSwater (*kJ/K*)
Out[17]=
         -1.12126490687
Out[18]=
         1.30523808247
Out[19]=
         0.183973175596
         (*The \triangle S of the hot reservoir is -1.12123 kJ/K//ANS*)
         (*The \Delta S of the cold reservoir is 1.30524 kJ/K//ANS*)
         (*The total entropy change is is 0.18397 kJ/K//ANS*)
```

Solution to Part (b)

```
ln[20]:= m = 1; (*kg*)
        Cp = 4.184; (*kJ/kg*degC*)
        T2 = 100 + 273.15; (*K*)
        Tb = 50 + 273.15; (*K*)
        T1 = 0 + 273.15; (*K*)
        (*First Step*)
        \Delta T1b = Tb - T1; (*degC*)
        Qhot1b = m * Cp * \Delta T1b; (*kJ*)
        \triangle Shot1b = \frac{-Qhot1b}{Tb}
        \triangleSwater1b = m \int_{T1}^{Tb} \frac{Cp}{T} dT
        (*Second Step*)
        \Delta Tb2 = T2 - Tb; (*degC*)
        Qhotb2 = m * Cp * \Delta Tb2; (*kJ*)
        \Delta Shotb2 = \frac{-Qhotb2}{T2} (*kJ/K*)
        \Delta Swaterb2 = m \int_{Th}^{T2} \frac{Cp}{T} dT (*kJ/K*)
        (*Total, kJ/K //ANS*)
        ΔStotal = ΔShot1b + ΔSwater1b + ΔShotb2 + ΔSwaterb2
Out[27]=
        -0.647377378926
Out[28]=
        0.703311642572
Out[31]=
        -0.560632453437
Out[32]=
        0.601926439898
Out[33]=
        0.0972282501067
        (*\Delta S of the hot reservoir in step 1 is -.64738 kJ/K//ANS*)
        (*\Delta S of the cold reservoir in step 1 is .70331 kJ/K//ANS*)
        (*\Delta S of the hot reservoir in step 2 is -.56063 kJ/K//ANS*)
        (*\Delta S \text{ of the cold reservoir in step 2 is .60192 kJ/K//ANS*})
        (*The total entropy change is is 0.097228 kJ/K//ANS*)
```

Solution to Part (c)

The reversible heating of the water requires an infinite number of heat reservoirs covering the range of temperatures from 273.15 to 373.15 K, each one exchanging an infinitesimal quantity of heat with the water and raising its temperature by a differential increment.

An ideal gas, Cp = (7/2)R, is heated in a steady-flow heat exchanger from 70 deg C to 190 deg C by another stream of the same gas, which enters at 320 deg C. The flow rates of the two streams are the same, and heat losses from the exchanger are negligible.

- (a) Calculate the molar entropy changes of the two gas streams for both parallel and countercurrent flow in the exchanger.
- (b) What is ΔS_{total} in each case?
- (c) Repeat parts (a) and (b) for countercurrent flow if the heating stream enters at 200 deg C.

Solution

This problem is answered using Equation 5.14, with constant Cp and assuming constant pressure. Note that the outlet temperature of the hot gas in both cases is equal to 200 deg C. This is because the gas and flow rates are identical in the hot and cold streams.

```
(* mCp\Delta Tc = -mCp\Delta Th *)
         (\star \Delta Tc = -\Delta Th \star)
         (* 190-70==-(Thout-320) *)
        Solve[(190 - 70) = -(Thout - 320)]
Out[ • ]=
         \{\,\{\,Thout\,\rightarrow\,200\,\}\,\}
 In[*]:= (*Gas constant and heat capacity*)
         R = 8.314; (*J/mol*K*)
         Cp = (7/2) R;
 Solution, Part (a)
         (*Cold-side temperatures, K*)
         190 + 273.15
         70 + 273.15
Out[ • ]=
         463.15
Out[ • ]=
         343.15
         \triangle Scold = Cp * Log \left[ \frac{(463.15)}{(343.15)} \right] (*//ANS, \frac{J}{mol*K} *)
Out[ • ]=
         8.72630433134
         (*Hot-side temperatures, K*)
         (200 + 273.15)
         (320 + 273.15)
Out[ • ]=
         473.15
Out[ • ]=
         593.15
```

 \triangle Shot = Cp * Log $\left[\frac{(353.15)}{(473.15)}\right] (*//ANS, \frac{J}{mol*K}*)$

Out[•]=

-8.51202688302

 \triangle Scold + \triangle Shot (*//ANS, $\frac{1}{mol*K}$ *)

Out[•]=

0.214277448322