Instructor Observed:	Observing Faculty:	
LTC BELANGER	LTC COWART	
Time:	Course/Subject:	
0950 (CIDI HOUR)	CHIOI - LEWIS STRUCTURES (LONIA)	
Date:	Number of Cadets:	
ZI SEP ZOZZ	17 PRESENT	
Students Were:	,	
✓ Working independently at their desks		
□ Working in small, cooperative groups		
☐ Making a presentation		
☐ Listening to a lecture		
☐ Viewing a film		
Taking a test (Pop asiz) (15 MIN)		
Other: BOARD WORK		
Instructor was:		
✓ Lecturing		
✓ Facilitating a question-and-answer sequer	nce Questine from previous lessons.	
Demonstrating a concept (STEPS TO C	anstruct LEWIS STRUCTURES)	
✓ Introducing a new concept	·	
□ Reviewing for a test		
V Other: Pop QUIZ (15 MIN)		
Assessment:		
Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 -> Nica job an		
Presentation Style (U-3): 3 -> x/iac use of	multiple boards, had mount and ro	
Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3	t ryput of cults.	
Comments:		
gland to show up to a	215 : Hey gan ely sur to be	
glad to show of to c	ξε ε Σ,	
· Nice more to enha class or	itu'	
hood overview of calcular a	,	
- Excellent intro about ANFO explosion.		
- Nive job sharing of quoties	7	
- Very soor pop quiz -> works soo	PERMI PROMOS ZOSSON OBJECTIVES	
- 1 CDT complete @ 10 min	~ 5 mo RT COMPLETE 85FORE 15 MIN.	
- I like tu 7/5 possibility.	-	
Received by:	Date:	

Addition Questions and Prompts for Discussion:
Did the instructor state the learning objectives?
Did the instructor provide context (show a link between the students' past experiences and
the current objectives)? ANFO 50
☐ What activities were used to present information or teach skills? Examples includ ecturing
modeling demos, etc.
□ What learning modes were used by the cadets during this lesson? Evamples include reading
listening asking questions, solving problems, etc. Did the activities cover a range of learning modes?
Did the activities cover a range of learning modes?
Did the instructor assess learning during the lesson, either formally or informally?
☐ If so, did the instructor adjust teaching style as a result?
Did the instructor use any guided practice activities to practice the new skills or apply the new concepts?
Were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cadets to practice the skills or apply
the new concepts from the lesson on their own? ONLINE / DEFINITIONS
✓ Were the cadets paying attention? If not, what methods were employed to ensure cadets
pay attention and apply effort? (Hz bellos)
☐ Were the cadets well-behaved? If not, how did the instructor respond?
Some MINOR DISPUTTIONS.
Notes The control of
Note: The questions in this section are meant to be discussion prompts and not requirements or to form the basis of a cut scale.
* COT LEFT ROOM IMMEDIATELY STER THE REPORT? (BAL INTO HALL)
- CDT Beurng. (one d'emphi culet.) BACK ROW, MIDDLE DESK.
- SECTION MARCHER DOINE THEIR 208
- 40 mm for what of class to docuse in of his besons 20's.
× ·
the state of the s

Instructor Observed: Dr. Andrew Biaglow	Observing Faculty: Dr. Simuck F. Yuk	
Time: 08:45:00 to 09:40:00 at 09/23/22	Course/Subject: CH365 Chemical Engineering Thermodynamics	
Date: 09/23/22	Number of Cadets: 8	
Students Were:		
Working independently at their desks		
 Working in small, cooperative groups 		
☐ Making a presentation		
☐ Listening to a lecture		
□ Viewing a film		
☐ Taking a test		
□ Other:		
Instructor was:		
☐ Lecturing		
☐ Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence		
□ Demonstrating a concept	~	
☐ Introducing a new concept		
☐ Reviewing for a test		
Other:		
- Guien		
Assessment:		
Technical Mastery (0-3): 3		
Presentation Style (0-3): 3		
Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3		
Comments:		
1) Good admin on the class progress.	using af the place	
2) Good engagement with cadets at the begin3) Good emphasis on the Carnot cycle and the	-	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pts of intermolecular force (and kinetic molecular	
theory) and its effects on the real gas.	,	
5) Good summary of the contents covered up	to Lsn 15.	
6) Good use of classroom technologies, includ		
7) Good interaction with cadets, answering conceptual and computational questions.		
8) Cadets are well behaved in the class, engaging actively with the instructor.		
Received by:	Date: 09/23/22	
,		

Additio	on Questions and Prompts for Discussion:
	Did the instructor state the learning objectives?
	Did the instructor provide context (show a link between the students' past experiences and the current objectives)?
	What activities were used to present information or teach skills? Examples include lecturing, modeling, demos, etc.
	What learning modes were used by the cadets during this lesson? Examples include reading, listening, asking questions, solving problems, etc.
	Did the activities cover a range of learning modes?
	Did the instructor assess learning during the lesson, either formally or informally?
	If so, did the instructor adjust teaching style as a result?
	Did the instructor use any guided practice activities to practice the new skills or apply the new concepts?
	Were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cadets to practice the skills or apply the new concepts from the lesson on their own?
	Were the cadets paying attention? If not, what methods were employed to ensure cadets pay attention and apply effort?
	Were the cadets well-behaved? If not, how did the instructor respond?
	The questions in this section are meant to be discussion prompts and not requirements or to ne basis of a cut scale.

Instructor Observed:		Pat Box	wers	Observing Faculty	Dr. Biaglow	
Time:	1035-1150			Course/Subject:	CH102 General Ch	nemistry
Date:	22 September	2022		Number of Cadet	s: ₁₇	
Studen	ts Were:					
	Working indep	endent	ly at their desks			
x	Working in sm	all, coop	perative groups			
	Making a pres	entatior	1			
X	Listening to a	lecture				
X	Viewing a film					
	Taking a test					
	Other:					
Instruc	tor was:					
x	Lecturing					
x	Facilitating a c	uestion	-and-answer sequen	ce		
x	Demonstrating	g a conc	ept			
x	Introducing a	new con	cept			
	Reviewing for	a test				
	☐ Other:					
Comme	ents:					
General Comments: Class was well-organized, and cadets paid attention throughout the 75-minutes. Cadet Preston likes to sit on the desk. I don't like when they do that, and I will correct them. Cadets asked questions and were attentive and respectful, so your classroom management is excellent. Gummy Demo: The Gummy demo actor's name could be "Bearison Ford" instead of Harrison Ford. This demo is interesting. You did not say what the white powder was or what was in the gas bubbles. Also, the gas bubbles were difficult to see. I recommend putting this onto the document camera and projecting it onto the screen, with a really close zoom in on the tube, so everyone can see the action. You can then run the video in slow motion to try to see the details of the reaction. You could also lower the gummy in on a thread to kind of mock the movie. You already set that up with the movie clip. Comments continued on back.						
Receive	ed by:			Date:	3 September 2023	

Ad	ditio	on Questions and Prompts for Discussion:
		Did the instructor state the learning objectives?
		Did the instructor provide context (show a link between the students' past experiences and
		the current objectives)?
		What activities were used to present information or teach skills? Examples include lecturing,
		modeling, demos, etc.
		What learning modes were used by the cadets during this lesson? Examples include reading,
		listening, asking questions, solving problems, etc.
		Did the activities cover a range of learning modes?
		Did the instructor assess learning during the lesson, either formally or informally? If so, did
		the instructor adjust teaching style as a result?
		Did the instructor use any guided practice activities to practice the new skills or apply the new
		concepts?
		Were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cadets to practice the skills or apply
		the new concepts from the lesson on their own?
		Were the cadets paying attention? If not, what methods were employed to ensure cadets
		pay attention and apply effort?
		Were the cadets well-behaved? If not, how did the instructor respond?
		The questions in this section are meant to be discussion prompts and not requirements or to
for	m th	ne basis of a cut scale.
ا. ۸	al:4: a	and Community.
Aa	aitio	onal Comments:
	video mass also perha huma all th	tin is a major component of gummies, and it is disgusting. But disgusting things are sometimes fun to show in class cluse the cadets will remember it. It is made from cartilage, bones, hooves, and skin from slaughtered pigs. There are not of gelatin processing online. The chemical formula of C102H151N31O39, and this is going to give a different molar is than the 330.26 g/mol you quoted. Sucrose is the other component, mainly coming from corn syrup, and the gummy contains starch, water, dextrose, and sorbitol. The reaction itself left a residue and made smoke, all of which point to aps incomplete combustion. The nutritional label also has information. An also the metabolism of the gummy in the an body also leads to the CO2 and H20 in your breath, as well as accumulation of fat and muscle tissue. My point in is is that there is good science happening here is we slow down and look at it. Similar comments on the methanol on. We all heard the bang, but did not explore what you put in the jug or discuss the spark and what that did.

Instructor Observed: MAJ Jeffrey Chin	Observing Faculty: Dr. Simuck F. Yuk
Time: 07:40:00 to 09:40:00 at 09/19/22	Course/Subject: CH101 General Chemistry I
Date: 09/19/22	Number of Cadets: 18
Students Were:	
Working independently at their desks	
☐ Working in small, cooperative groups	
☐ Making a presentation	
Listening to a lecture	
Viewing a film	
☐ Taking a test	
□ Other:	
Instructor was:	
☐ Lecturing	
 Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence 	<mark>ce</mark>
Demonstrating a concept	
Introducing a new concept	
☐ Reviewing for a test	
□ Other:	
Assessment:	
Technical Mastery (0-3): 3	
Presentation Style (0-3): 3	
Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3	
Comments:	
 Good admin on the class progress. Good engagement with cadets at the begin 	uning of the class
3) Good introduction of CH102.	ming of the class.
Good demonstration of combustion reactions	ons by "gummy bear" experiment.
a. All the safety procedures were care	•
	te the cadet's fundamental understandings on
stoichiometry and combustion reaction itse 6) A series of video were used to visually pres	ent the mechanisms and examples of combustion
reaction.	ent the mechanisms and examples of combustion
Received by:	Date: 09/19/22
,	
	1

Additio	on Questions and Prompts for Discussion:
	Did the instructor state the learning chiestives?
	Did the instructor state the learning objectives?
	Did the instructor provide context (show a link between the students' past experiences and the current objectives)?
	What activities were used to present information or teach skills? Examples include lecturing,
	modeling, demos, etc.
	What learning modes were used by the cadets during this lesson? Examples include reading, listening, asking questions, solving problems, etc.
	Did the activities cover a range of learning modes?
	Did the instructor assess learning during the lesson, either formally or informally?
	If so, did the instructor adjust teaching style as a result?
	Did the instructor use any guided practice activities to practice the new skills or apply the new concepts?
	Were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cadets to practice the skills or apply the new concepts from the lesson on their own?
	Were the cadets paying attention? If not, what methods were employed to ensure cadets
	pay attention and apply effort?
	Were the cadets well-behaved? If not, how did the instructor respond?
	The questions in this section are meant to be discussion prompts and not requirements or to
form th	ne basis of a cut scale.

Instructor Observed: Lachance	Observing Faculty: Biaglow
Time: 1035-~1150	Course/Subject: CH363 Separation Processes
Date: 20 September 2022	Number of Cadets: 10
Students Were:	
☐ Working independently at their desks	
☐ Working in small, cooperative groups	
☐ Making a presentation	
★ Listening to a lecture	
□ Viewing a film	
☐ Taking a test	
▼ Other: Reviewing for WPR	
Instructor was:	
Lecturing	
X Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence	ce
□ Demonstrating a concept	
☐ Introducing a new concept	
X Reviewing for a test	
☐ Other:	
Assessment:	
Technical Mastery (0-3): 3	
Presentation Style (0-3): 3	
Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3+	
Comments:	
Comments are attached.	
Received by: LACHANCE.RUSSEL Digitally signed by LACHANCE.RUSSEL L.PHILIP.1012421113 Date: 2022.09.22 13	

Additio	n Questions and Prompts for Discussion:
	Did the instructor state the learning objectives? I can't remember, but I don't think so.
□ X	Did the instructor provide context (show a link between the students' past experiences and the current objectives)? Yes, concepts were related back to chapter 4.
X	What activities were used to present information or teach skills? Examples include lecturing, modeling, demos, etc. Activities include review Q&A and working on problems as a group.
X	What learning modes were used by the cadets during this lesson? Examples include reading, listening, asking questions, solving problems, etc. Listening and participating in discussion.
X	Did the activities cover a range of learning modes? Yes, I think so. Cadets seemed engaged.
	Did the instructor assess learning during the lesson, either formally or informally? No.
	If so, did the instructor adjust teaching style as a result? N/A
	Did the instructor use any guided practice activities to practice the new skills or apply the new concepts? Yes, cadets worked on ternary phase diagram together.
	Were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cadets to practice the skills or apply
	the new concepts from the lesson on their own? Not observed.
X	Were the cadets paying attention? If not, what methods were employed to ensure cadets
	pay attention and apply effort? Lots of wake-up calls and periodic applause for good answers.
X	Were the cadets well-behaved? If not, how did the instructor respond? Very much so. The cadets were highly engaged, all cadets particiapted in class, even when they were unsure of there responses. They seem to really like Dr. Lachance.
Noto: 1	The questions in this section are meant to be discussion prompts and not requirements or to
	e basis of a cut scale.
101111 (11	
	Additional comments follow in the attachment.

Russ,

Thanks for letting me observe your class yesterday. Here are some thoughts on this lesson.

- 1. You have a great relationship with the cadets and a great presence in the classroom and the cadets really seem to like you and want to follow you.
- 2. Give cadets a physical picture of extraction. Your book has an excellent description of mixer-settlers and how to design them. Here is a picture I pulled down from google (search mixer settler):



https://www.ddpsinc.com/blog/mixer-settlers-a-top-choice-for-liquid-liquid-extraction-processes

I think this kind of picture helps cadets understand what they are doing.

- 3. Explain why they are doing this. For example, they are trying to rinse a solute from one stream to another. Maybe they have something ling ethanol in water and want to move the ethanol into a solution with a lower heat of vaporization so it would be cheaper to distill.
- 4. A little more explanation is needed on the concept of approach to equilibrium. A batch extraction process (mixing followed by settling followed by decanting) would require a certain amount of time to reach equilibrium. A flow process is the same except we talk about residence time instead of time.
- 5. A simple demonstration would help. In general chemistry, we used to drop iodine crystals into water and hexane. The purple and brown colors of the hexane and water phases suggest iodine is distributing between the two phases. The equilibrium Iodine(aqueous) clodine(organic) suggests a distribution coefficient if you write an equilibrium expression for this "reaction." That is, mass ratio of products over mass ratio of reactants or mole fraction of products over mole fraction of reactants.
- 6. As you suggested, use the distillation tower demo unit. Abhilash can help you move this.

Andy

Instructor Observed: CPT Lowell		Observing Faculty: Dr. Nagelli
Time: 0740 (A1B1 hours)		Course/Subject: CH101
Date: 1	19SEP22	Number of Cadets: 16 Present
Studer	nts Were:	
	Working independently at their desks	
	Working in small, cooperative groups	
	Making a presentation	
Χ	Listening to a lecture	
	Viewing a film	
	Taking a test	
Χ	Other: Good mix of instructor demonstrate	ed board problems, cadets taking boards in
inc	lividually, and demo to set the stage for wha	t combustion is
Instruc	ctor was:	
Χ	Lecturing	
X	Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence	ce
Χ	Demonstrating a concept	
	Introducing a new concept	
	Reviewing for a test	
	Other:	
Assess	ment:	
Techni	cal Mastery (0-3): 3	
Presen	tation Style (0-3): 3	
Classro	oom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3	
Comm	ents:	
1.		es of uniform check and standards for classroom
2.		se question from mastering and previewing the
2	importance of shape/polarity upcoming in	LSN1/
3.	Mole bridge slide was awesome!	bridge with text box under each bridge
4.		ce for reaction to clearly show the state symbols
5.	The gummy bear demo execution was good	
		ng with every step, to draw from cadets on making
	observations and predictions	
	1. Why KClO3? Why a	
	•	or change? Connect with Electomagnetic spect
	lesson	

3. Whats the smoke made up of?

4. Let them connect with how the human body breaks down sugars

- 6. Recommend when demonstrating Cr(NO3)3 molecular mass calculation on the board, finish with a dimensional analysis to show how amu clearly equates to grams/mole
 - a. Same applies for Mass % of N in compound: in the formula for mass of element/mass of compound cancel moles by dimensional analysis so have units in grams in both numerator and denominator to have a mass percentage
 - b. Great job emphasizing connection with amu and g/mole! And with the emphasis on how to determine significant figures
- 7. For problem 4.85, I like that you assigned different compounds to groups of cadets
 - a. Good job navigating the boards and spotting any corrections to make
 - b. CDT O who briefed his board on Ammonia did not have his answer double underlined
 - c. Good job emphasizing how we track sig figs by using CDT Looney's (not sure his exact name yearling who briefed his board last) as example
- 8. AWESOME plug with how hydrocarbons impact daily life! Daily life = ChemE
- 9. I liked that you used Problem 107 as a instructor demonstrated problem
 - a. Recommend as you set the problem up that the goal of this problem is to determine the amount of gases in moles we are producing as products using the masses that were measured or determined...from these moles we can determine the moles of the reactants we started with
 - b. Recommend emphasizing that "C" and "H" are unique in CO2 and H2O respectively...because of the conservation of mass we can can determine the identity or empirical formula of fuel or hydrocarbon
 - c. Good job drawing from cadets to help you step by step as you set the problem up!
 - d. Recommend when working the problem project your mole bridge slide to help cadets as they navigate
 - e. Love the mole bridge plan you set up for the cadets!
 - f. Great work navigating cadet boards!
 - g. Very good emphasizing the lowest number of moles and divide each by lowest number to obtain empirical formula

Received by:

NAGELLI.ENOCH.A. Digitally signed by NAGELLI.ENOCH.A.1523357600
1523357600
Date: 2022.09.27 09:17:01 -04'00'

Date: 19SEP22

Addition Questions and Prompts for Discussion:			
V	Did the instructor state the learning chiestines?		
X X	Did the instructor state the learning objectives?		
	Did the instructor provide context (show a link between the students' past experiences and rent objectives)?		
X	What activities were used to present information or teach skills? Examples include lecturing,		
	ng, demos, etc. Gummy Bear Demo and connected importance of mole bridge		
_			
	What learning modes were used by the cadets during this lesson? Examples include reading,		
	listening, asking questions, solving problems, etc.		
	Did the activities cover a range of learning modes?		
	Did the instructor assess learning during the lesson, either formally or informally?		
	If so, did the instructor adjust teaching style as a result?		
	Did the instructor use any guided practice activities to practice the new skills or apply the new		
Х	concepts? Were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cadets to practice the skills or apply		
	were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cauets to practice the skins of apply were concepts from the lesson on their own? Individual cadet boards were used to		
	practice/apply lesson objectives		
	Were the cadets paying attention? If not, what methods were employed to ensure cadets pay		
	on and apply effort? Class was engaged with CPT Lowell delivery of material and classroom		
	ce throughout the lesson duration		
present	Were the cadets well-behaved? If not, how did the instructor respond?		
	were the cadets well-behaved? If hot, how did the histractor respond?		
Note:	The questions in this section are meant to be discussion prompts and not requirements or to		
form th	ne basis of a cut scale.		
Good n	nixture of discussion, instructor demonstrated problems, individual board problems for cadets,		
and exp	periment demo to hit on learning objectives for lesson! Please refer to the above notes and		
observ	ations in the comments section with more detail feedback of the lesson.		

Instructor Observed: MAJ Mandes		Observing Faculty: Dr. Nagelli	
Time: A1B1 (0740-0940)		Course/Subject: CH101	
Date: 29SEP22		Number of Cadets: 18 present	
Studer	its Were:		
Χ	Working independently at their desks		
Χ	Working in small, cooperative groups		
	Making a presentation		
Χ	Listening to a lecture		
	Viewing a film		
	Taking a test		
Χ	Other: Cadets took an instructor quiz		
Instruc	Instructor was:		
Χ	Lecturing		
Χ	Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence		
Χ	Demonstrating a concept (as part of the LA	B3 IMFs Demo for cadets to complete lab report in	
groups	24 hours after lab)		
	Introducing a new concept		
	Reviewing for a test		
	Other:		
Assess	ment:		
Techni	cal Mastery (0-3): 3		
	tation Style (0-3): 3		
	oom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3		
Comm	ents:		
1.	Recommend updating CH101 course sched AY23-1.	ule in the visitor's book with day one schedule and	
2.	Good rapport with cadets in the classroom	and engaging cadets as they come in	
3.	SUSTAIN on the homework check for every like the accountability for coming prepared	cadet in class and for collecting problem 5.65 –	
_			

- **4.** During Instructor Quiz, I recommend making cadets clear their desks to avoid any conflicts with the authorized references for the quiz (i.e., some cadets have RDC bound together with their textbook so flipping between pages between RDC and easily can go to book)
 - a. Accepted CLS command is "Clear and Stagger" which you can build into a routine and expectation for any graded event
 - b. Recommend sending out anonymous poll to cadets to see if all are ok with music playing during graded event to ensure it isn't disruptive for any cadets while they complete the graded event (not sure if it will be but just a thought)
 - c. Recommend passing out notebooks back after quiz is complete; perhaps you can distribute as quizzes are retrieved to save time

- d. Great job going over the quiz! Sending cadets to the board is good strategy to emphasize applying the material
 - i. Sustain on emphasis of importance of the expanded octet; recommend explicitly calculating the formal charge of Sulfur as you fill octet for oxygen and minimizing formal charge and explicitly counting the electrons on sulfur (time permitting – I noticed in the class that some of cadets were not sure)
- **5.** SUSTAIN: Having a cadet do an introduction about themselves to the class! Builds good rapport for class!
- **6.** For Demo (IMF Lab which is now in class demo):
 - a. Recommend using eye protection and gloves to demonstrate to cadets the safety precaution of handling chemicals (SDS for each). Specifically, I would check SDS for ethyl acetate to demonstrate the importance of safely handling toxic chemicals.
 - b. Recommend using a funnel to pour solvents into test tubes to demonstrate to cadets.
 - c. It was hard from the back to verify what was occurring in each test tube perhaps you can use the Elmo to project video feed as you mix or add to help cadets make observations or have the class approach instructor bench but maintaining a distance since all do not have eye pro
 - i. Perhaps you can list either on the board or project a slide that has a breakdown of what was added into each Test Tube since the cadets will have to complete a lab report
- **7.** Very good discussion of using Formaldehyde as an example to pull from the cadets on the IMFs present
 - a. Sustain: calling on cadets to discuss the different types of IMFs
- **8.** The discussion on "like dissolves like" can always come back down to strength of IMFs (or degree of IMFs weak to strong) between molecules governing physical phenomena
- **9.** Board problem 11.35
 - a. Liked that cadets are paired up together at boards; there was good discussion amongst groups as they solve the problem
 - b. Recommend that cadets are consistent with board format from group to group
 - c. Liked that you navigated the boards to check in on each group for their work on the board to be correct
 - i. Note: there were cadets waiting at their boards for your confirmation to move on to next problem
 - ii. Can always use "Cease work, chalk down" to ensure cadets stop and give you full attention
 - iii. Recommend when you have a common trend of mistakes or questions from cadet groups to "cease work" and make a global comment addressing
 - Discussion with individual groups the same questions individual groups have could be addressed as general comments and answers to the rest of the class

Class Ends 0856

Received by: MANDES.GALEN.TH Digitally signed by MANDES.GALEN.TH Object 2022.09.29 16:06:44-04'00' Date: 2022.09.20 16:06:44-04'00' Date: 2022.09.29 16:06:44-04'00' Date: 2022.09 16:06:44-04'00' Date: 2022.09 16:06:44-04'00' Date: 2022.09.29 16:06:44-04'00' Date: 2022.09 16:06:44-04'00' Date: 2022.00 16:06:44-04'00' Date: 2022.00 16:06' Date: 2022.00 16:06' Date: 2022.00 1

Addition	n Questions and Prompts for Discussion:			
	Did the instructor state the learning objectives?			
Х	Did the instructor provide context (show a link between the students' past experiences and			
	ent objectives)?			
	What activities were used to present information or teach skills? Examples include lecturing,			
	g, demos, etc. (Used			
	What learning modes were used by the cadets during this lesson? Examples include reading,			
	g, asking questions, solving problems, etc.			
_	Did the activities cover a range of learning modes?			
	Did the instructor assess learning during the lesson, either formally or informally? Yes, Maj			
	Mandes used homework check on all cadets, collected a problem from after class work from			
	previous lesson, instructor quiz on previous lesson content, and board problems.			
	If so, did the instructor adjust teaching style as a result?			
	Did the instructor use any guided practice activities to practice the new skills or apply the new concepts?			
	Were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cadets to practice the skills or apply			
	the new concepts from the lesson on their own?			
	Were the cadets paying attention? If not, what methods were employed to ensure cadets			
	pay attention and apply effort? Yes			
	Were the cadets well-behaved? If not, how did the instructor respond? Yes			
	he questions in this section are meant to be discussion prompts and not requirements or to			
form the	e basis of a cut scale.			
Overell	good command of the electrons and processes in the electrons. The etmocrators for learning			
•	good command of the classroom and presence in the classroom. The atmosphere for learning			
establisi	hed with the cadets was obvious and a can help drive interest in the lesson concent.			

Time: Opso-1150 (C1D1) Course/Subject: CH459 Chemical Engineering Laboratory Date: SOCT 2022 Number of Cadets: 8 Students Were: Working independently at their desks Working in small, cooperative groups Making a presentation Listening to a lecture Viewing a film Taking a test Other: Instructor was: Lecturing Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence Demonstrating a concept Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group work. Individuals were asking questions and getting great instruction and feedback.	Instructor Observed:	Observing Faculty:				
Date: 5 OCT 2022 Students Were: Working independently at their desks Working in small, cooperative groups Making a presentation Listening to a lecture Viewing a film Taking a test Other: Instructor was: Lecturing Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence Demonstrating a concept Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Dr. Enoch Nagelli					
Date: 5 OCT 2022 Students Were: Working independently at their desks Working in small, cooperative groups Making a presentation Listening to a lecture Viewing a film Taking a test Other: Instructor was: Lecturing Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence Demonstrating a concept Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Time:	Course/Subject:				
Students Were: Working independently at their desks Working in small, cooperative groups Making a presentation Listening to a lecture Viewing a film Taking a test Other: Instructor was: Lecturing X Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence Demonstrating a concept Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	0950-1150 (C1D1)	CH459 Chemical Engineering Laboratory				
Students Were: Working independently at their desks Working in small, cooperative groups Making a presentation Listening to a lecture Viewing a film Taking a test Other: Instructor was: Lecturing Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence Demonstrating a concept Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Date:	Number of Cadets:				
□ Working independently at their desks X Working in small, cooperative groups □ Making a presentation □ Listening to a lecture □ Viewing a film □ Taking a test □ Other: Instructor was: □ Lecturing X Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence □ Demonstrating a concept □ Introducing a new concept □ Reviewing for a test □ Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	5 OCT 2022	8				
Making a presentation Listening to a lecture Viewing a film Taking a test Other: Instructor was: Lecturing X Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence Demonstrating a concept Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Students Were:					
Making a presentation Listening to a lecture Viewing a film Taking a test Other: Instructor was: Lecturing X Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence Demonstrating a concept Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	 Working independently at their desks 					
□ Listening to a lecture □ Viewing a film □ Taking a test □ Other: Instructor was: □ Lecturing X Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence □ Demonstrating a concept □ Introducing a new concept □ Reviewing for a test □ Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Working in small, cooperative groups Morking in small group group group Morking in small group group group group group Morking in small group grou					
□ Viewing a film □ Taking a test □ Other: Instructor was: □ Lecturing ☒ Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence □ Demonstrating a concept □ Introducing a new concept □ Reviewing for a test □ Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	☐ Making a presentation					
□ Taking a test □ Other: Instructor was: □ Lecturing Ϫ Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence □ Demonstrating a concept □ Introducing a new concept □ Reviewing for a test □ Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	☐ Listening to a lecture					
Instructor was: Lecturing X Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence Demonstrating a concept Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	☐ Viewing a film					
Instructor was: Lecturing X Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence Demonstrating a concept Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	☐ Taking a test					
□ Lecturing ☒ Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence □ Demonstrating a concept □ Introducing a new concept □ Reviewing for a test □ Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	□ Other:					
□ Lecturing ☒ Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence □ Demonstrating a concept □ Introducing a new concept □ Reviewing for a test □ Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group						
☐ Demonstrating a concept ☐ Introducing a new concept ☐ Reviewing for a test ☐ Other: ☐ Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Instructor was:					
Demonstrating a concept Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	☐ Lecturing					
Introducing a new concept Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Facilitating a question-and-answer sequence	ce				
Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	☐ Demonstrating a concept					
Reviewing for a test Other: Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	☐ Introducing a new concept					
Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	☐ Reviewing for a test					
Assessment: Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group						
Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions covering a range of topics Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group						
Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good handwriting/drawing at whiteboard Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Assessment:					
Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issues Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Technical Mastery (0-3): 3 Answered questions co	overing a range of topics				
Comments: Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Presentation Style (0-3): 3 Clear explanations, good	od handwriting/drawing at whiteboard				
Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process control, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3): 3 No issue:	5				
with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He used a mix of teaching styles that included verbal discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Comments:					
discussions, writing/drawing diagrams and equations on the whiteboard, and going through a CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	Dr. Nagelli answered questions about process con	trol, modelling in CHEMCAD, and mass balances				
CHEMCAD setup on a computer. Cadets were actively engaged in their group projects, and it was clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group	with clarity and obvious technical mastery. He use	d a mix of teaching styles that included verbal				
clear that each cadet was contributing and there were no loafers, which can be an issue with group						
work. Individuals were asking questions and getting great instruction and reedback.						
	work. Individuals were asking questions and getting	work. Individuals were asking questions and getting great instruction and feedback.				
Received by: NAGELLI.ENOCH.A Digitally signed by	I NAGELLI.ENOCH.A Digitally signed by					
.1523357600 NAGELLLEÑOCH.Á.1523357600 Date: 2022.10.14 15:27:53 -04'00'	NAGELLI ENOCH A 1523357600	5 OCT 2022				

Additio	n Questions and Prompts for Discussion:
	Did the instructor state the learning objectives? During previous lessons and posted online
	Did the instructor provide context (show a link between the students' past experiences and
	the current objectives)? Great connection with previous lessons and other courses.
	What activities were used to present information or teach skills? Examples include lecturing,
	modeling, demos, etc. Tailored, one-on-one Q&A sessions using a variety of approaches.
	What learning modes were used by the cadets during this lesson? Examples include reading,
	listening, asking questions, solving problems, etc. Reading, Listening, Problem-Solving.
	Did the activities cover a range of learning modes? Yes, a variety of modalities used.
	Did the instructor assess learning during the lesson, either formally or informally? Informally
	If so, did the instructor adjust teaching style as a result? Feedback tailored to individuals.
	Did the instructor use any guided practice activities to practice the new skills or apply the new
	concepts? Lab report preparation served as a guided practice activity for all cadets.
	Were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cadets to practice the skills or apply
	the new concepts from the lesson on their own? Yes, individual contributions to lab reports.
	Were the cadets paying attention? If not, what methods were employed to ensure cadets
	pay attention and apply effort? Yes, all cadets were actively engaged.
	Were the cadets well-behaved? If not, how did the instructor respond? Yes, no issues.
	The questions in this section are meant to be discussion prompts and not requirements or to be basis of a cut scale.
Connec	ted a cadet's question to a discussion during a previous lesson.
ago in (ob pushing back on a cadet saying that they didn't remember material from almost 3 years CH102. "What about discussing this five lessons ago?" The interaction was polite and e, but also assertive and reminded the cadet that they need to incorporate previous learning e current project.
humidi check o	imend keeping the door between the write-up room and the lab closed unless there are ty/heat issues. This discourages any cadet from quickly "popping in" to the lab for a quick on equipment without wearing personal protective equipment (PPE). With the door open, an be a temptation to do this and then there can be safety violations and needed ions.
Great c	lass! The lab looks good (BUT the south stone wall needs to have the water/erosion issue

Instructor Observed:	Observing Faculty:	
MAS YI	LTC COWART	
Time:	Course/Subject:	
0740	CHIOZ - ACID / BASES (LSX 11)	
Date:	Number of Cadets:	
21 SEP 2022	12	
Students Were:		
Working independently at their desks		
 Working in small, cooperative groups 		
☐ _Making a presentation		
Listening to a lecture		
□ Viewing a film		
☐ Taking a test		
□ Other:		
Instructor was:		
✓ Lecturing		
Facilitating a question-and-answer sequen	nce .	
Demonstrating a concept (which come		
✓ Introducing a new concept	1	
Other: -> Previous for a next lab.		
2 Other Therman for the		
Assessment:	;	
Technical Mastery (0-3):		
Presentation Style (0-3):		
Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3):		
Comments:		
	how could at stat of class	
- Good discussion of lab question - Good ownell description of the	100 1 100 to 10 100 to	
- how description of the	cellen as injuries that you	
alst pay have already here	11 /001/ - 11/10/ / / /	
- boad discussion of why we a	si p4 / pv4 as values to talk about	
acids/busis.		
- hand job making the look at	the Roc.	
- COMMAND: TAKE BUARDS"		
- Overly great job.		
10-		
Received by:	Date:	
necessed by.		

Addition Questions and Prompts for Discussion:
Addition Questions and Frompts for Discussion.
Did the instructor state the learning objectives? (showed on slive)
Did the instructor provide context (show a link between the students' past experiences and
the current objectives)?
What activities were used to present information or teach skills? Examples include lecturing, modeling, demos etc.
☐ What learning modes were used by the cadets during this lesson? Examples include reading,
listening asking questions, solving problems, etc. AT BOARDS
Did the activities cover a range of learning modes?
☐ Did the instructor assess learning during the lesson, either formally or informally?
☐ If so, did the instructor adjust teaching style as a result?
Did the instructor use any guided practice activities to practice the new skills or apply the new concepts?
Were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cadets to practice the skills or apply
the new concepts from the lesson on their own?
☐ Were the cadets paying attention? If not, what methods were employed to ensure cadets
pay attention and apply effort?
□ Were the cadets well-behaved? If not, how did the instructor respond? YES.
Note: The questions in this section are meant to be discussion prompts and not requirements or to form the basis of a cut scale.
- howed previous of the yearing lab and what energhts
him fix beson egoply.
gettig to ten leving objections.
- Orite a 6.4 of cardets leaving classroom - 7 get the engaged.
Ly consider asking sprific collets quarties dung the discussion -> keeps the anake.
- hard application of pH to blood I what happens when pH is not correct> good prostried applications.
- DEMO? - TIED TO WHICH LO!

LOS: Explain autoionization of H2D

Calculate pH pOH KW [H30+] EOH-] Mostly calculations-based.

Delimine pH [H30+] Ka pOH [OH-] Kb

Instructor Observed:	Observing Faculty:				
DR. YUK	LTC COWART				
Time:	Course/Subject:	RECOMBINANT DIVA			
0740 -0855	CH350 (25x1 11)	PROTEIN ENDES!			
Date:	Number of Cadets:				
22 SEP 2022	10				
Students Were:					
✓ Working independently at their desks					
☐ Working in small, cooperative groups					
☐ Making a presentation					
✓ Listening to a lecture					
□ Viewing a film					
Taking a test - Instrutor guiz					
Other:					
- Other.					
Instructor was:					
Lecturing					
 Facilitating a question-and-answer sequel 	nce				
☐ Demonstrating a concept	nice .				
✓ Introducing a new concept					
□ Reviewing for a test					
□ Other:					
Accessment					
Assessment:		* * *			
Technical Mastery (0-3): Frankling	este ant				
Presentation Style (0-3): Easy to follow of					
Classroom Decorum and Control (0-3):	all call whating				
Comments:					
- hand owning of the less	or objection, area	t gurti			
about mutchin in the Dr	VA signed.				
- how 2 goir. hood neview of	the gove solutions.				
•		1 411.			
- had analyers of ENA mutat	ion -> value but	45 CH101			
neteral WAT EM radiation	•				
- xiae pirtues al diagnes of	hat assist in under	rholis			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Received by:	Date:				
necested by.					

Addition Questions and Prompts for Discussion:	
Did the instructor state the learning objectives?	
Did the instructor provide context (show a link between the students' past experiences and the current objectives)?	etve for
	CHIDI
What activities were used to present information or teach skills? Examples include lecturing, modeling, demos, etc.	,
☐ What learning modes were used by the cadets during this lesson? Examples include reading,	
listening, asking questions, solving problems, etc. Did the activities cover a range of learning modes?	
Did the instructor assess learning during the lesson, either formally or informally?	
☐ If so, did the instructor adjust teaching style as a result? ————————————————————————————————————	
☐ Did the instructor use any guided practice activities to practice the new skills or apply the new concepts?	
☐ Were there any assignments for this lesson that allow the cadets to practice the skills or apply	
the new concepts from the lesson on their own?	
☐ Were the cadets paying attention? If not, what methods were employed to ensure cadets	
pay attention and apply effort? a few callets were on flew complete	£ ,
Were the cadets well-behaved? If not, how did the instructor respond?	~
- PLAYING WOLL	
DURING QUIT	
Note: The questions in this section are meant to be discussion prompts and not requirements or to	
form the basis of a cut scale.	
- Discussion grante - 14% of course points? (Points drakdown)	
- Sedon u/ cottere in class:	
- TOP OF SCIDES OUT OFF BY PROJECTOR.	
- Top or scious cot of of Mostoria. - Instructor quiz (15 min) - How does it fit (points-wise) . Lho the ount course points?	
- Sund culets who paper powell for in-class quiz. - section 7 of 815.	
- Doundton: Cot - citation otyle?	
- Sign SIS.	
- 35 min into class to start on LO's from this lesson.	