

# AY21-2 Chemical Engineering Course and Program Brief

LTC Matthew Armstrong

LTC Sam Cowart

Dr. Simuck Yuk

CPT(P) Jeff Chin

Dr. Enoch Nagelli

Dr. Andy Biaglow

MAJ Trevor Corrigan

CPT Caspar Yi

10NOV20

# Agenda

- Course Briefings
  - CH402
  - CH400
  - CH367
  - CH364
  - CH362
- ABET recertification update: remote 15-19NOV2020
- Bioengineering update

# CH402: Chem. Eng. Process Design

## Course Director: Dr. Enoch Nagelli

Credit Hours: 3.5 (BS=0, ET=3.5, MA=0)

Prerequisites: CH459, CH485, CH365

Co-requisite: None

Lessons: 40 @ 55 min, 7 @ 120 min

Special Requirements: None

This course provides a capstone experience that brings together material from previous courses to examine contemporary problems in chemical engineering process design. The course provides instruction in the conceptual design of processes to achieve design goals, as well as the economic optimization of the process. The course emphasizes the use of computer simulations, theory of unit operations, process control, safety, environmental and economic factors. The effect of changes in design on the process economics will be investigated. Written and oral design reports for the capstone design project are required.

### Course Assessment – Items from Section III

#### Sustain:

- Emphasis on written “professional quality” reports (communication efforts per program assessment)
- Capstone project - petroleum refinery project – expanded: standardized crude analysis method to simplify troubleshooting, required working design earlier, increased process safety research, professional P&IDs, discussion of logistics trains, requirement for profitability
- MS Teams – use of individual group teams sites allowed tracking of group work and facilitated AI sessions

#### Improve:

Communication skills (written). Two reports were very good. Many were fair. Two were not as good. Would like all of them to be excellent.

Add contemporary issues (bitumen, dieselene, etc.)

### Topics – by Chapter

*Plant Design and Economics for Chemical Engineers*,  
Peters, Timmerhaus, West, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition (2002)

- Introduction to Process Design (Ch. 1)
- Heat Exchanger Design (Ch. 14)
- Fluid Handling (Ch. 12)
- Flowsheet Synthesis (Ch. 4)
- Cost Estimation (Ch. 6)
- Process Economics (Ch. 7,8)
- Design Project and Reports (Ch. 11)

### Assessment – Graded Events

10 Problem Sets @ 25 pts each:	250	13.51%
4 Small Design Probs. @ 50 pts each:	200	10.81%
2 WPRs @ 200 pts each:	400	21.62%
1 Design Report @ 400 pts:	400	21.62%
2 IPRs @ 100 pts each:	200	10.81%
4 Quizzes @ 25 pts each:	100	5.41%
1 Term End Exam @ 300 pts:	300	16.22%
Total:	1850	
Individual Submission:	1250	<b>67.57%</b>

# CH400: Chemical Engineering Professional Practice

## Course Director: LTC Matthew Armstrong

Credit Hours: 1.5 (BS=0, ET=1.5, MA=0)

Prerequisites: CH459, CH485, CH365

Co-requisite: None

Lessons: 20 @ 55 min

Special Requirements: None

The course will meet once per week and will cover topics such as ethics, continuing education, and global and social issues within chemical engineering. Special emphasis will be placed on preparation for the Fundamentals of Engineering Exam using practice problems and graded practice exams. The course also covers professional plant engineering using plant simulators and mock exercises to teach proper troubleshooting and response techniques.

Topics – by Chapter  
*FEE Supplied-Reference Handbook Ed. 9.4 for Computer*  
*FEE Chemical sample questions + solutions*

- Chemical reaction engineering
- Thermodynamics
- Heat Transfer
- Mathematics/probability and statistics
- Safety, Health and Environmental
- Process control
- Fluid mechanics
- Separations
- Ethics
- Chemistry

### Course Assessment – Items from Section III

#### Sustain:

Continue to have graded events per topic

Continue to go over all graded events before FEE

Probability and Statistics review

New WPRs with Chem E. FEE problems

#### Improve:

**Take FEE between 15 FEB-1 APR (8/10 Class of '20)**

Conduct General Chemistry review

Use discipline specific FEE manual

### Assessment – Graded Events

10 Problem Sets @ 25 pts each:	200	22.2%
10 Quizzes @ 25 pts each:	200	22.2%
2 Practice Exams @ 50 pts each:	100	11.0%
1 WPR @ 200 pts each:	200	22.2%
2 Control ex. @ 100 pts each:	200	22.2%
Total:	900	
Individual Submission:	<b>900</b>	<b>100%</b>

# CH367: Intro. to Auto. Process Control

## Course Director: LTC Corey James

Credit Hours: 3.0 (BS=0, ET=3.0, MA=0)  
Prerequisites: CH459, CH485, CH365  
Co-requisite: None  
Lessons: 30 @ 75 min  
Special Requirements: None

This course covers the principles necessary to understand the automatic control of chemical processes. Students learn the current mathematical models and mechanical details of various control elements, including sensors, transmitters, actuators, and controllers. Application of mathematical models will be covered with dynamic modeling techniques as well as real-time training using process simulators. The course will also cover tuning of controllers as well as safe response to process upsets. A capstone project will involve dynamic modelling of an integrated process control system.

### Course Assessment – Items from Section III

No substantial changes are proposed to CH367 for AY 21-2.

Topics – by Chapter  
*Process Dynamics and Control*, Seborg, Edgar, Mellichamp, Doyle 4<sup>th</sup> Edition (2017)

- Introduction to Process Control (Ch. 1)
- Developing Chemical Process Models (Ch. 2,3,4,7)
- Dynamic Response of Chemical Processes (Ch. 5,6)
- Designing Feedback Controllers (Ch. 8,12)
- Control System Instrumentation and Final Control Elements (Ch. 9)
- Dynamic Behavior and Stability (Ch. 11)
- Feedforward, Ratio Control, and Advanced Topics (Ch. 15, 16, 18)

### Assessment – Graded Events

Term End Exam (TEE)	1	500	500	25%
Written Partial Reviews (WPRs)	3	250	750	37.5%
Problem Sets	7	50	350	17.5%
Daily Questions/Quizzes	30	5	150	7.5%
Capstone	1	250	250	12.5%
		Total	2000	100%
		Individual	1400	70%

# CH364: Chemical Reaction Engineering

## Course Director: LTC Sam Cowart

Credit Hours: 3.5 (BS=0, ET=3.5, MA=0)

Prerequisites: CH362

Co-requisite: None

Lessons: 40 @ 55 min, 7 @ 120 min

Special Requirements: None

This course studies the effects of chemical reaction kinetics on systems of engineering significance. It introduces selection and operation of commercial chemical reactors, emphasizing chemical kinetics and transport phenomena. It studies currently practiced engineering techniques associated with each of these reactors. Topics covered in this course include ideal reactors; batch, CSTR and PFR, isothermal and non-isothermal. Other topics may include catalytic reactors, bioreactors, transient and steady state reactor design, pressure drop in reactors, recycle, stability, and numerical methods.

## Topics – by Chapter

*Elements of Chemical Reaction Engineering*, Fogler, Prentice Hall, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition (2016)

- Mole Balances (Ch. 1)
- Conversion and Reactor Sizing (Ch. 2)
- Rate Laws (Ch. 3)
- Stoichiometry (Ch. 4)
- Isothermal Reactor Design (Ch. 5, 6)
- Collection and Analysis of Rate Data (Ch. 7)
- Multiple & Nonisothermal Reactions (Ch. 8, 9)
- Catalysis & Catalytic Reactors (Ch. 10)

## Course Assessment – Items from Section III

### Sustain:

Continue use of Fogler, Sect. 12.6 for Capstone Project

Continue open-feedback questions in end-of-course surveys

### Improve:

Capstone needs refinement and introduction earlier in course

Improved feedback to cadets regarding written work quality

Increase number of in-class problems; improve problem sets

## Assessment – Graded Events

3 WPRs @ 250 pts each:	750	35.70%
9 Problem Sets @ 35-50 pts each:	330	16.00%
30 Daily Questions @ 3.33 pts each:	100	4.76%
5 Computer Labs @ 40 pts each:	200	9.50%
1 Capstone Project @ 200 pts:	200	9.50%
Instructor Points (Various)	20	1.00%
1 Term End Exam @ 500 pts	500	23.80%
Total:	2100	
Individual Submission:	1700	80.95%

# CH362: Mass and Energy Balances

## Course Director: MAJ Trevor Corrigan

Credit Hours: 3.5 (BS=0, ET=3.5, MA=0)

Prerequisites: CH102

Co-requisite: None

Lessons: 40 @ 55 min, 7 @ 120 min

Special Requirements: None

Introduction to mass and energy balances in single phase and multiphase, nonreactive and reactive systems. Course topics include an introduction to engineering calculations and process variables, use of computers in solving chemical engineering problems, fundamentals of material balances in single-phase and multi-phase systems, energy balances on nonreactive and reactive processes, applications of combined material and energy balances, introduction to chemical engineering unit operations, and a general introduction to the field of chemical engineering.

### Course Assessment – Items from Section III

#### Sustain:

Modeling projects in labs and problem sets using software for analytical techniques

Continue to use FEE style problems for the TEE

Adjust labs from individual to a buddy team events

#### Improve:

Additional Lessons on multi-phase systems.

Remove Computer Projects and Capstone

Problem solving and basics of general chemistry

### Topics – by Chapter

*Elementary Principles of Chemical Processes*, Felder, Rousseau, Bullard, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition (2016)

- Introduction to Chemical Engineering (Ch. 1)
- Introduction to Engineering Calculations and Process Variables (Ch. 2 & 3)
- Single and Multi-unit Material Balances (Ch. 4.1-4.5)
- Reactive System Material Balances (Ch. 4.6-4.10)
- Multiphase Systems (Ch. 6)
- Energy Balances on Non-reactive Sys (Ch. 5, 7, 8)
- Energy Balances on Reactive Sys (Ch. 9)

### Assessment – Graded Events

8 *Problem Sets @ 25 pts each:	200	10.2%
4 *In-Class Prob. Sets @ 100 pts ea.:	400	20.4%
3 *WPRs @ 200 pts each:	600	30.6%
7 Labs @ 30 pts each:	210	10.7%
1 *Term End Exam @ 550 pts:	550	28.1%
Total:	1960	
*Individual Submission:	1750	<b>89.3%</b>



ABET VISIT (AY21)		AY22		AY23	
AY21-1 (Fall)	AY21-2 (Spring)	AY22-1 (Fall)	AY22-2 (Spring)	AY23-1 (Fall)	AY23-2 (Spring)
CH363 (Armstrong)	CH362 (Corrigan)	CH363 (Armstrong)	CH362 (Yuk)	CH363 (Yuk)	CH362 (Yuk)
CH459 (Nagelli)	CH364 (Cowart)	CH459 (Nagelli & Yuk)	CH364 (Nagelli)	CH459 (Belanger)	CH364 (Nagelli)
CH485 (Cowart)	CH402 (Nagelli)	CH485 (Cowart)	CH402 (Biaglow)	CH485 (Cowart)	CH402 (Biaglow)
CH365 (Biaglow)	CH400 (Armstrong)	CH365 (Biaglow)	CH400 (Cowart)	CH365 (Biaglow)	CH400 (Cowart)
	CH367 (James)		CH367 (James)		CH367 (James)*
				Lowell, Sam(3x GC)	Lowell, Sam(3x GC)
Yuk (CG - 3x sections)	Yuk (CG - 3x sections)	Yi (2x GC sections)	Mandes (2x GC sections)	Mandes (GC)	Mandes (GC)
Chin (GC - 3x sections)	Chin (GC - 3x sections)	Bowers (GC 3x sections)	Bowers (GC 3x sections)	Yi (GC)	Belanger (GC)
Corrigan (2x sections + S4)	Armstrong (1x GC sections)	Mandes (GC 3x sections)	Yi (2x GC sections)	Chin (GC+S1)	Chin (GC+S1)
Yi (GC - 3x sections)	Yi (GC - 3x sections)	Chin (2x sections+S1)	Chin (2x sections+S1)	Bowers (GC)	Bowers (GC)
	Biaglow (Sabbatical)	Lowell, Sam(3x GC)	Cowart (1x GC)	Nagelli (2x sections)	Yi (2x GC sections)
			Lowell, Sam(3x GC)		Cowart (1x GC)
AY24		AY25		AY26	
AY24-1 (Fall)	AY24-2 (Spring)	AY25-1 (Fall)	AY25-2 (Spring)	AY25-1 (Fall)	AY25-2 (Spring)
CH363 (Yuk)	CH362 (Yuk)	CH363 (Yuk)	CH362 (Yuk)	CH363 (Yuk)	CH362 (Yuk)
CH459 (Belanger)	CH364 (Nagelli)	CH459 (Belanger)	CH364 (Nagelli)	CH459 (Belanger)	CH364 (Nagelli)
CH485 (Nagelli)	CH402 (Biaglow)	CH485 (Nagelli)	CH402 (Biaglow)	CH485 (Nagelli)	CH402 (Biaglow)
CH365 (Biaglow)	CH400 (Nagelli)	CH365 (Biaglow)	CH400 (Belanger)	CH365 (Biaglow)	CH400 (Nagelli)
	CH367 (James)*		CH367 (James)*		CH367 (James)*
Mandes (GC)	Mandes (GC)	Rogers (GC)	Rogers (GC)	Rogers (GC)	Rogers (GC)
Bowers (GC)	Bowers (GC)				
Rogers (GC)	Rogers (GC)				
Lowell, Sam(3x GC)	Lowell, Sam(3x GC)				
	Belanger (GC)				




# Bio-engineering Track/Sequence/minor

COA 1	COA 2	COA 3
<b>CH362-CH364-CH450</b>	<b>CH300-CH350-CH450</b>	<b>CH362-CH350-CH450</b>
Will require minimum of 2 additional faculty (if new sections added)	Will require additional faculty, minimum of 2. Ongoing AP searches. Faculty allocation will be the same in either COA, so use new faculty to develop new courses.	Will require additional faculty, minimum of 1. Ongoing AP searches. Faculty allocation will be the same in either COA, so use new faculty to develop new courses.
Does not give Chem E cadets new electives.		
Existing course material/objectives are tied to ABET outcomes. These courses are highly optimized for chemical engineering content leading to high performance in these areas. Will require extensive re-configuring of <b>two</b> key program courses for a different audience without basis in assessment; max. 2 sections	<i>Chem E cadets currently have no electives in DCLS. Continuing area of concern. Chem E cadets get 3x elective courses.</i>	<i>Chem E cadets currently have no electives in DCLS. Continuing area of concern. Chem E cadets get 2x elective courses.</i>
Less resource encumbered	Courses should be designed to meet student needs. Memo constructed in AY18 was reviewed by other programs and is ready to drop now. Even if proposed courses are not optimal or we do not agree on content, get the courses in Redbook.	Courses should be designed to meet student needs. Memo constructed in AY18 was reviewed by other programs and is ready to drop now. Even if proposed courses are not optimal or we do not agree on content, get the courses in Redbook.
Course material/objectives tied to ABET outcomes 1-7,8		
Cannot dilute or alter content	Better for the department. Opportunity for interdisciplinary collaboration and team building.	Better for the department. Opportunity for interdisciplinary collaboration and team building.

# Bio-engineering Track

- Select Bioengineering AP...ongoing Fall 2020
- Select Bioengineering T10 ✓
- QA/QC 3.0 ET credit for CH450 ✓
- Stand up new course
- Get to curriculum committee
- Get Bioengineering sequence approved
- Get courses in Redbook
- ABET-compatibility (minor point)

# Timeline for Major Curricular (ABET) Actions




# Questions

# PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of the chemical engineering program is to prepare commissioned leaders of character who are proficient in applying chemical and engineering principles to solve problems in a complex operational environment.

# Program Assessment Cycle



# Fundamentals of Engineering Exam



Student Outcome 7: Acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies

National, (+/- ~1%):

2020 74.6%  
2019 77.0%  
2018 75.0%  
2017 74.0%  
2016 79.0%  
2015 77.4%

2014 89.0%  
2013 86.3%  
2012 85.1%  
2011 87.0%  
2010 87.0%  
2009 84.0%  
2008 87.0%  
2007 87.0%  
2006 87.0%

Question 4




Question 4, Post FEE Survey: For the questions on the exam that seemed new to you, were you able to learn the material on the spot?



# Topical Outcomes Evaluation

Deviations from  
National Averages  
AY07 to AY20



# ABET Advisory Board


- Combination of representatives from our constituencies:
  - Industry
  - Academia
  - Army
- Review data; take survey; interact with Chemical Engineering Cows and Firsties
- Give curriculum advice
- Inform program decisions
- Helps write the program educational objectives and mission statement (these are ABET requirements)

# Advisory Board 2018-2019

No.	Name	Title	School - Company	Email	Best Dates
1	Kevin Shipe	Automation Engineer	NALCO Champion	kevin.a.shipe@gmail.com	Either (15-16 or 22-23 APR)
2	Lucy Hair	EleCent Team Leader	LLNL	hair1@llnl.gov	Either (15-16 or 22-23 APR)
3	COL (Ret) Paul Dietrich	Chemical Officer	Chemical Officer/Industry	paul@the-dietrichs.com	15-16 APR
4	Kisondra Waters	Principal Analyst	Margin Analytics	kisondra@gmail.com	22-23APR
5	Anthony Hatfield	Consultant Engineer	Eli Lilly and Company	hatfield@lilly.com	
6	Donald Glaser	President	Simulation Solutions	dglaser@simulation-solutions.com	Either (15-16 or 22-23 APR)
7	Matt Garvey	Engineer	Simulation Solutions	mgarvey@simulation-solutions.com	Either (15-16 or 22-23 APR)
8	Kelly Schutz	Assistant Professor	Lehigh University	kes513@lehigh.edu	Either (15-16 or 22-23 APR)
9	Lynn Walker	Professor	Carnegie Mellon University	lwalker@anderw.cmu.edu	
10	Matthew Libertore	Professor	University of Toledo	matthew.libertore@Utoledo.edu	Either (15-16 or 22-23 APR)
11	Patrick Nonhof	Managing Partner	Provenance Consulting	Patrick.Nonhof@provenanceconsulting.com	
12	Dwight Springer	COL(RET)	Retired Deputy Head	dss5456@verizon.net	
13	Greg Ritch	COL(RET)/ Engineering Officer	MIL/Industry	garitch@cvzoom.net	15-16 APR
14	Mike Deforest	Industry, Chem E (Old Grad '07)	SMK Packaging	mike@smkpackaging.com	
15	Phil Visser	Chem Corps; COL (RET)		cell: 7572543017	
16	COL Aaron Hill	Academy Professor	USMA; CME	<a href="mailto:aaron.hill@westpoint.edu">aaron.hill@westpoint.edu</a>	Either (15-16 or 22-23 APR)
17	Patrick Underhill	Associate Professor	RPI	underhill@rpi.edu	Either (15-16 or 22-23 APR)


# End-of-Semester Surveys

## Program Averages from AY15-20



# Fundamentals of Engineering Exam

Student Outcome 7: Acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies




# Outcome 8 Evaluation

## FEE Results by Topic

AY07 to AY20

The error bars are the individual standard deviations for the AY20 data.


The average standard deviation over all data is 3.0.



Year-to-year variations in the difficulty of the exam are accounted for by normalizing with respect to the national average on the next slide.

# Topical Outcomes Evaluation

## Student Outcome 8: Understanding of the Chemical Engineering Curriculum






# Faculty Student Outcomes Surveys

## Student Outcomes 1-8

Program Averages from AY15-20

Data labels are response frequencies for 2-5 on the 1-5 Survey Likert Scale (# of 2 / # of 3 / # 4 / # of 5)  
Standard deviations range from .08 to .23

- Perpetual communication struggle
- MC312 debacle (AY20-2)
- technical/written/verbal




# Advisory Board Student Outcomes Surveys

To be updated after  
23APR21 ABET advisory

Student Outcomes 1-8

Program Averages from AY14-19

Data labels are response frequencies for 4 or 5 (# of 4s / # of 5s) on the 1-5 Survey Likert Scale  
Standard deviations range from .26 to .53





Engineering  
Technology  
Accreditation  
Commission



Accredited 1 October 2012 to present

Next Record Year: AY2019-2020

Next ABET Visit: Fall 2020

# Why ABET Accreditation?

- An external certification of quality
- Keeps us in touch with the engineering profession
- Helps USMA (and ChemE) recruiting (classes of 2020 and 2021 each signed 25+ plebes – new highs; 80 total)
- Provides important opportunities for graduates
- Allows USMA engineering majors to take the Fundamentals of Engineering Examination
- It is required by Army Regulations (10-87)
- Almost everything that ABET expects us to do is something we should be doing anyway

# Thoughts to Consider


- The ABET process is expensive in terms of faculty time
  - USMA is a small undergraduate college with limited human resources (faculty) and high faculty turnover
- The ABET accreditation is important to the institution and to the Army (so we try to do it well – due diligence each semester makes the process easier)
- The best way we can be successful with ABET is to orient our program processes around the ABET criteria
  - By doubling up our efforts we obtain some efficiency
  - Much of what follows is oriented around the ABET processes and terminology
  - We need to be strategic in deciding on new initiatives
  - Must to have a “feedback loop closure”; and communicate a story that includes assessment loop closure

# Terms You Should Know

- **Program Educational Objectives (PEOs)**
  - Gleaned by asking *program constituents*
    - For us: Army, profession, graduate schools, other
  - Advisory Board represents constituencies; (6 – 10 members/yr.)/bench of 15 members
  - Desired professional accomplishments of graduates **5-7 years after graduation**
  - Adjust every 3 years or so...
- **Student Outcomes (1-8) *listed on future slide***
  - What students should be able to do **at graduation**
  - Must be **measurable**
  - Designed to lead naturally to the PEOs
  - Assess/evaluate yearly
- **Assessment → Continuous improvement**
  - Collect meaningful data to evaluate performance indicators (PIs)
  - Assess PIs for outcome attainment → information → COAs for change
  - Implement change
  - Assess its effects and level of success (“closing the loop”)
  - Repeat all the above
  - Periodically check and adjust both Student Outcomes and PEOs



Loop closure



# Course Assessment Cycle

Table 4-1. Outline of the course assessment process

## Course details      Assessment      Recommendations

SECTION I. COURSE DESCRIPTION - This section summarizes the course, exactly as it was taught in the most recently completed semester.	SECTION II. COURSE ASSESSMENT - This section provides data and analysis to answer the following questions:	SECTION III. RECOMMENDED CHANGES - All proposed changes to the course, in each of the specified areas. Recommendations should be based on assessments from Section II.
1. Redbook Description - List the current Redbook description.	1. Redbook Description - Does the Redbook description match what is taught in the course?	1. Redbook Description- For changes, include a cut and paste Redbook entry and use "track changes" when submitting recommendation.
2. Enrollment - This AY and next AY (projected)	2. Enrollment - How does the student population compare from one year to the other? Assess effect of population on course.	2. Enrollment - Recommended teaching style considerations associated with the student population.
3. Course Content - Abbreviated list of subjects or lesson blocks covered in the course (not the syllabus).	3. Course Content - Is the course content appropriate?	3. Course Content - Recommended changes to course content.
4. Course Objectives - List course objectives here.	4. Course Objectives - Were the course objectives achieved? Do the course objectives cover the body of knowledge appropriately? Do the course objectives lend themselves to assessment?	4. Course Objectives - Recommended changes to objectives.
	4a. Coverage - Indicate coverage of objectives by graded events.	4a. Coverage - Recommended changes to coverage of objectives by graded events.
	4b. Performance - Indicate performance on course objectives.	4b. Performance - Recommendations to address shortcomings in performance on course objectives.
5. Survey Questions - List web-based and any other survey questions administered to cadets (if used).	5. Survey Questions - Are the survey questions appropriate?	5. Survey Questions - Recommended changes to survey questions.
Examples Include course questions, program questions, and USMA web-based survey questions.	5a. Survey Results - Include analysis of Course-End Feedback or other surveys to include significant trends, suggestions or input that you believe should be incorporated into the course in the future.	5a. Survey Results - Recommendations to address any shortcomings identified by survey results (if necessary).
	5b. Survey Freeform Comments - (If used.) Results of any free-form comments from cadets about the course - summarize the most prevalent positive and negative comments.	5b. Survey Freeform Comments - Recommendations to address shortcomings identified from free-form comments, if necessary.
6. Course GPA - List course GPA here. Include numbers from the last six terms.	6. Course QPA - Discuss any discernible trends or abrupt changes in course GPA over past several terms.	6. Course QPA - Recommendations to address any perceived problems.
7. TEE Grade - List course TEE grade here from the last six terms.	7. TEE Grade - Discuss any discernible trends or abrupt changes in TEE grade over past several terms.	7. TEE Grade - Recommendations to address concerns with TEE grades.
8. Course Processes	8. Course Processes	8. Course Process
8a. Textbook - Title, author, and edition	8a. Textbook - Is the current textbook appropriate?	8a. Textbook - Recommended changes to textbook.
8b. Lessons and Labs - List of lessons and labs in the course (syllabus).	8b. Lessons and labs - Are the number of lessons and labs appropriate?	8b. List of lessons and labs - Recommended changes to the number of lessons and labs.
8c. Summary of Graded Requirements - Number, type, and weight of drill problems, Problem Sets, Special Problems, EDP's, Lab Reports, Writs, WPR's, TEE, and Instructor Grade (as applicable).	8c. Summary of Graded Requirements - Are the graded requirements appropriate?	8c. Summary of Graded Requirements - Recommended changes to the graded requirements.
8d. Areas of Special Emphasis - Any special topics not included in the Redbook description or program embedded indicators go here	8d. Areas of Special Emphasis - Are the areas of special emphasis appropriate?	8d. Areas of Special Emphasis - Recommended changes to the areas of special emphasis.
9. Contribution to Student Outcomes - List student outcomes here.	9. Contribution to the Student Outcomes - Does the course contribute to the student outcomes? How?	9. Contribution to Student Outcomes
	9a. Coverage - Indicate coverage of objectives by graded events.	9a. Coverage - Recommendations to address shortcomings in coverage of outcomes.
	9b. Performance - Indicate performance on course objectives.	9b. Performance - Recommendations to address problems in performance on student outcomes.
10. Resources and Laboratories	10. Resources and Laboratories	10. Resources and Laboratories
10a. Laboratories - List laboratories lab projects used in the course.	10a. Laboratories - Was equipment available for desired experiments? Was equipment working?	10a. Laboratories - Recommendations to address any shortcomings in equipment.
10b. Computer Labs - List computer labs used in the course.	10b. Computer Labs - Were adequate computing facilities available for the course?	10b. Computer Labs - Recommendations to improve computing facilities.
10c. Physical Models & Demos - List physical models and demos used in the course.	10c. Physical Models & Demos - Were physical models and demos adequate? In good working order?	10c. Physical Models & Demos - Recommendations for new demos or models, or to improve condition of existing models and demos.
10d. Technician Support - List technician support used in this course (wet lab or IT).	10d. Technician Support - Was technician support adequate?	10d. Technician Support - Recommendations to improve technician support.
10e. Supplies - List any wet lab or computer supplies used in this course.	10e. Supplies - Were supplies adequate?	10e. Supplies - Recommend additional supplies for this course.
10f. Additional Facilities - List any additional facilities used.	10f. Additional Facilities - Were the additional facilities adequate?	10f. Additional Facilities - Recommendations to address perceived shortcomings in additional facilities?
10g. Unfunded Requests - List any unfunded requests from last AY and whether or not they were funded.	10g. Unfunded Requests - If provided, were the items made available by the unfunded requirements adequate?	10g. Unfunded Requests - Recommendations for any additional unfunded requirements.
11. Recommendations from last AY - List recommendations from last year's course assessment and describe how they were implemented this AY.		

- Published guidance in CD Handbook
- Required for all chemical engineering courses used for assessment (not optional)
- Similar system for courses outside department

- Sustain and improve from last AY



# Chemical Engineering Program Objectives

During a career as commissioned officers in the United States Army and beyond, program graduates:

- Contribute to the solution of infrastructure or operational problems in a complex operational environment.
- Succeed in graduate school or other advanced study programs.
- Advance their careers through clear and precise technical communication.
- Demonstrate effective leadership and chemical engineering expertise.

# Student Outcomes

Identical to ABET 1-7 plus one additional outcome (8)

**On completion of the chemical engineering program, our graduates will be able to:**

1. Identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics.
2. Apply engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with consideration of public health, safety, and welfare, as well as global, cultural, social, environmental, and economic factors.
3. Communicate effectively with a range of audiences.
4. Recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which must consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts.
5. Function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives.
6. Develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgment to draw conclusions.
7. Acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies.
8. Understand the chemical engineering curriculum, including chemistry, material and energy balances, safety and environmental factors, thermodynamics of physical and chemical equilibria, heat, mass, and momentum transfer, chemical reaction engineering, continuous and staged separation processes, process dynamics and control, modern experimental and computing techniques, and process design.

Required Courses * (for classes 2020 and beyond)	
MA364	Engineering Mathematics
CH362	Mass & Energy Balances
CH363	Separation Processes
CH364	Chemical Reaction Engineering
CH367	Introduction to Automatic Process Control (XE472 2019 and previous)
CH485	Heat & Mass Transfer
CH459	Chemical Engineering Laboratory
CH402	Chemical Engineering Process Design
CH400	Professional Practice
MC311	Thermal-Fluid Systems I
MC312	Thermal-Fluid Systems II
EE301	Fundamentals of Electrical Engineering
MC300	Fundamentals of Engineering Mechanics & Design (Statics & Dynamics)
CH365	Chemical Engineering Thermodynamics
CH383	Organic Chemistry 1

**\*Not including prerequisites**