

# Lecture8 Handout

Elizabeth Colantuoni

2/15/2021

## I. Objectives

Upon completion of this session, you will be able to do the following:

1. Derive and apply distribution results for linear contrasts in the linear model
2. Discuss the approach to making inferences about non-linear functions of the linear regression parameters
3. Derive and apply variance decompositions (ANOVA) for the linear model; i.e. model F tests

## II. Main points from Lectures 6 and 7

In this section, we review the main points from Lectures 6 and 7.

1. We have established the multiple linear regression model:

$$Y_{n \times 1} = X_{n \times (p+1)}\beta_{(p+1) \times 1} + \epsilon_{n \times 1}, \epsilon_{n \times 1} \sim MVN(0_{n \times 1}, \sigma^2 I_{n \times n})$$

2. We know that:

$$\hat{\beta} \text{ satisfies } X'(Y - X\beta) = 0 \text{ and minimizes } \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - X_i\beta)^2$$

3. We have defined:

- $\hat{Y} = X\hat{\beta} = HY$ , where  $H = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$
- $\hat{R} = Y - \hat{Y} = Y - X\hat{\beta} = (I - H)Y$

4. Then we showed that:

- $\hat{\beta} \sim MVN(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1})$
- $\hat{Y} \sim MVN(X\beta, \sigma^2 H)$
- $\hat{R} \sim MVN(0, \sigma^2(I - H))$

## III. Inference about unknown parameters ( $\beta$ ) and functions of them

We can make inferences about unknown parameters ( $\beta$ ) and function of them using the information from the table below. NOTE: the t-statistic for computing the 95% confidence interval is given by  $t_{n-(p+1), 0.025}$ . NOTE: the  $\hat{se}$  values replace unknown parameters with estimates, i.e. replace  $\sigma^2$  with  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ .

Target	Estimate $\sim$ Sampling Distn	95% CI for target	Test statistic for H0: Target = 0
$\beta_j$	$\hat{\beta}_j \sim N(\beta_j, [\sigma^2(X^T X)^{-1}]_{jj})$	$\hat{\beta}_j \pm t \times \hat{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)$	$\frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\hat{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)}$
$A\beta$	$A\hat{\beta} \sim N(A\beta, \sigma^2 A(X^T X)^{-1} A^T)$	$A\hat{\beta} \pm t \times \hat{se}(A\hat{\beta})$	$\frac{A\hat{\beta}_j}{\hat{se}(A\hat{\beta}_j)}$
$g(\beta_j)$	$g(\hat{\beta}_j) \sim N(g(\beta_j), [g'(\beta_j)]^2 [\sigma^2(X^T X)^{-1}]_{jj})$	$g(\hat{\beta}_j) \pm t \times \hat{se}(g(\hat{\beta}_j))$	$\frac{g(\hat{\beta}_j)}{\hat{se}(g(\hat{\beta}_j))}$
$g(\beta)$	$g(\hat{\beta}) \sim N(g(\beta), g'(\beta)^T [\sigma^2(X^T X)^{-1}] g'(\beta))$	$g(\hat{\beta}) \pm t \times \hat{se}(g(\hat{\beta}))$	$\frac{g(\hat{\beta})}{\hat{se}(g(\hat{\beta}))}$
$\mu_i = E(Y_i X_i)$	$\hat{Y}_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2[H]_{ii})$	$\hat{Y}_i \pm t \times \hat{se}(\hat{Y}_i)$	$\frac{\hat{Y}_i}{\hat{se}(\hat{Y}_i)}$
$\mu(x_0) = E(Y x_0)$	$x_0^T \hat{\beta} \sim N(x_0^T \beta, \hat{\sigma}^2 x_0^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_0)$	$x_0^T \hat{\beta} \pm t \times \hat{se}(x_0^T \hat{\beta})$	$\frac{x_0^T \hat{\beta}}{\hat{se}(x_0^T \hat{\beta})}$

## IV. Delta Method

The “delta method” or “linearization method” is used for obtaining the large-sample distribution of a function of a given statistic, where the distribution of the given statistic is known to be (or asymptotically to be) a normal distribution.

### A. Univariate case

In the univariate case, the delta method provides the following:

Assuming that  $\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ , then  $g(\hat{\theta}) \sim N(g(\theta), [g'(\theta)]^2 \sigma^2)$ .

#### 1. Example: univariate case

A random variable  $Y$  follows a log-normal distribution if  $\log(Y) \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . From this log-normal distribution, you can show that the mean of  $Y$  is  $E(Y) = e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}$  and the median of  $Y$  is  $e^\mu$ .

Suppose that  $Y = (\text{medical expenditures} + 1)$  follows a log-normal distribution (see Problem Set 2) and that you construct the following model for persons 65 years or older:

$$\log(Y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{age}_i - 65) + \beta_2(\text{age}_i - 75)^+ + \beta_3(\text{age}_i - 85)^+ + \epsilon_i, \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

.

Note: this is NOT the model you are fitting in Problem Set 2, you are modeling the expenditures directly.

Suppose we want to estimate the median expenditures for 65 year-olds. From the model, we see that  $\beta_0$  is the mean of the distribution of  $\log(Y_i)$  for 65 year-olds. So that the median expenditures for 65 year-olds is given by  $e^{\beta_0} - 1$ .

We know that  $\hat{\beta}_0 \sim N(\beta_0, \sigma^2[(X^T X)^{-1}]_{11})$ , where I have assumed that  $\beta_0$  is the first element of the  $(p+1) \times 1$  vector  $\beta$ .

We will apply the univariate delta method to generate the distribution for  $g(\beta_0) = e^{\beta_0} - 1$ . To complete the process, we have to take the derivative of  $g(\beta_0)$  with respect to  $\beta_0$ , which is  $e^{\beta_0}$ .

Then by the delta method,  $g(\hat{\beta}_0) = e^{\hat{\beta}_0} - 1 \sim N(e^{\beta_0} - 1, (e^{\beta_0})^2 [\sigma^2(X^T X)^{-1}]_{11})$ .

To create a 95% confidence interval for the median expenditures for 65 year-olds is given by:

$$(e^{\hat{\beta}_0} - 1) \pm t \times e^{\hat{\beta}_0} \sqrt{[\hat{\sigma}^2 (X'X)^{-1}]_{11}}$$

```
load("nmes.rdata")
d = nmes %>% select(names(.)[c(1,2,15)]) %>% filter(.,lastage>=65)
d = mutate(d,
  logy = log(totalexp+1),
  agec=lastage-65,
  agesp1 = ifelse(lastage-75>0, lastage-75,0),
  agesp2 = ifelse(lastage-85>0, lastage-85,0)
)
## Fit the regression
reg = lm(logy~agec+agesp1+agesp2,data=d)
## Save the estimate of beta0 and var(hat-beta0)
beta0 = coef(reg)[1]
var.beta0 = vcov(reg)[1,1]
c(beta0,var.beta0)

## (Intercept)
## 6.265282252 0.004507285

## Apply the delta method:
g.est = exp(beta0)-1
g.var = exp(beta0)^2 * var.beta0
c(g.est,g.var)

## (Intercept) (Intercept)
## 524.99 1247.01

## Generate a 95% CI for exp(beta0)-1
g.est - qt(0.975,df=summary(reg)$df[2])*sqrt(g.var)

## (Intercept)
## 455.763

g.est + qt(0.975,df=summary(reg)$df[2])*sqrt(g.var)

## (Intercept)
## 594.217
```

## 2. Derivation of univariate delta method

Assuming the function  $g$  is continuous at its first derivative. The delta method is derived from the first order approximation to Taylor series using Taylor's theorem.

$$f(x) \approx f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0)$$

In statistical applications, we are interested in finding the distribution of  $g(\hat{\theta})$  where  $\hat{\theta}$  follows a normal distribution.

Applying the first order Taylor expansion to  $g(\hat{\theta})$  about the mean  $\theta$ , we get:

$$g(\hat{\theta}) \approx g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$$

Then,

$$E(g(\hat{\theta})) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta - \theta) = g(\theta)$$

$$Var(g(\hat{\theta})) = g'(\theta)^2 Var(\hat{\theta})$$

## B. Multivariate case

You can extend the delta method to the multivariate case where the function  $g$  operates on a vector (e.g.  $\beta$ ) instead of a univariate statistic (e.g.  $\mu(age_i = 65)$  from prior example). The main difference in the application is that when you take the derivative of  $g$  it is taken with respect to the vector, i.e. the derivative is a vector not a scalar.

In applications of the multivariate delta method within regression analysis, you will be starting with your assumption that  $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1})$ . Then the sampling distribution for  $g(\hat{\beta})$  is approximately:

$$N(g(\beta), g'(\beta)'[\sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}]g'(\beta))$$

### 1. Example multivariate case

Consider the example Lab 4, where we separately estimated the monthly growth rate of arm circumference for children under 6 months and for children 6 months old or older. Recall the regression model:

$$arm_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 age_i + \beta_2 (age_i - 6)^+ + \epsilon_i$$

where  $\epsilon_i$  iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

The monthly growth rate of arm circumference is  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_1 + \beta_2$  for children under 6 months and for children 6 months old and older, respectively.

Now suppose you want to generate a 95% confidence interval for the relative growth rate comparing the growth rate for children 6 months old and older to that of children under 6 months, i.e.

$$g(\beta) = \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{\beta_1} = 1 + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}$$

Our goal is to derive the sampling distribution for  $g(\hat{\beta})$ . To apply the delta method, we need:

- $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1})$
- $g'(\beta)$  with respect to  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ . Taking the derivative of  $g(\beta)$  we get: 0,  $\frac{-\beta_2}{\beta_1^2}$  and  $\frac{1}{\beta_1}$ , respectively.

```
load("C:\\Users\\Elizabeth\\Dropbox\\Biostat6532020\\Lecture34\\NepalAnthro.rdata")
d = nepal.anthro %>% select(names(.)[1:16]) %>% filter(.,num==1)
d = mutate(d,
agesp6=ifelse(age-6>0, age-6,0)
)
cc=complete.cases(select(d,age,arm))
d.cc=filter(d,cc)
d.cc = arrange(d.cc,age)
reg<-lm(data=d.cc, arm~age+agesp6)
summary.lm(reg)$coefficients
```

```
##           Estimate Std. Error   t value    Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 11.1208946 0.50958653 21.823369 1.079068e-52
## age         0.3114066 0.09264467  3.361300 9.453805e-04
## agesp6      -0.2795773 0.09441211 -2.961244 3.472606e-03
```

```
reg.coeff = reg$coeff
reg.vc = vcov(reg)
```

```
# Compute the estimate of g(beta)
g.est = 1 + reg.coeff[3]/reg.coeff[2]
# Define the vector of the derivative of g(beta) wrt beta
g.prime = matrix(c(0,-reg.coeff[3]/reg.coeff[2]^2,1/reg.coeff[2]),nrow=3,ncol=1)
g.prime
```

```
##           [,1]
## [1,] 0.000000
## [2,] 2.883012
## [3,] 3.211236
```

```
# Compute the variance of g(beta.hat)
g.var = t(g.prime) %*% reg.vc %*% g.prime
# Compute the 95% CI for g(beta)
reg.coeff[2]
```

```
##           age
## 0.3114066
```

```
reg.coeff[2]+reg.coeff[3]
```

```
##           age
## 0.03182924
```

```
g.est
```

```
##           agesp6
## 0.1022112
```

```
g.est - qt(0.975,df=summary(reg)$df[2]) * sqrt(g.var)
```

```
##           [,1]
## [1,] 0.02689796
```

```
g.est + qt(0.975,df=summary(reg)$df[2]) * sqrt(g.var)
```

```
##           [,1]
## [1,] 0.1775244
```

## V. Testing MLR models assuming normally distributed errors

Assume you have a MLR model. We have shown how to conduct statistical inference for a single regression parameter, i.e. how to test  $H_0 : \beta_j = 0$ . But what if our research question requires that we test a set of regression coefficients?

Consider two models that contain the following explanatory variables:

- Null model:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p$
- Extended model:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p, X_{p+1}, X_{p+2}, \dots, X_{p+s}$

So that the extended model contains the explanatory variables from the null model plus a set of  $s$  additional explanatory variables. We say that these models are “nested models” since the null model is contained within the extended model.

The goal then is to test  $H_0 : \beta_{p+j} = 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  vs.  $H_A$  : at least one  $\beta_{p+j} \neq 0$ .

There are several approaches to conduct the test of  $H_0$  vs.  $H_A$ .

### A. F-test for nested models

Define  $R_N = (I - H_N)Y$  and  $R_E = (I - H_E)Y$  as the residuals from the least squares fit of the null and extended models, respectively.

Further, define  $\Delta = R_N - R_E = (H_E - H_N)Y$  to be the difference in the residuals comparing the null and extended models.

You can show the following results (which we will not do in class):

- $H_E - H_N$  is idempotent with rank  $s$
- $H_E - H_N$  is orthogonal to  $(I - H_E)Y$
- $\frac{\Delta' \Delta / s}{R_E' R_E / (n - p - s - 1)} \sim \mathcal{F}_{df1=s, df2=n-p-s-1}$

#### 1. Example F-test for nested models

Consider the medical expenditure data you are analyzing for Problem Set 2. Define  $Y = \log(\text{medical expenditures} + 1)$  and let  $X_1 = \text{age} - 65$  and  $X_2 = \text{male}$  (indicator 1 = male, 0 = female). Define three models:

Model	Xs	residual df	SS(residual)
A	$X_1, X_2$	5691	31332.38
B	$X_1, (X_1 - 10)^+, (X_1 - 20)^+, X_2$	5689	31314.59
C	$[X_1, (X_1 - 10)^+, (X_1 - 20)^+] \times X_2$	5686	31299.23

NOTE: Model C contains the main terms for each  $X$  plus the interaction of  $X_2$  with all the  $X_1$  variables.

QUESTION 1: After adjusting for gender, is the average log medical expenditures roughly a linear function of age?

Model	Xs	residual df	SS(residual)	MS	F
A	$X_1, X_2$	5691	31332.38		
B	$X_1, (X_1 - 10)^+, (X_1 - 20)^+, X_2$	5689	31314.59	5.50	
Change		2	17.79	8.90	$\frac{8.90}{5.50} = 1.62$

Compute the P-value as:  $Pr(\mathcal{F}_{2,5689} > 1.62) = 0.199$ .

QUESTION 2: Is the non-linear relationship of average log expenditures on age the same for males and females? i.e. are the curves parallel? Equivalently: is the difference between the average log expenditures for males and females the same at all ages?

You do:

```

load("C:\\Users\\Elizabeth\\Dropbox\\Biostat6532020\\Problem Set 2\\nmes.rdata")
d = nmes %>% select(names(.)[c(1,2,3,15)]) %>% filter(.,lastage>=65)
d = mutate(d,
  logy = log(totalexp+1),
  agec=lastage-65,
  agesp1 = ifelse(lastage-75>0, lastage-75,0),
  agesp2 = ifelse(lastage-85>0, lastage-85,0)
)
reg0 = lm(logy~agec+male,data=d)
reg1 = lm(logy~agec+agesp1+agesp2+male,data=d)
reg2 = lm(logy~(agec+agesp1+agesp2)*male,data=d)
c(summary(reg0)$df[1:2],sum(residuals(reg0)^2))

## [1]      3.00 5691.00 31332.38
c(summary(reg1)$df[1:2],sum(residuals(reg1)^2))

## [1]      5.00 5689.00 31314.59
c(summary(reg2)$df[1:2],sum(residuals(reg2)^2))

## [1]      8.00 5686.00 31299.23
# Question 1: by hand
q1.f = ((sum(residuals(reg0)^2) - sum(residuals(reg1)^2))/2)/(sum(residuals(reg1)^2)/summary(reg1)$df[2])
pf(q1.f,2,summary(reg1)$df[2],lower.tail=FALSE)

## [1] 0.1987943
# Question 1: using anova function
anova(reg0,reg1)

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: logy ~ agec + male
## Model 2: logy ~ agec + agesp1 + agesp2 + male
##   Res.Df    RSS Df Sum of Sq    F Pr(>F)
## 1      5691 31332
## 2      5689 31315  2      17.79 1.6159 0.1988
# Question 2:
anova(reg1,reg2)

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: logy ~ agec + agesp1 + agesp2 + male
## Model 2: logy ~ (agec + agesp1 + agesp2) * male
##   Res.Df    RSS Df Sum of Sq    F Pr(>F)
## 1      5689 31315
## 2      5686 31299  3      15.36 0.9301 0.4252

```



## C. Likelihood ratio test for nested models

Let  $\loglike_{null}$  and  $\loglike_{ext}$  be the values of the log likelihood evaluated at the maximum likelihood estimates for the null and extended models, respectively.

Then we can test the nested hypothesis given in Section 4 by computing:

$$2 \times \loglike_{ext} - 2 \times \loglike_{null} \sim \chi^2_{df=s}$$

### 1. Example likelihood ratio test for nested models

Answer the same questions from Section B using likelihood ratio tests.

```
# Question 1: by hand
lr.test.stat = as.numeric(2 * logLik(reg1) - 2 * logLik(reg0))
pchisq(lr.test.stat, df=2, lower.tail=FALSE)
```

```
## [1] 0.1985122
```

```
# Question 1: Using lrtest function
#install.packages(lmtest)
library(lmtest)
```

```
## Warning: package 'lmtest' was built under R version 3.6.2
```

```
## Loading required package: zoo
```

```
##
```

```
## Attaching package: 'zoo'
```

```
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
```

```
##
```

```
##      as.Date, as.Date.numeric
```

```
lrtest(reg0, reg1)
```

```
## Likelihood ratio test
```

```
##
```

```
## Model 1: logy ~ agec + male
```

```
## Model 2: logy ~ agec + agesp1 + agesp2 + male
```

```
##      #Df LogLik Df  Chisq Pr(>Chisq)
```

```
## 1      4 -12934
```

```
## 2      6 -12933  2 3.2338      0.1985
```

```
# Question 2:
```

```
lrtest(reg1, reg2)
```

```
## Likelihood ratio test
```

```
##
```

```
## Model 1: logy ~ agec + agesp1 + agesp2 + male
```

```
## Model 2: logy ~ (agec + agesp1 + agesp2) * male
```

```
##      #Df LogLik Df  Chisq Pr(>Chisq)
```

```
## 1      6 -12933
```

```
## 2      9 -12931  3 2.7936      0.4246
```