## Assignment 1 is due October 23 (Sunday), 23:30.

**Submission** A pdf copy of your own solutions to Problems 1 and 2 should be submitted at SUCourse+.

**Grading** Full credit will be given to correct solutions that are described clearly.

**Problem 1 (Recurrences (10 points))** Give an asymptotic tight bound for T(n) in each of the following recurrences. Assume that T(n) is constant for  $n \leq 2$ . No explanation is needed.

- (a)  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n^3$
- (b)  $T(n) = 7T(n/2) + n^2$
- (c)  $T(n) = 2T(n/4) + \sqrt{n}$
- (d) T(n) = T(n-1) + n

**Problem 2** (**Longest Common Subsequence - Python**) Consider the two algorithms for the Longest Common Subsequence problem, shown in Figures 1 and 2. Each algorithm takes two strings, X and Y, and two natural numbers, i and j, and returns the length of the longest common subsequence (LCS) between the prefixes X [0..i] and Y [0..j] of the given strings. The algorithm shown in Figure 1 is a naive recursive algorithm whereas the algorithm shown in Figure 2 is a slight variation with memoization. We can compute the length of the LCS of two given strings, using these two algorithms, as illustrated in Figure 3.

In the following, m is the length of the string  ${\tt X},$  and n is the length of the string  ${\tt Y}.$ 

- (a) (20 points) According to the cost model of Python, the cost of computing the length of a string using the function len is O(1), and the cost of finding the maximum of a list of k numbers using the function  $\max$  is O(k). Based on this cost model:
  - (i) What is the best asymptotic worst-case running time of the naive recursive algorithm shown in Figure 1? Please explain.
  - (ii) What is the best asymptotic worst-case running time of the recursive algorithm with memoization, shown in Figure 2? Please explain.

1

```
def lcs(X,Y,i,j):
    if (i == 0 or j == 0):
        return 0
    elif X[i-1] == Y[j-1]:
        return 1 + lcs(X,Y,i-1,j-1)
    else:
        return max(lcs(X,Y,i,j-1),lcs(X,Y,i-1,j))
```

Figure 1: A recursive algorithm to compute the LCS of two strings

```
def lcs(X,Y,i,j):
    if c[i][j] >= 0:
        return c[i][j]

if (i == 0 or j == 0):
        c[i][j] = 0
    elif X[i-1] == Y[j-1]:
        c[i][j] = 1 + lcs(X,Y,i-1,j-1)
    else:
        c[i][j] = max(lcs(X,Y,i,j-1),lcs(X,Y,i-1,j))
    return c[i][j]
```

Figure 2: A recursive algorithm to compute the LCS of two strings, with memoization

```
X = "acggacgggatctgggtccg"
Y = "tcccacatggtgcttccccg"

LX = len(X)
LY = len(Y)

#uncomment the next line to initialize c (for memoization)
#c = [[-1 for k in range(lY+1)] for l in range(lX+1)]

print "Length of LCS is ", lcs(X,Y,lX,lY)
```

Figure 3: An example: Computing the length of the LCS of two given DNA sequences

- (b) (30 points) Implement these two algorithms using Python. For each algorithm, determine its scalability experimentally by running it with different lengths of strings, in the worst case.
  - (i) Fill in following table with the running times in seconds.

	Algorithm	m=n=5	m = n = 10	m = n = 15	m = n = 20	m=n=25
ſ	Naive					
Ī	Memoziation					

Specify the properties of your machine (e.g., CPU, RAM, OS) where you run your programs.

- (ii) Plot these experimental results in a graph.
- (iii) Discuss the scalability of the algorithms with respect to these experimental results. Do the experimental results confirm the theoretical results you found in (a)?
- (c) (40 points) For each algorithm, determine its average running time experimentally by running it with randomly generated DNA sequences of length m=n. For each length 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, you can randomly generate 30 pairs of DNA sequences, using Sequence Manipulation Suite.<sup>1</sup>
  - (i) Fill in following table with the average running times in seconds  $(\mu)$ , and the standard deviation  $(\sigma)$ .

Algorithm	m=n=5		m = n = 10		m = n = 15		m=n=20		m=n=25	
	$\mu$	σ	$\mu$	$\sigma$	$\mu$	$\sigma$	$\mu$	$\sigma$	$\mu$	$\sigma$
Naive										
Memoization										

- (ii) Plot these experimental results in a graph.
- (iii) Discuss how the average running times observed in your experiments grow, compared to the worst case running times observed in (b).

https://www.bioinformatics.org/sms2/random\_dna.html.