#### **Summer School on First Principles Calculations for Condensed Matter and Nanoscience**

August 21 – September 3, 2005 Santa Barbara, California

# Density-Functional Perturbation Theory: basics

X. Gonze, Université Catholique de Louvain

### Plan

- 1. Material properties from total energy derivatives
- 2. The treatment of perturbations in ordinary quantum mechanics
- 3. Perturbation theory of variational principles
- 4. Density-Functional Perturbation Theory

### References:

```
S. Baroni, P. Giannozzi and A. Testa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1861 (1987)
```

- X. Gonze & J.-P. Vigneron, *Phys. Rev. B* 39, 13120 (1989)
- X. Gonze, *Phys. Rev. A* <u>52</u>, 1096 (1995)
- S. de Gironcoli, *Phys. Rev. B* <u>51</u>, 6773 (1995)
- X. Gonze, *Phys. Rev. B*. 55, 10337 (1997)
- X. Gonze & C. Lee, *Phys. Rev. B*. <u>55</u>, 10355 (1997)

S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, P. Giannozzi, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>73</u>, 515 (2001)

### **Density Functional Theory**

\* Total energy and density as a function of Kohn-Sham wavefunctions

$$E_{el} = \langle |T+\underline{V}| \rangle + E_{Hxc}[]$$

$$(\vec{r}) = \langle \vec{r} \rangle (\vec{r})$$

$$,occ$$

Either: solve Kohn-Sham equations self-consistently

or: minimize  $E_{el}$  (variational!) under orthonormalization constraints.

Can use different representations of wavefunctions

Fix the potential => fix the system (unit cell parameters, nuclei types and positions)

Here, compute the response of the system to small modifications...

### **Density - Functional Perturbation Theory**

Many physical properties are derivatives of the total energy (or a suitable thermodynamic potential) with respect to perturbations.

Let us consider the following perturbations:

- atomic displacements (phonons)
- dilatation/contraction of the primitive cell
- homogeneous external field (electric field ...)

Derivatives of the total energy (electronic part + nuclei-nuclei interaction):

entropy, thermal expansion, phonon-limited thermal conductivity ...

```
1st order derivatives: forces, stresses, dipole moment ...
2nd order derivatives: dynamical matrix, elastic constants, dielectric susceptibility atomic polar tensors or Born effective charge tensors piezoelectricity, internal strains
3rd order derivatives: non-linear dielectric susceptibility phonon - phonon interaction, Grüneisen parameters, ...
Further properties obtained by integration over phononic degrees of freedom:
```

### **Perturbations**

\* Variation of energy and density around a fixed potential

$$E_{el}(\ ) = \ )_{,occ} \langle \ (\ )|\hat{T} + \underline{\hat{V}}(\ )| \ (\ )\rangle + E_{Hxc} \ (\ )_{(\ }$$

$$(\vec{r};\ ) = \ )_{,occ} * (\vec{r};\ ) \ (\vec{r};\ )$$

\* Perturbations (assumed known through all orders)

$$\hat{V}() = \hat{V}^{(0)} + \hat{V}^{(1)} + \hat{V}^{(2)} + \dots$$

i.e.: to investigate phonons, the parameter of the perturbation governs linearly the nuclei displacement, but note that the change of potential is non-linear in this parameter.

$$V_{ph}(\vec{r}) = V(\vec{r} - (\vec{a} + \vec{u})) - V(\vec{r} - \vec{a})$$

$$: nuclei$$

$$\vec{u} = \vec{e} \cos(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{a})$$
small 'polarisation' phonon
parameter of the phonon wavevector

### More perturbations ...

\* Dilatation / Contraction

- \*  $\frac{}{\vec{q}}$  of another perturbation
- \* 'Alchemical' perturbation

. 
$$\hat{V}_{A}$$
  $_{B}$  [ for example  $V_{Pb}$   $_{Au} = V_{Au} - V_{Pb}$ ]
.  $\hat{V}_{so}$ 

- \* \_\_ in classical dynamics for ions
- \*  $\vec{B}$  Magnetic field

### Total energy changes

$$E = E^{(0)} + E^{(1)} + {}^{2}E^{(2)} + \dots$$

2<sup>nd</sup> order derivatives : dielectric susceptibility

elastic constants dynamical matrix

=Linear - response theory : Baroni, Giannozzi, Testa, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>58</u>, 1861 (1987)

3<sup>rd</sup> order derivatives : non-linear responses

X. Gonze & J.-P. Vigneron, *Phys. Rev. B* 39, 13120 (1989)

DFPT allows to compute  $E^{(1)}$ ,  $E^{(2)}$  (as well as  $E^{(3)}$ ,  $E^{(4)}$ ...)

X.Gonze *Phys. Rev A* <u>52</u>, 1096 (1995)

$$=$$
  $^{(0)}$  +  $^{(1)}$  +  $^{2}$   $^{(2)}$  + ...

### How is it possible to get energy derivatives?

\* Finite Differences

Compare 
$$E\{ ; V_{ext} \}$$
 and  $E'\{ '; V'_{ext} \}$ 

'Direct' Approach (Frozen phonons ...)
[Note problem with commensurability]

\* Hellman - Feynman theorem (for  $E^{(1)}$ )

Due to variational character:  $\frac{E}{-}=0$ 

$$\frac{dE}{d} = \frac{E}{V_{ext}} \frac{V_{ext}}{V_{ext}} + \frac{E}{U_{ext}} \cdot \frac{E}{V_{ext}} = \frac{E}{V_{ext}} V_{ext}^{(1)}$$

In order to get  $E^{(1)}$  we do not need

### General framework of perturbation Theory

\* 
$$A() = A^{(0)} + A^{(1)} + {}^{2}A^{(2)} + {}^{3}A^{(3)}...$$

\* 
$$E\left\{ ; V_{ext} \right\}$$

Hypothesis: we know 
$$V_{ext}$$
 ( )=  $V_{ext}^{(0)} + V_{ext}^{(1)} + {}^{2}V_{ext}^{(2)} + ...$ 

through all orders, as well as (0),  $n^{(0)}$ ,  $E^{(0)}$ 

We would like to calculate

$$E^{(1)}$$
,  $E^{(2)}$ ,  $E^{(3)}$ ...

$$n^{(1)}, n^{(2)}, n^{(3)}...$$

$$(1)$$
,  $(2)$ ,  $(3)$  ...

$$(1)$$
,  $(2)$ ,  $(3)$  ...

### Perturbation theory for ordinary quantum mechanics

$$(\hat{H} - ) \mid \rangle = 0$$
 (Schrödinger equation)  
 $\langle \mid \rangle = 1$  (normalisation condition)  
 $\langle \mid \hat{H} - \mid \rangle = 0$   
or  $= \langle \mid \hat{H} \mid \rangle$  (expectation value)

The Hamiltonian is supposed known through all orders

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}^{(1)} + \hat{H}^{(2)} + \dots = n^{n} \hat{H}^{(n)}$$

### Perturbation expansion of the Schrödinger Equation

Suppose  $\hat{H}() \mid n() \rangle = n \mid n() \rangle$  valid for all

with 
$$\begin{cases} \hat{H}(\cdot) = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}^{(1)} \\ n(\cdot) = \frac{(0)}{n} + \frac{(1)}{n} + \frac{2}{n} + \dots \\ n(\cdot) = \frac{(0)}{n} + \frac{(1)}{n} + \frac{2}{n} + \dots \end{cases}$$

One expands the Schrödinger equation:

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}^{(0)} \Big| & \stackrel{(0)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle + & \left( \hat{H}^{(1)} \Big| & \stackrel{(0)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle + \hat{H}^{(0)} \Big| & \stackrel{(1)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle \Big) + & \frac{2}{_{n}} \left( \hat{H}^{(1)} \Big| & \stackrel{(1)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle + \hat{H}^{(0)} \Big| & \stackrel{(2)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle \right) + \dots \\ &= & \stackrel{(0)}{_{n}} \Big| & \stackrel{(0)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle + & \left( & \stackrel{(1)}{_{n}} \Big| & \stackrel{(0)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle + & \stackrel{(0)}{_{n}} \Big| & \stackrel{(1)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle \Big) + & \frac{2}{_{n}} \left( & \stackrel{(2)}{_{n}} \Big| & \stackrel{(0)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle + & \stackrel{(1)}{_{n}} \Big| & \stackrel{(1)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle + & \stackrel{(0)}{_{n}} \Big| & \stackrel{(2)}{_{n}} \Big\rangle \Big) + \dots \end{split}$$

In = 0, one gets 
$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(0)} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}$$
 no surprise ...

Derivation with respect to  $\cdot$ , then = 0 (=first order of perturbation)

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(0)} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix}$$

2 derivations with respect to  $\cdot$ , then  $\cdot = 0$  (=second order of perturbation)

$$= > \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \begin{vmatrix} & (1) \\ & n \end{vmatrix} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(0)} \begin{vmatrix} & (2) \\ & n \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} & (2) \\ & n \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} & (0) \\ & n \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} & (1) \\ & n \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} & (1) \\ & n \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} & (0) \\ & n \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} & (2) \\ & n \end{vmatrix}$$

### Perturbation expansion of the normalisation condition

If 
$$\forall : \langle n(\forall) | n(\forall) \rangle = 1$$
  
with  $n() = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ n \end{pmatrix} + \dots$ 

With the same technique than for the Schrödinger equation, one deduces

# Hellmann & Feynman theorem: $\binom{(1)}{n}$

Starting from the first-order Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ n \end{vmatrix} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(0)} \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ n \end{vmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ n \end{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ n \end{pmatrix}$$

Premultiplication by  $\begin{pmatrix} & (0) \\ & n \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} \binom{(0)}{n} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | & \binom{(0)}{n} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} \binom{(0)}{n} | \hat{H}^{(0)} | & \binom{(1)}{n} \right\rangle = & \binom{(1)}{n} \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} \binom{(0)}{n} | & \binom{(0)}{n} \right\rangle + & \binom{(0)}{n} \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} \binom{(0)}{n} | & \binom{(1)}{n} \right\rangle \\ & = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

So:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1) \\ n \end{pmatrix} = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} (0) \\ n \end{pmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} (0) \\ n \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

= Hellmann & Feynman theorem

Notes:

\* 
$$(0)$$
 and  $\hat{H}^{(1)}$  are supposed known

\*  $\binom{(1)}{n}$  is not needed

\*  $\left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} (0) & \hat{H}^{(1)} & (0) \\ n & n \end{array} \right\rangle$  = expectation value of the Hamiltonian for the non-perturbed wavefunction

\* generalisation

$$\left| \frac{d_{n}}{d} \right| = \left\langle n() \left| \frac{d\hat{H}}{d} \right| n() \right\rangle$$



### The second order derivative of total energy

Starting from the second-order Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(0)} \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Premultiplication by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$(2) = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (0) \left| \hat{H}^{(I)} - \begin{array}{c} (I) \\ \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c} (I) \\ \end{array} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (0) \left| \hat{H}^{(I)} \right| \end{array} \right| \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \left| \hat{H}^{(I)} \right| \end{array} \right| \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (0) \\ \end{array} \right\rangle \right)$$

No knowledge of (2) is needed, but one needs (1)! How to get it?

# In search of (1)<sub>n</sub>

Again the first-order Schrödinger equation:

$$\frac{\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \mid \stackrel{(0)}{\underset{\mathbf{n}}{|}} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(0)} \qquad \stackrel{(1)}{\underset{\mathbf{n}}{|}} = \stackrel{(1)}{\underset{\mathbf{n}}{|}} \mid \stackrel{(0)}{\underset{\mathbf{n}}{|}} + \stackrel{(0)}{\underset{\mathbf{n}}{|}} \qquad \stackrel{(1)}{\underset{\mathbf{n}}{|}}$$
known

Terms containing  $\binom{1}{n}$  are gathered:

$$\left(\underline{\hat{H}}^{(0)} - {\scriptstyle \begin{array}{c} (0) \\ n \end{array}}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} (1) \\ n \end{array}\right) = -\left(\underline{\hat{H}}^{(1)} - {\scriptstyle \begin{array}{c} (1) \\ n \end{array}}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} (0) \\ n \end{array}\right)$$

(called Sternheimer equation)

Equivalence with the matrix equation (systeme of linear equations)

usually solved by 
$$\frac{\underline{A} \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{y}}{\underline{x} = \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} \underline{y}}$$
 if  $\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}$  exist.

Problem: 
$$\left(\hat{H}^{(0)} - {0 \choose n}\right)$$
 is not invertible!

Indeed  $\left(\hat{H}^{(0)} - {0 \choose n}\right) \begin{vmatrix} {0 \choose n} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \left.\hat{H}^{(0)}\right| \begin{vmatrix} {0 \choose n} \\ \end{pmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} {0 \choose n} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} {0 \choose n} \\ \end{pmatrix}$ 

# Sum-over-states solution of the Sternheimer equation

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(0)} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ n \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n \end{pmatrix}$$

Define the complete orthonormal basis associated to  $\hat{H}^{(0)}$ 

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} \binom{(0)}{m} & \text{such that} & \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(0)} & \binom{(0)}{m} = \binom{(0)}{m} & \binom{(0)}{m} \right\}$$

Représentation of the Sternheimer equation in this basis

$$C_{mn}^{(1)} = \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} (0) & (1) \\ m & n \end{array} \right\rangle \qquad \left| \begin{array}{c} (1) \\ n \end{array} \right\rangle = C_{mn}^{(1)} \left| \begin{array}{c} (0) \\ m \end{array} \right\rangle$$

### Sum-over-states solution of the Sternheimer equation (II)

$$\begin{pmatrix} {}^{(0)}_{m} - {}^{(0)}_{n} \end{pmatrix} C^{(1)}_{mn} = - \begin{pmatrix} {}^{(0)}_{m} | \hat{H}^{(1)} | {}^{(0)}_{n} \end{pmatrix} + {}^{(1)}_{n} {}^{(1)}_{mn}$$

(1) For 
$$m=n$$
,  $0 \cdot C_{nn}^{(1)} = -\left\langle \begin{array}{cc} \binom{(0)}{n} H^{(1)} & \binom{(0)}{n} \right\rangle + \binom{(1)}{n} \\ = 0 & \text{due to Hellmann \& Feynman theorem} \end{array}$ 

=>  $C_{nn}^{(1)}$  undetermination

(2) For 
$$m \neq n$$
,  $\underset{\equiv}{\underline{si}} \quad {}_{m}^{(0)} - {}_{n}^{(0)} \quad 0$  (non degenerate case)

$$C_{mn}^{(1)} = -\frac{\left\langle \begin{array}{c} (0) | \hat{H}^{(1)} | & (0) \\ m & - \end{array} \right\rangle}{\begin{pmatrix} (0) & (0) \\ m & - \end{array}}$$

Thus
$$\begin{vmatrix} \binom{(1)}{n} & \binom{(0)}{m} & - \binom{(0)}{n} \\ \binom{(1)}{n} & \binom{(0)}{n} & - \frac{\begin{pmatrix} \binom{(0)}{m} & \binom{(0)}{k} & \binom{(0)}{n} & \binom{(0)}{n} \\ \binom{(0)}{m} & - \binom{(0)}{n} & \binom{(0)}{m} & - \binom{(0)}{n} \\ \end{pmatrix} }{}_{m} = \begin{pmatrix} \binom{(0)}{m} & \binom{(0)}{m} & \binom{(0)}{m} & \binom{(0)}{m} & \binom{(0)}{m} & - \binom{(0)}{m} & \binom{(0)}{m} & - \binom{$$

$$\frac{\left|\begin{array}{c|c} (0) \\ m \end{array}\right\rangle \left\langle\begin{array}{c|c} (0) \\ m \end{array}\right| \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \left|\begin{array}{c|c} (0) \\ n \end{array}\right\rangle}{\begin{pmatrix} (0) \\ m \end{array} = \begin{pmatrix} (0) \\ n \end{pmatrix}}$$

actually, the undetermined coefficient can be set to 0!

### The 1st order derivative of the wavefunctions

(1) 
$$(\hat{H}^{(0)} - {}^{(0)}) \mid {}^{(I)}\rangle = -(\hat{H}^{(I)} - {}^{(I)}) \mid {}^{(0)}\rangle$$
  
(Sternheimer equation)

Should be inverted to find  $\mid {}^{(I)}\rangle$ 
Operator  $(\hat{H}^{(0)} - {}^{(0)})^{-I}$  is singular

projection on subspace to  $\mid {}^{(0)}\rangle$ 

(2) 
$$P (\hat{H}^{(0)} - \hat{H}^{(0)})P \begin{vmatrix} (I) \end{pmatrix} = -P \hat{H}^{(I)} \begin{vmatrix} (0) \end{pmatrix}$$

(3) 
$$\hat{P} \mid {}^{(I)} \rangle = \hat{G} \quad (E \mid) \hat{H}^{(I)} \mid {}^{(0)} \rangle$$
  
where  $\hat{G} \quad (E \mid) = \hat{P} \quad [\hat{P} \quad ({}^{(0)} - \hat{H}^{(0)}) \hat{P} \quad]^{-1} \hat{P}$   
(Green's function technique)

(Sum Over States technique)

# The computation of (3) (I)

\* Starting from (now we consider higher-order contributions for the Hamiltonian again)

$$(\hat{H}^{(0)} - {}^{(0)}) \Big| \ {}^{(3)} \Big\rangle + (\hat{H}^{(1)} - {}^{(1)}) \Big| \ {}^{(2)} \Big\rangle + (\hat{H}^{(2)} - {}^{(2)}) \Big| \ {}^{(1)} \Big\rangle + (\hat{H}^{(3)} - {}^{(3)}) \Big| \ {}^{(0)} \Big\rangle = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (3) = \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} (0) \middle| \hat{H}^{(3)} \middle| & (0) \right\rangle \\ & + \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} (0) \middle| \hat{H}^{(2)} - & (2) \middle| & (1) \right\rangle \\ & + \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} (0) \middle| \hat{H}^{(I)} - & (1) \middle| & (2) \right\rangle \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$
 is needed in this formula

# The computation of (3) (II)

\* However, the perturbation expansion of  $0 = \langle |\hat{H} - | \rangle$  at third order gives:

It can be seen that the sum of terms in a row or in a column vanishes! (Exercice!)
We get rid off the two last columns and the two last rows, rearrange the equation, and get:

$$\begin{aligned} ^{(3)} &= \left\langle \begin{array}{cc} ^{(0)} \left| \hat{H}^{(3)} \right| & ^{(0)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{cc} ^{(I)} \left| \hat{H}^{(2)} \right| & ^{(0)} \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \begin{array}{cc} ^{(0)} \left| \hat{H}^{(2)} \right| & ^{(I)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{cc} ^{(I)} \left| \hat{H}^{(I)} - ^{(I)} \right| & ^{(I)} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

[ We have used 
$$\langle \ ^{(0)} | \ ^{(0)} \rangle = I$$
 and  $\langle \ ^{(0)} | \ ^{(I)} \rangle + \langle \ ^{(I)} | \ ^{(0)} \rangle = 0$  ]

(2) is not needed in this formula

# Variational Principle for the lowest (2) (Hylleraas principle)

$$(2) = \min_{(I)} \left\{ \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} (I) & \hat{H}^{(I)} & (0) \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} (I) & \hat{H}^{(0)} - (0) \\ \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{c|c} (I) & \hat{H}^{(2)} & (0) \\ \end{array} \right\} + \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} (I) & \hat{H}^{(1)} & (I) \\ \end{array} \right\rangle \right\}$$

with the following constraint on (1):

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} (0) & (1) \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} (1) & (0) \\ \end{array} \right\rangle = 0$$

It allows to recover Sternheimer's equation:

$$\frac{1}{(1)}$$
 [...] = 0 + a Lagrange multiplier

$$(\hat{H}^{(0)} - {}^{(0)}) \Big| {}^{(1)} \Big\rangle + (\hat{H}^{(1)} - {}^{(1)}) \Big| {}^{(0)} \Big\rangle = 0$$

Equivalence of: \* Minimization of (2)

- \* Sternheimer equation
- \* Green's function technique
- \* Sum over States
- \* Finite differences + limit

### Perturbation of a variational principle (I)

$$E^{(0)}$$
 {  $(0)$ } variational  $E^{(1)}$  {  $(0)$ } (Hellman -Feynman) non-variational  $E^{(2)}$  {  $(0)$ ;  $(1)$ } "  $E^{(3)}$  {  $(0)$ ;  $(1)$ ;  $(2)$ } " Is it the best?

- \* Let us suppose that we know the correct wavefunctions  $\bigcirc$  through order  $\stackrel{n-1}{\bigcirc} = _{n-1} + O(^n)$  where  $_{n-1} = ^{(0)} + ^{(1)} + ... + ^{n-1} ^{(n-1)}$
- \* Variational property of the energy functional

$$E\left\{\begin{array}{c} trial + O(t) = E\left\{\begin{array}{c} trial \end{array}\right\} + O(t^2)$$

\* Set 
$$_{trial} = _{n-1}$$
;  $= ^{n}$ 

$$E\{_{n-1}\} = E\{_{n-1}\} + O(_{n-1}^{2n})$$
the knowledge of  $_{n-1}$  gives  $E$  up to order  $_{n-1}^{2n-1}$ 
the knowledge of  $_{n}$  gives  $E$  up to order  $_{n-1}^{2n-1}$ 

$$(2n+1)^{n}$$
 theorem'

### Perturbation of a variational principle (II)

\* If the variational principle is an external principle

[ the error is either > 0 - minimal principle 
or < 0 - maximal principle - ]

the leading missing term is also of definite signe also an extremal principle

To summarize:

$$E^{(0)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (0) \\ \end{array} \right\}$$
 variational  $E^{(1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (0) \\ \end{array} \right\}$  variational with respect to  $E^{(2)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (0) \\ \end{array} \right\}$  variational with respect to  $E^{(3)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (0) \\ \end{array} \right\}$  variational with respect to  $E^{(4)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (0) \\ \end{array} \right\}$  variational with respect to  $E^{(5)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (0) \\ \end{array} \right\}$  variational with respect to  $E^{(5)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (0) \\ \end{array} \right\}$ 

Note: for mixed derivatives, similar expressions exists; however the extremal property is lost, but the 'stationarity' is preserved

$$\begin{bmatrix}
E^{j_1j_2} \left\{ & ^{(0)}; & ^{j_I} \right\} \\
E^{j_1j_2} \left\{ & ^{(0)}; & ^{j_2} \right\}
\end{bmatrix} \text{ exist ! but non-stationary}$$

$$E^{j_1j_2} \left\{ & ^{(0)}; & ^{j_I}; & ^{j_2} \right\} \text{ stationary}$$

# **Basic equations in DFT**

DFT 
$$\begin{cases} \text{Minimize } E_{el} \left\{ \right. \right\} = \overset{occ}{\langle} \left. \left| \hat{T} + \hat{V} \right| \right. \right\rangle + E_{Hxc} \left[ \right. \right] \\ \text{with } \left( \vec{r} \right) = \overset{occ}{\langle} (\vec{r}) (\vec{r}) \right. \\ \text{under constraint} \left\langle \right. \left. \right| \right\rangle = \end{aligned}$$

or solve self-consistently Kohn-Sham equations, with

$$\hat{H} \mid \hat{J} = \hat{J} \mid \hat{J} = \hat{J} \mid \hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V} + \hat{J} = \hat{E}_{Hxc}$$

### **Basic equations in DFPT**

#### **DFPT**

Minimize wrt (1):

$$E_{el}^{(2)}\left\{\begin{array}{c} (I); & (0) \\ \\ + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{H}^{(0)} - \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{H}^{(0)} - \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \\ \end{aligned} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{array}{c} (I) \middle| \hat{V$$

or solve self-consistently the Sternheimer equation, with

$$\hat{H}^{(0)} - \hat{U}^{(0)} = -(\hat{H}^{(1)} - \hat{U}^{(1)}) = -(\hat{H}^{(1)} - \hat{U}^{(1)}) = \hat{U}^{(0)}$$

$$\hat{H}^{(1)} = \hat{V}^{(1)} + \frac{{}^{2}E_{Hxc}}{(r) (r')} \hat{U}^{(1)}(r') dr'$$

$$\hat{U}^{(1)} = \left\langle \hat{U}^{(0)} \middle| \hat{H}^{(1)} \middle| \hat{U}^{(0)} \right\rangle$$

# Order of calculations in DFPT (for linear-response)

(1) Ground-state calculation

$$V_{ext}^{(0)}$$
 (0),  $n^{(0)}$ 

- (2) Do for each perturbation  $j_1$  use (0),  $n^{(0)}$ 

  - $V_{ext}^{j_1}$   $j_1$ ,  $n^{j_1}$ Sternheimer equation

Enddo

(3) Do for each  $\{j_1, j_2\}$ 

```
<u>either</u> get E^{j1j2} stationary using both j1 and j2
             get E^{jl}j^2 using jl
    or
Enddo
```

(4) Post-processing : from 'bare'  $E^{j1j2}$ to physical properties

### **Example: 1-dimensional diatomic linear chain [only phonons]**

 $ph_A$  $ph_B$ E

(coordinate dilatation)

- (2) Get  $ph_A$ ,  $ph_B$ , E, for all bands, self-consistently
- (3) Get  $E^{jlj^2}$   $ph_A$   $ph_A$  E  $ph_A$   $D^{anal}_{AA}$   $D^{anal}_{AB}$   $Z_A$  A either stationary or interchange  $ph_B$   $D^{anal}_{AB}$   $D^{anal}_{BB}$   $Z_B$   $\overline{f}$   $\overline{f}$   $\overline{c}$  E=0

(4) Get physical properties [schematic formulas ...] or D = 0 fixed

E = 0 fixed

$$D = D^{anal} + \underline{Z^*Z^*}$$

$$D = D^{anal} + \frac{Z^*Z^*}{D - M^2} \qquad c = \overline{c} + \frac{D^{anal}}{D^{anal}}$$

$$c = \overline{c} + \frac{1}{D^{anal}}$$

### Treatment of phonons: factorization of the phase

\* Suppose the unperturbed system is periodic

$$V^{(0)}(\vec{r}+\vec{R}_a) = V^{(0)}(\vec{r})$$

\* If the perturbation is characterized by a wavevector:

$$V^{(1)}(\vec{r} + \vec{R}_a) = e^{i\vec{q}.\vec{R}_a} V^{(1)}(\vec{r})$$

all the responses, at linear order, will also be characterized by a wavevector:

$$n^{(1)}(\vec{r} + \vec{R}_a) = e^{i\vec{q}.\vec{R}_a} n^{(1)}(\vec{r})$$

$${}^{(1)}_{m,\vec{k},\vec{q}}(\vec{r} + \vec{R}_a) = e^{i(\vec{k} + \vec{q})\vec{R}_a} {}^{(1)}_{m,\vec{k},\vec{q}}(\vec{r})$$

\* Now, we define related periodic quantities

$$\bar{n}^{(1)}(\vec{r}) = e^{-i\vec{q}\,\vec{r}} n^{(1)}(\vec{r}) 
u_{m,\vec{k},\vec{q}}^{(1)}(\vec{r}) = (N_0)^{1/2} e^{-i(\vec{k}+\vec{q})\vec{r}} \qquad_{m,\vec{k},\vec{q}}^{(1)}(\vec{r})$$

\* In the equations of DFPT, only these periodic quantities appear: the phases

$$e^{-i\vec{q}.\vec{r}}$$
 and  $e^{-i(\vec{k}+\vec{q})\vec{r}}$  can be factorized

\* The treatment of perturbations incommensurate with the unperturbed system periodicity, including electric fields, is mapped onto the original periodic system.