

**Mathematical Foundations of Data Science** 

13 MAY 2018

WORKAROUNDS - <a href="https://github.com/abinthomasonline/CH5019">https://github.com/abinthomasonline/CH5019</a>
JUPYTER NOTEBOOK - <a href="https://bit.ly/2lxLxd9">https://bit.ly/2lxLxd9</a>

# SUBMITTED BY

ABIN THOMAS - NA15B001 MICHAEL THOMAS - CH15B038

# **Question 1**

Write your own code to fit a logistic regression model to the data set described below in a pro- gramming language of your choice. (IMPORTANT: DO NOT USE ANY IN-BUILT LIBRARIES)

#### **Description of Data Set 1:**

This data set describes the operating conditions of a reactor and contains class labels about whether the reactor will operate or fail under those operating conditions. Your job is to construct a logistic regression model to predict the same.

q1\_data\_matrix.csv: This file contains a 1000 x 5 data matrix. The 5 features are the operating conditions of the reactor; their corresponding ranges
are described below:

Temperature: 400-700 K
 Pressure: 1-50 bar

3. Feed Flow Rate: 50-200 kmol/hr4. Coolant Flow Rate: 1000-3600 L/hr

5. Inlet Reactant Concentration: 0.1-0.5 mol fraction

- q1\_labels.csv: This file contains a 1000 × 1 vector of 0/1 labels for whether the reactor will operate or fail under the corresponding operating conditions.
  - 0: The reactor will operate well under the operating conditions
  - 1: The reactor fails under the operating conditions

#### **Some General Guidelines:**

- 1. Partition your data into a training set and a test set. Keep 70% of your data for training and set aside the remaining 30% for testing.
- Fit a logistic regression model on the training set. Choose an appropriate objective function to quantify classification error. Manually code for the gradient descent procedure used to find optimum model parameters. (Note: You may need to perform multiple initializations to avoid local minima)
- 3. Evaluate the performance of above model on your test data. Report the confusion matrix and the F1 Score.

# Solution

# **Import Libraries**

```
In [1]: import numpy as np import pandas as pd
```

#### Load data

Use  ${\tt pandas.read\_csv}$  method to load data and label the columns accordingly.

```
In [2]: features = pd.read_csv('../data/q1_data_matrix.csv', header=None, names=['temp', 'press', 'ffr', 'cfr', 'irc'])
labels = pd.read_csv('../data/q1_labels.csv', header=None, names=['oper'])
```

# **Data Exploration**

Have a look on the first few rows using pandas. DataFrame. head method.

```
In [3]: features.head()
```

#### Out[3]:

	temp	press	ffr	cfr	irc
0	406.86	17.66	121.83	2109.20	0.1033
1	693.39	24.66	133.18	3138.96	0.3785
2	523.10	23.23	146.55	1058.24	0.4799
3	612.86	40.97	94.44	1325.12	0.3147
4	500.28	37.44	185.48	2474.51	0.2284

```
In [4]: labels.head()
Out[4]:

oper
0 0.0
1 0.0
2 1.0
```

All features are real numbers so no encoding required.

Get the number of samples using pandas.DataFrame.shape method.

```
In [5]: features.shape[0]
Out[5]: 1000
```

As the number is just 1000 no need to use batch or stochastic methods for gradient descent.

Use pandas.DataFrame.isnull & pandas.DataFrame.sum together to get the count of rows with null values.

There is no null values in the data. No need to drop any rows.

Find the distribution of samples across two classes using  ${\tt pandas.Series.value\_counts}$ .

# **Split Data**

3 1.04 0.0

Split data into train and test, 70% and 30% respectively using numpy.split.

```
In [7]: [train_X, test_X] = np.split(features, [int(0.7*features.shape[0])], axis=0)
[train_Y, test_Y] = np.split(labels, [int(0.7*labels.shape[0])], axis=0)
```

Check distribution of samples across the classes in the training set.

Both the classes are sufficiently represented.

### **Feature Scaling**

Subtract every feature by corresponding mean and divide by corresponding standard deviation. Use pandas.DataFrame.mean and pandas.DataFrame.std for mean and standard deviation respectively.

```
In [9]: X = (train_X-train_X.mean())/train_X.std()
X.head()
```

Out[9]:

	temp	press	ffr	cfr	irc
0	-1.598642	-0.534905	-0.063694	-0.207417	-1.705472
1	1.685504	-0.044677	0.200960	1.142378	0.689765
2	-0.266323	-0.144823	0.512715	-1.585001	1.572312
3	0.762487	1.097555	-0.702361	-1.235179	0.134473
4	-0.527881	0.850340	1.420466	0.271426	-0.616649

# **Bias Term**

Add a column of all ones to train\_X.

```
In [10]: X.insert(0, 'bias', 1)
    X.head()
```

Out[10]:

	bias	temp	temp press		cfr	irc
0	1	-1.598642	-0.534905	-0.063694	-0.207417	-1.705472
1	1	1.685504	-0.044677	0.200960	1.142378	0.689765
2	1	-0.266323	-0.144823	0.512715	-1.585001	1.572312
3	1	0.762487	1.097555	-0.702361	-1.235179	0.134473
4	1	-0.527881	0.850340	1.420466	0.271426	-0.616649

# Sigmoid

Define a function sigmoid that computes sigmoid of input values.

```
In [11]: def sigmoid(z):
          return 1/(1+np.exp(-z))
          sigmoid(0)
```

Out[11]: 0.5

#### Loss

Define a function cost that computes loss given prediction and labels.

```
In [12]: def cost(probability, label):
    return (-label*np.log(probability)-(1-label)*np.log(1-probability)).mean()
```

# Gradient

Define gradient function that computes gradient with respect to each parameter given features, labels and parameters.

```
In [13]: def gradient(features, parameters, labels):
    h = sigmoid(np.dot(features, parameters))
    return np.dot(features.transpose(), h-labels)/labels.shape[0]
```

### **Gradient Descent**

gradient\_descent function that performs iterations of gradient descent and returns parameters given features, labels, learning rate and number of iterations.

```
In [14]: def gradient_descent(features, labels, learning_rate, number_of_iterations):
    parameters = np.random.normal(0, 1, features.shape[1])
    for i in range(number_of_iterations):
        grad = gradient(features, parameters, labels)
        parameters -= learning_rate*grad
        '''print(i+1, cost(sigmoid(np.dot(features, parameters)), labels), grad)'''
    return parameters
```

#### **Predict**

predict function that returns the predicted labels given features, parameters and threshold.

```
In [15]: def predict(features, parameters, threshold):
    return sigmoid(np.dot(features, parameters)) >= threshold
```

#### **Misclassification Error**

misclassification\_error function returns misclassification error.

```
In [16]: def misclassification_error(predictions, labels):
    return (predictions!=labels).mean()
```

### **Driver Loop**

Do gradient descent to have an idea of the number of iterations at which cost from test set starts going up. Decrease learning rate gradually to avoid local minima and improve learning speed.

```
In [17]: Y = train_Y.iloc[:, 0]
          X t = (test X-test X.mean())/test X.std()
          X_t.insert(0, 'bias', 1)
Y_t = test_Y.iloc[:, 0]
          theta = np.zeros(6)
          theta_i = np.zeros(6)
          alpha = 0.1
          test cost = 1000
          i=1
          while 1:
              theta_i -= alpha*gradient(X, theta, Y)
              train_cost = cost(sigmoid(np.dot(X, theta_i)), Y)
              temp = cost(sigmoid(np.dot(X_t, theta_i)), Y_t)
              if temp>test cost:
                  if alpha>0.000001:
                      alpha/=10
                      continue
                  else:
                      break
              test cost=temp
              theta = theta i
              print(i, train_cost, test_cost)
              i+=1
```

```
1632 0.2607241751931474 0.28092426348015925

1633 0.2607238170484276 0.2809242528705977

1634 0.26072346004264413 0.2809242282368694

1635 0.26072310417203287 0.28092422333367292

1636 0.2607227494328424 0.2809242244070373

1637 0.26072239582133483 0.28092421603193496

1638 0.2607220433337847 0.28092420820875647

1639 0.2607216919664801 0.2809242009348467

1640 0.26072134171572175 0.2809241942075615

1641 0.2607209925778233 0.28092418802426683
```

```
Test error: 0.09
[-1.01870462 0.1953828 0.66090329 0.63696202 -3.55495908 0.09227634]
          Perform iterations of gradient_descent with multiple initialization and print misclassification error.
In [19]: for i in range(20):
             theta i = gradient descent(X, Y, 0.01, 1600)
              mc error train = misclassification error(predict(X, theta i, 0.5), Y)
              mc_error_test = misclassification_error(predict(X_t, theta_i, 0.5), Y_t)
              print(i+1, round(mc_error_train, 4), round(mc_error_test, 4), theta_i)
          1 0.0657 0.1033 [-5.03095568e-01 -1.70836523e-04 4.13488166e-01 4.74309265e-01
           -2.28682538e+00 7.13150376e-02]
          2 0.0557 0.1067 [-0.50793752 0.15955294 0.38612939 0.2182976 -2.01009079 0.02718853]
3 0.0714 0.0967 [-0.73935068 0.25043545 0.82796664 0.7904199 -2.77355241 0.2764856 ]
          4 0.0543 0.1133 [-0.44161326 0.16144658 0.33502178 0.29459462 -1.93068409 0.05319208]
          5 0.0686 0.12 [-0.39112786 0.16357119 0.38356913 0.32158721 -1.9926219 -0.01844572]
          6 0.06 0.1 [-0.52217244 0.16915082 0.28612531 0.28363751 -2.12382762 -0.07722074]
          7 0.0986 0.1067 [-0.62453088 0.16510281 0.12756448 0.01144191 -2.32428645 -0.23065443]
          8 0.06 0.1133 [-0.48234724 0.1757505 0.44621613 0.30844267 -1.96755287 0.02011711]
9 0.0771 0.11 [-0.43312925 0.06651961 0.26355579 0.43734356 -2.20894872 0.04208882]
          10 0.0586 0.1167 [-0.48749015 0.14104629 0.43446645 0.27731126 -1.9194208 0.05177214]
          11 \ 0.0671 \ 0.1067 \ [-0.47859503 \ 0.01657322 \ 0.46685402 \ 0.37200293 \ -2.22441285 \ -0.00238295]
          12 0.0671 0.1067 [-0.38397004 0.08867232 0.30797557 0.29898019 -1.89682828 0.07706732]
          13 0.06 0.1 [-0.48244766 0.01191927 0.39897224 0.28682776 -2.18333109 0.07473783]
          14 0.0686 0.1033 [-0.45565164 0.11617079 0.25989494 0.35206494 -2.12013462 0.00776301]
15 0.0771 0.1033 [-0.52386375 -0.09849776 0.35240341 0.27426714 -2.4493133 -0.01554331]
          16 0.0514 0.0933 [-0.56893082 0.08973322 0.34965325 0.3992079 -2.13750049 0.04141368]
          17 0.0614 0.11 [-4.57267220e-01 -1.15881266e-03 3.65244498e-01 2.37134707e-01
           -2.00484994e+00 8.26189217e-02]
          18 0.0657 0.1167 [-0.36943317 0.06592287 0.37013719 0.29248337 -2.02331092 0.04618403]
          19 0.0786 0.12 [-0.40130605 -0.00530211 0.23703365 0.43881198 -2.61162416 0.041346341
```

#### **Confusion Matrix**

Choose theta with best performance.

print(theta)

Train error : 0.05142857142857143

confusion\_matrix function which returns confusion matrix given predicted labels and original labels.

In [18]: print("Train error : ", misclassification\_error(predict(X, theta, 0.5), Y))

print("Test error : ", misclassification\_error(predict(X\_t, theta, 0.5), Y\_t))

Out[20]:

	Predicted 0	Predicted 1
Actual 0	550	35
Actual 1	22	393

### F1 Score

f1\_score function calculates F1 score given confusion matrix.

```
In [21]: def f1_score(confusion_matrix):
    return (2*confusion_matrix["Predicted 1"]["Actual 1"])/(2*confusion_matrix["Predicted 1"]["Actual 1"]+confusion_matrix["Predicted 0"]["Actual 1"]+confusion_matrix["Predicted 1"]["Actual 0"])

f1_score(CF)
```

Out[21]: 0.9323843416370107

# **Question 2**

Use the same code developed in Question 1 to fit a logistic regression model to the dataset described below.

#### **Description of Data Set 2:**

This data set contains data for credit card fraud detection.

- q2\_data\_matrix.csv: This file contains a 100 x 5 data matrix. The 5 features and their corresponding ranges are described below:
  - 1. Age: 18-100 years
  - 2. Transaction Amount: \$ 0-5000
  - 3. Total Monthly Transactions: \$ 0-50000
  - 4. Annual Income: \$ 30000-1000000
  - 5. Gender: 0/1 (0 Male, 1 Female)
- q2\_labels.csv: This file contains a 1000 × 1 vector of 0/1 labels for whether the transaction is fraudulent or not.
  - 0: The transaction is legitimate
  - 1: The transaction is fraudulent
- 1. Report the confusion matrix and the F1 Score for this data set.
- 2. Which data set gives better results better? Can you think of reasons as to why one data set gives better results than the other? (Hint: Think of assumptions behind the logistic regression model)
- 3. Can you suggest improvements to the logistic regression model to make it perform better on the unfavorable data set?
- 4. Bonus Points!: Implement your suggested improvement as a code and compare the performance of this with vanilla logistic regression.

# **Solution**

# **Load Data**

```
In [22]: features = pd.read_csv('../data/q2_data_matrix.csv', header=None, names=['age', 'tram', 'tomotr', 'anin', 'gen'])
labels = pd.read_csv('../data/q2_labels.csv', header=None, names=['fra'])
```

### **Data Exploration**

In [23]: features.head()

Out[23]:

	age	tram	tomotr	anin	gen
0	31.0	2897.0	49741.0	339500.0	1.0
1	46.0	2087.0	23953.0	935000.0	1.0
2	23.0	1814.0	26056.0	191700.0	0.0
3	94.0	179.0	30250.0	715900.0	0.0
4	26.0	3995.0	39466.0	711900.0	0.0

```
In [24]: labels.head()
```

Out[24]:

	fra
0	1.0
1	0.0
2	1.0
3	0.0
4	0.0

Out[27]:

	age	tram	tomotr	anin	gen
fra					
0.0	57.091483	2418.894322	22141.055205	643522.082019	0.479495
1.0	62.789617	2940.267760	30990.527322	311790.163934	0.543716

# **Split Data**

In [25]: features.shape[0]

```
In [28]: [train_X, test_X] = np.split(features, [int(0.7*features.shape[0])], axis=0)
  [train_Y, test_Y] = np.split(labels, [int(0.7*labels.shape[0])], axis=0)
```

Check distribution of data samples across classes.

Data distribution is around 60%-40%.

# **Feature Scaling**

```
In [30]: X = (train_X-train_X.mean())/train_X.std()
    X.head()
```

Out[30]:

	age	tram	tomotr	anin	gen
0	-1.212844	0.201788	1.676857	-0.634242	0.979496
1	-0.570286	-0.360923	-0.111253	1.534665	0.979496
2	-1.555542	-0.550577	0.034567	-1.172553	-1.019475
3	1.485901	-1.686419	0.325374	0.736668	-1.019475
4	-1.427031	0.964573	0.964401	0.722099	-1.019475

# **Bias Term**

```
In [31]: X.insert(0, 'bias', 1)
X.head()
```

Out[31]:

_						
	bias	age	tram	tomotr	anin	gen
0	1	-1.212844	0.201788	1.676857	-0.634242	0.979496
1	1	-0.570286	-0.360923	-0.111253	1.534665	0.979496
2	1	-1.555542	-0.550577	0.034567	-1.172553	-1.019475
3	1	1.485901	-1.686419	0.325374	0.736668	-1.019475
4	1	-1.427031	0.964573	0.964401	0.722099	-1.019475

#### **Driver Loop**

```
In [32]: Y = train_Y.iloc[:, 0]
          X t = (test X-test X.mean())/test X.std()
          X_t.insert(0, 'bias', 1)
          Y_t = test_Y.iloc[:, 0]
          theta = np.zeros(6)
          theta_i = np.zeros(6)
          alpha = 0.1
          test_cost = 1000
          i = 1
          while 1:
              theta_i -= alpha*gradient(X, theta, Y)
              train_cost = cost(sigmoid(np.dot(X, theta_i)), Y)
              temp = cost(sigmoid(np.dot(X_t, theta_i)), Y_t)
              if temp>test_cost:
                  if alpha>0.000001:
                      alpha/=10
                      continue
                  else:
                      break
              test_cost = temp
              theta = theta i
              print(i, train cost, test cost)
              i+=1
          10433 0.32503496086388933 0.3374106766366127
          10434 0.3250349608638893 0.3374106766366127
          10435 0.3250349608638892 0.3374106766366126
          10436 0.32503496086388933 0.3374106766366124
          10437 0.3250349608638893 0.3374106766366123
          10438 0.3250349608638892 0.33741067663661206
          10439 0.3250349608638893 0.3374106766366119
          10440 0.3250349608638892 0.3374106766366118
          10441 0.32503496086388933 0.3374106766366118
          10442 0.3250349608638892 0.3374106766366117
          10443 0.3250349608638892 0.3374106766366115
          10444 0.3250349608638893 0.33741067663661134
          10445 0.3250349608638892 0.33741067663661123
          10446 0.3250349608638892 0.337410676636611
In [33]: print("Train error : ", misclassification_error(predict(X, theta, 0.5), Y))
          print("Test error : ", misclassification_error(predict(X_t, theta, 0.5), Y_t))
          print(theta)
          Train error: 0.1
          Test error: 0.13
          In [34]: for i in range(20):
              theta i = gradient descent(X, Y, 0.01, 1000)
              mc_error_train = misclassification_error(predict(X, theta_i, 0.5), Y)
              mc_error_test = misclassification_error(predict(X_t, theta_i, 0.5), Y_t)
              print(i+1, round(mc_error_train, 4), round(mc_error_test, 4), theta_i)
          1 0.1443 0.1267 [-0.80333384 0.30825477 0.27741166 0.73786549 -1.07156181 -0.02181775]
          2\ 0.1143\ 0.1433\ [-0.45982168\ 0.09345891\ 0.42228359\ 0.7881262\ -1.31119965\ 0.08785956]
          3 0.1329 0.15 [-0.29010296 0.21698243 0.23755198 0.93149722 -1.37764829 0.16540425]
          4 0.1343 0.1267 [-0.83064526 0.07637762 0.37376378 0.95240723 -1.44414594 -0.15371178]
          5 0.1414 0.13 [-0.95871433 0.65056088 0.26108463 1.12996367 -1.35267248 0.27247142]
          6 0.1771 0.2133 [-0.70488941 0.65644252 1.24130969 1.17727218 -1.44424639 0.06864588]
          7 0.1014 0.1033 [-0.60550231 0.18731487 0.25842826 0.6140183 -1.0639407 -0.04296883]
8 0.1343 0.1533 [-0.48325583 0.1011045 0.50227935 0.792766 -0.98406597 0.02987987]
          9 0.1286 0.14 [-0.92440445 0.11582874 0.56008637 0.73457553 -1.58040824 0.25429075]
          10 0.1286 0.1533 [-0.26472031 0.26068041 0.12954925 0.60965837 -0.99593543 0.27094449]
11 0.1229 0.1467 [-0.7212136 0.31425471 0.74593445 1.00714716 -1.63784677 0.0673217 ]
          12 0.17 0.1833 [-1.1460129 0.92113154 -0.23181316 0.8679345 -1.85512561 0.78895311]
          13 \ 0.1357 \ 0.1267 \ [-0.49686059 \ 0.18045228 \ 0.01858988 \ 0.79172053 \ -1.01388701 \ 0.13475207]
          14 \ 0.1343 \ 0.1733 \ [-0.72801025 \ 0.01210963 \ 0.69415785 \ 0.70146551 \ -1.36409794 \ -0.10412912]
          15 0.1486 0.1667 [-1.43487692 0.58135254 0.95137246 1.05661641 -2.08565155 -0.67582128]
          16 \ 0.1157 \ 0.14 \ [-1.15754051 \ 0.77776456 \ 0.62637834 \ 0.9397739 \ -1.85715543 \ 0.12604622]
          17 0.1457 0.1733 [-1.07091286 0.4534306 0.9788532 0.66063116 -1.69308076 0.34908064]
18 0.1229 0.1533 [-0.86019305 0.0634375 0.66607954 0.65119064 -1.9813613 0.10771826]
          19 0.21 0.19 [-0.94335564 -0.18789854 -0.05548611 0.75361015 -1.11273978 0.25070873]
          20 0.13 0.1233 [-0.71981427 0.11143276 0.05148688 0.68826428 -1.36013398 0.09707957]
```

### **Confusion Matrix**

```
In [35]: X = (features-features.mean())/features.std()
    X.insert(0, 'bias', 1)
    Y = labels.iloc[:, 0]
    CF = confusion_matrix(predict(X, theta, 0.5), Y)
    CF
```

Out[35]:

	Predicted 0	Predicted 1
Actual 0	583	51
Actual 1	56	310

#### F1 Score

```
In [36]: f1_score(CF)
Out[36]: 0.8528198074277854
```

# Inference

The first dataset performs better using this model.

Logistic regression can only classify linearly separable data. This might be the reason for poor performance of second data set. Data sample imbalance across classes also might be a reason.

# **Improvements**

One possible solution is by expanding the basis to include higher order terms of features into dataset. For further improvements remove features that seems to be independent by trial and error to get an optimum set of features.

### **Bonus**

 $\label{lem:define function basis\_expansion} \ \ \text{to introduce second order terms into features set.}$ 

```
In [38]: features = basis_expansion(features)
```

```
In [39]: [train_X, test_X] = np.split(features, [int(0.7*features.shape[0])], axis=0)
         [train_Y, test_Y] = np.split(labels, [int(0.7*labels.shape[0])], axis=0)
         X = (train X-train X.mean())/train X.std()
         X.insert(0, 'bias', 1)
Y = train_Y.iloc[:, 0]
         X_t = (test_X-test_X.mean())/test_X.std()
         X_t.insert(0, 'bias', 1)
         Y_t = test_Y.iloc[:, 0]
         theta = np.zeros(21)
         theta_i = np.zeros(21)
         alpha = 0.1
         test_cost = 1000
         i=1
         while 1:
              theta_i -= alpha*gradient(X, theta, Y)
              train_cost = cost(sigmoid(np.dot(X, theta_i)), Y)
              temp = cost(sigmoid(np.dot(X_t, theta_i)), Y_t)
              if temp>test_cost:
                  if alpha>0.000001:
                      alpha/=10
                      continue
                  else:
                      break
              test_cost = temp
              theta = theta_i
             print(i, train_cost, test_cost)
              i+=1
         2172 0.31701659943561133 0.33049671719211754
         2173\ 0.3170137281318014\ 0.33049671378561624
         2174 0.317010858048474 0.33049671066126163
         2175 0.3170079891848145 0.33049670781857965
         2176 0.3170051215400083 0.3304967052570955
         2177 0.3170022551132422 0.3304967029763375
         2178 0.3169993899037031 0.33049670097583295
```

# Out[41]:

	Predicted 0	Predicted 1
Actual 0	591	43
Actual 1	66	300

CF = confusion\_matrix(predict(X, theta, 0.5), Y)

2179 0.3169965259105795 0.3304966992551112 2180 0.3169936631330602 0.33049669781370267 2181 0.3169908015703349 0.330496696651138 2182 0.3169879412215944 0.33049669576695007

```
In [42]: f1_score(CF)
```

Out[42]: 0.846262341325811

CF

#### **Feature Selection**

Drop features that are independent or irrelevant by trial and error.

```
In [43]: features = features.drop(columns=['44', '02', '04', '13', '14', '24', '34'])
    features = features.drop(columns=['33', '22', '11', '12', '23'])
    '''features = features.drop(columns=['03'])'''
    features.head()
```

Out[43]:

	age	tram	tomotr	anin	gen	00	01	03
0	31.0	2897.0	49741.0	339500.0	1.0	961.0	89807.0	10524500.0
1	46.0	2087.0	23953.0	935000.0	1.0	2116.0	96002.0	43010000.0
2	23.0	1814.0	26056.0	191700.0	0.0	529.0	41722.0	4409100.0
3	94.0	179.0	30250.0	715900.0	0.0	8836.0	16826.0	67294600.0
4	26.0	3995.0	39466.0	711900.0	0.0	676.0	103870.0	18509400.0

```
In [44]: [train_X, test_X] = np.split(features, [int(0.7*features.shape[0])], axis=0)
          [train_Y, test_Y] = np.split(labels, [int(0.7*labels.shape[0])], axis=0)
         X = (train_X-train_X.mean())/train_X.std()
         X.insert(0, 'bias', 1)
Y = train_Y.iloc[:, 0]
         X_t = (test_X-test_X.mean())/test_X.std()
         X_t.insert(0, 'bias', 1)
         Y_t = test_Y.iloc[:, 0]
         theta = np.zeros(9)
         theta_i = np.zeros(9)
          alpha = 0.1
          test_cost = 1000
          i=1
         while 1:
             theta_i -= alpha*gradient(X, theta, Y)
              train_cost = cost(sigmoid(np.dot(X, theta_i)), Y)
              temp = cost(sigmoid(np.dot(X_t, theta_i)), Y_t)
              if temp>test_cost:
                 if alpha>0.000001:
                     alpha/=10
                      continue
                 else:
                     break
              theta = theta_i
              test_cost = temp
             print(i, train_cost, test_cost)
             i+=1
```

```
1773 0.3266623137173051 0.3375566504135404
1774 0.3266610703488829 0.337556641306663
1775 0.3266598276220403 0.3375566328860763
1776 0.3266588555363516 0.33755662515060625
1777 0.3266573440913919 0.3375566180990825
1778 0.32665486312196235 0.3375566117303371
1779 0.32665486312196235 0.3375566060432051
1780 0.32665362359664524 0.33755660103652485
1781 0.32665238471036273 0.3375565967091372
1782 0.3266511464626926 0.3375565930598866
1783 0.32664990885321316 0.3375565900876198
```

Out[46]:

	Predicted 0	Predicted 1
Actual 0	583	51
Actual 1	55	311

```
In [47]: f1_score(CF)
```

Out[47]: 0.8543956043956044