

14. Apply Huygens principle to derive the relation

$$\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left( \frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right)$$

for a thin lens.

(Mysore 1990)

15. State and explain Huygens principle of secondary waves. Apply this principle for explaining the simultaneous reflection and refraction of a plane light wave from a plane surface of separation of two optical media.

[Delhi 1984 ; Delhi (Hons.) 1984]

16. Explain Huygens principle of wave propagation and apply it to prove the laws of reflection of a plane wave at a plane surface.

[Delhi B.Sc.(Hons.) 1991]

17. State the principle of superposition. Give the mathematical theory of interference between two waves of amplitude  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  with phase difference  $\phi$ . Discuss some typical cases.

[Rajasthan 1985]

18. Deduce the laws of reflection with the help of Huygens theory of secondary wavelets.

(Rajasthan 1985)

19. What is Huygens principle ? How would you explain the phenomenon of reflection and refraction of plane waves at plane surfaces on the basis of wave nature of light ?

[Delhi (Sub.) 1986]

20. State and explain Huygens principle of secondary waves.

(Delhi 1988)

21. State and explain Huygens principle of secondary waves.

[Delhi ; 1992]

# 8

## INTERFERENCE

### 8.1 INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon of interference of light has proved the validity of the wave theory of light. Thomas Young successfully demonstrated his experiment on interference of light in 1802. When two or more wave trains act simultaneously on any particle in a medium, the displacement




Fig. 8.1

of the particle at any instant is due to the superposition of all the wave trains. Also, after the superposition, at the region of cross over, the wave trains emerge as if they have not interfered at all. Each wave train retains its individual characteristics. Each wave train behaves as if others are absent. This principle was explained by Huygens in 1678.



The phenomenon of interference of light is due to the superposition of two trains within the region of cross over. Let us consider the waves produced on the surface of water. In Fig. 8.1 points A and B are the two sources which produce waves of equal amplitude and constant phase difference. Waves spread out on the surface of water which are circular in shape. At any instant, the particle will be under the action of the displacement due to both the waves. The points shown by circles in the diagram will have minimum displacement because the crest of one wave falls on the trough of the other and the resultant displacement is zero. The points shown by crosses in the diagram will have maximum displacement because, either the crest of one will combine with the crest of the other or the trough of one will combine with the trough of the other. In such a case, the amplitude of the displacement is twice the amplitude of either of the waves. Therefore, at these points the waves reinforce with each other. As the intensity (energy) is directly proportional to the square of the amplitude ( $I \propto A^2$ ) the intensity at these points is four times the intensity due to one wave. It should be remembered that there is no loss of energy due to interference. The energy is only transferred from the points of minimum displacement to the points of maximum displacement.

## 8.2 YOUNG'S EXPERIMENT

In the year 1802, Young demonstrated the experiment on the interference of light. He allowed sunlight to fall on a pinhole S and then at some distance away on two pinholes A and B (Fig. 8.2).




Fig. 8.2

A and B are equidistant from S and are close to each other. Spherical waves spread out from S. Spherical waves also spread out from A and B. These waves are of the same amplitude and wavelength. On the screen interference bands are produced which are alternatively dark and bright. The points such as E are bright because the crest due to one wave coincides with the crest due to the other and therefore they reinforce with each other. The points such as F are dark because the crest of one falls on the trough of the other and they neutralize the effect of each other. Points, similar to E, where the trough of one falls on the trough of the other, are also bright because the two waves reinforce.

It is not possible to show interference due to two independent sources of light, because a large number of difficulties are involved. The two sources may emit light waves of largely different amplitude and wavelength and the phase difference between the two may change with time.

## 8.3 COHERENT SOURCES

Two sources are said to be coherent if they emit light waves of the same frequency, nearly the same amplitude and are always in phase with each other. It means that the two sources must emit radiations of the same colour (wavelength). In actual practice it is not possible to have two independent sources which are coherent. But for experimental purposes, two virtual sources formed from a single source can act as coherent sources. Methods have been devised where (i) interference of light takes place between the waves from the real source and a virtual source (ii) interference of light takes place between waves from two sources formed due to a single source. In all such cases, the two sources will act, as if they are perfectly similar in all respects.

Since the wavelength of light waves is extremely small (of the order of  $10^{-5}$  cm), the two sources must be narrow and must also be close to each other. Maximum intensity is observed at a point where the phase difference between the two waves reaching the point is a whole number multiple of  $2\pi$  or the path difference between the two waves is a whole number multiple of wavelength. For minimum intensity at a point, the phase difference between the two waves reaching the point should be an odd number multiple of  $\pi$  or the path difference between the two waves should be an odd number multiple of half wavelength.

## 8.4 PHASE DIFFERENCE AND PATH DIFFERENCE

If the path difference between the two waves is  $\lambda$ , the phase difference =  $2\pi$ .

Suppose for a path difference  $x$ , the phase difference is  $\delta$

For a path difference  $\lambda$ , the phase difference =  $2\pi$



∴ For a path difference  $x$ , the phase difference  $= \frac{2\pi x}{\lambda}$

$$\text{Phase difference } \delta = \frac{2\pi x}{\lambda} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \times (\text{path difference})$$

### 8.5 ANALYTICAL TREATMENT OF INTERFERENCE

Consider a monochromatic source of light  $S$  emitting waves of wavelength  $\lambda$  and two narrow pinholes  $A$  and  $B$  (Fig. 8.3).  $A$  and  $B$  are equidistant from  $S$  and act as two virtual coherent sources. Let  $a$  be the amplitude of the waves. The phase difference between the two waves reaching the point  $P$ , at any instant, is  $\delta$ .




Fig. 8.3

If  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are the displacements

$$y_1 = a \sin \omega t$$

$$y_2 = a \sin (\omega t + \delta)$$

$$\therefore y = y_1 + y_2 = a \sin \omega t + a \sin (\omega t + \delta)$$

$$y = a \sin \omega t + a \sin \omega t \cos \delta + a \cos \omega t \sin \delta$$

$$= a \sin \omega t (1 + \cos \delta) + a \cos \omega t \sin \delta.$$

$$\text{Taking } a(1 + \cos \delta) = R \cos \theta \quad \dots(i)$$

$$\text{and } a \sin \delta = R \sin \theta \quad \dots(ii)$$

$$y = R \sin \omega t \cos \theta + R \cos \omega t \sin \theta$$

$$y = R \sin (\omega t + \theta) \quad \dots(iii)$$

which represents the equation of simple harmonic vibration of amplitude  $R$ .

Squaring (i) and (ii) and adding,

$$R^2 \sin^2 \theta + R^2 \cos^2 \theta = a^2 \sin^2 \delta + a^2 (1 + \cos \delta)^2$$

or

$$R^2 = a^2 \sin^2 \delta + a^2 (1 + \cos^2 \delta + 2 \cos \delta)$$

$$R^2 = a^2 \sin^2 \delta + a^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \delta + 2 a^2 \cos \delta$$

$$= 2a^2 + 2a^2 \cos \delta = 2a^2 (1 + \cos \delta)$$

$$R^2 = 2a^2 \cdot 2 \cos^2 \frac{\delta}{2} = 4a^2 \cos^2 \frac{\delta}{2}$$

The intensity at a point is given by the square of the amplitude

$$\therefore I = R^2$$

$$\text{or } I = 4a^2 \cos^2 \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \dots(iv)$$

Special cases : (i) When the phase difference  $\delta = 0, 2\pi, 2(2\pi), \dots, n(2\pi)$ , or the path difference  $x = 0, \lambda, 2\lambda, \dots, n\lambda$ .

$$I = 4a^2$$

Intensity is maximum when the phase difference is a whole number multiple of  $2\pi$  or the path difference is a whole number multiple of wavelength.

(ii) When the phase difference,  $\delta = \pi, 3\pi, \dots, (2n+1)\pi$ , or the path difference  $x = \frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{3\lambda}{2}, \frac{5\lambda}{2}, \dots, (2n+1)\frac{\lambda}{2}$ ,

$$I = 0$$

Intensity is minimum when the path difference is an odd number multiple of half wavelength.

Energy distribution. From equation (iv), it is found that the intensity at bright points is  $4a^2$  and at dark points it is zero. According to




Fig. 8.4

the law of conservation of energy, the energy cannot be destroyed. Here also the energy is not destroyed but only transferred from the points of minimum intensity to the points of maximum intensity. For, at bright



points, the intensity due to the two waves should be  $2a^2$  but actually it is  $4a^2$ . As shown in Fig. 8.4 the intensity varies from 0 to  $4a^2$ , and the average is still  $2a^2$ . It is equal to the uniform intensity  $2a^2$  which will be present in the absence of the interference phenomenon due to the two waves. Therefore, the formation of interference fringes is in accordance with the law of conservation of energy.

### 8.6 THEORY OF INTERFERENCE FRINGES

Consider a narrow monochromatic source  $S$  and two pinholes  $A$  and  $B$ , equidistant from  $S$ .  $A$  and  $B$  act as two coherent sources separated by a distance  $d$ . Let a screen be placed at a distance  $D$  from the coherent




Fig. 8.5

sources. The point  $C$  on the screen is equidistant from  $A$  and  $B$ . Therefore, the path difference between the two waves is zero. Thus, the point  $C$  has maximum intensity.

Consider a point  $P$  at a distance  $x$  from  $C$ . The waves reach at the point  $P$  from  $A$  and  $B$ .

Here,  $PQ = x - \frac{d}{2}$ ,  $PR = x + \frac{d}{2}$

$$(BP)^2 - (AP)^2 = \left[ D^2 + \left( x + \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 \right] - \left[ D^2 + \left( x - \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$(BP)^2 - (AP)^2 = 2xd$$

$$BP - AP = \frac{2xd}{BP + AP}$$

But  $BP = AP = D$  (approximately)

$$\therefore \text{Path difference} = BP - AP = \frac{2xd}{2D} = \frac{xd}{D} \quad \dots(i)$$

$$\text{Phase difference} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left( \frac{xd}{D} \right) \quad \dots(ii)$$

(i) **Bright fringes.** If the path difference is a whole number multiple of wavelength  $\lambda$ , the point  $P$  is bright.

$$\therefore \frac{xd}{D} = n\lambda$$

where  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$

or  $x = \frac{n\lambda D}{d} \quad \dots(iii)$

This equation gives the distances of the bright fringes from the point  $C$ . At  $C$ , the path difference is zero and a bright fringe is formed.

When  $n = 1$ ,  $x_1 = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$

$$n = 2, \quad x_2 = \frac{2\lambda D}{d}$$

$$n = 3, \quad x_3 = \frac{3\lambda D}{d}$$

$$x_n = \frac{n\lambda D}{d}$$

Therefore the distance between any two consecutive bright fringes

$$x_2 - x_1 = \frac{2\lambda D}{d} - \frac{\lambda D}{d} = \frac{\lambda D}{d} \quad \dots(iv)$$

(ii) **Dark fringes.** If the path difference is an odd number multiple of half wavelength, the point  $P$  is dark.

$$\frac{xd}{D} = (2n+1) \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad \text{where } n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

or  $x = \frac{(2n+1)\lambda D}{2d} \quad \dots(v)$

This equation gives the distances of the dark fringes from the point  $C$ .

When,  $n = 0$ ,  $x_0 = \frac{\lambda D}{2d}$

$$n = 1, \quad x_1 = \frac{3\lambda D}{2d}$$

$$n = 2, \quad x_2 = \frac{5\lambda D}{2d}$$

and  $x_n = \frac{(2n+1)\lambda D}{2d}$



The distance between any two consecutive dark fringes,

$$x_2 - x_1 = \frac{5\lambda D}{2d} - \frac{3\lambda D}{2d} = \frac{\lambda D}{d} \quad \dots(vi)$$

The distance between any two consecutive bright or dark fringes is known as fringe width. Therefore, alternately bright and dark parallel fringes are formed. The fringes are formed on both sides of C. Moreover, from equations (v) and (vi), it is clear that the width of the bright fringe is equal to the width of the dark fringe. All the fringes are equal in width and are independent of the order of the fringe. The breadth of a bright or a dark fringe is, however, equal to half the fringe width and is equal to  $\frac{\lambda D}{2d}$ . The fringe width  $\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$ .

Therefore, (i) the width of the fringe is directly proportional to the wavelength of light,  $\beta \propto \lambda$ . (ii) The width of the fringe is directly proportional to the distance of the screen from the two sources,  $\beta \propto D$ . (iii) the width of the fringe is inversely proportional to the distance between the two sources,  $\beta \propto \frac{1}{d}$ . Thus, the width of the fringe increases (a) with increase in wavelength (b) with increase in the distance  $D$  and (c) by bringing the two sources  $A$  and  $B$  close to each other.

**Example 8.1.** Green light of wavelength  $5100 \text{ \AA}$  from a narrow slit is incident on a double slit. If the overall separation of 10 fringes on a screen 200 cm away is 2 cm. find the slit separation.

[Delhi B.Sc. (Hons.)]

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$$

Here

$$\lambda = 5100 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}, \quad d = ?$$

$$D = 200 \text{ cm}$$

$$10\beta = 2 \text{ cm}$$

or

$$\beta = 0.2 \text{ cm}$$

$$d = \frac{\lambda D}{\beta}$$

$$d = \frac{5100 \times 10^{-8} \times 200}{0.2}$$

$$d = 0.051 \text{ cm}$$

**Example 8.2.** Two coherent sources are 0.18 mm apart and the fringes are observed on a screen 80 cm away. It is found that with a certain monochromatic source of light, the fourth bright fringe is situated at a distance of 10.8 mm from the central fringe. Calculate the wavelength of light.

$$\text{Here, } D = 80 \text{ cm}, \quad d = 0.18 \text{ mm} = 0.018 \text{ cm}$$

$$n = 4, \quad x = 10.8 \text{ mm} = 1.08 \text{ cm}, \quad \lambda = ?$$

$$x = \frac{n\lambda D}{d}$$

or

$$\lambda = \frac{xd}{nD} = \frac{1.08 \times 0.018}{4 \times 80} = 6075 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm} \\ = 6075 \text{ \AA}$$

**Example 8.3.** In Young's double slit experiment the separation of the slits is 1.9 mm and the fringe spacing is 0.31 mm at a distance of 1 metre from the slits. Calculate the wavelength of light.

Here

$$\beta = 0.31 \text{ mm} = 0.031 \text{ cm}$$

$$d = 1.9 \text{ mm} = 0.19 \text{ cm}$$

$$D = 1 \text{ m} = 100 \text{ cm}$$

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$$

or

$$\lambda = \frac{\beta d}{D}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{0.031 \times 0.19}{100}$$

$$\lambda = 5890 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm} = 5890 \text{ \AA}$$

**Example 8.4.** Two straight and narrow parallel slits 1 mm apart are illuminated by monochromatic light. Fringes formed on the screen held at a distance of 100 cm from the slits are 0.50 mm apart. What is the wavelength of light ?

[Delhi 1977]

Here

$$\beta = 0.50 \text{ mm} = 0.05 \text{ cm}$$

$$d = 1 \text{ mm} = 0.1 \text{ cm}$$

$$D = 100 \text{ cm}$$

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$$

or

$$\lambda = \frac{\beta d}{D}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{0.05 \times 0.1}{100}$$

$$\lambda = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}$$

$$\lambda = 5000 \text{ \AA}$$



**Example 8.5.** A Young's double slit experiment is arranged such that the distance between the centers of the two slits is  $d$  and the source slit, emitting light of wavelength  $\lambda$ , is placed at a distance  $x$  from the double slit. If now the source slit is gradually opened up, for what width will the fringes first disappear? [Delhi (Hons) 1992]




Fig. 8.6

A and B are two extreme points of the source  $S$  separated by distance

$L$ .

Here  $\theta_1 = -\left(\frac{L}{2x}\right)$  when  $x \gg L$

$$\theta_2 = \left(\frac{L}{2x}\right)$$

The fringe pattern first disappears when the central maximum of one pattern overlaps on the first minimum of the second pattern. The first minimum occurs at a distance given by

$$y = \pm \frac{\lambda D}{2d}$$

Also  $\frac{y}{D} = \theta = \pm \frac{\lambda}{2d}$

For source A, these minima occur at an angle

$$\theta_1 \pm \frac{\lambda}{2d}$$

The fringe width is very large when  $d$  is very small. As  $d$  increases, the first minimum of  $S_1$  moves towards the zeroth maximum of  $S_2$ . These two meet when  $d = d_0$ .

Here  $\theta_2 = \theta_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2d_0}$

or

$$\frac{L}{2x} = -\frac{L}{2x} + \frac{\lambda}{2d_0}$$

$$d_0 = \left(\frac{\lambda x}{2L}\right)$$

$$\therefore L = \left[\frac{\lambda x}{2d_0}\right]$$

**Example 8.6.** A light source emits light of two wavelengths  $\lambda_1 = 4300 \text{ \AA}$  and  $\lambda_2 = 5100 \text{ \AA}$ . The source is used in a double slit interference experiment. The distance between the sources and the screen is  $1.5 \text{ m}$  and the distance between the slits is  $0.025 \text{ mm}$ . Calculate the separation between the third order bright fringes due to these two wavelengths.

Here

$$D = 1.5 \text{ m}$$

$$d = 0.025 \text{ mm} = 25 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}$$

$$\lambda_1 = 4300 \text{ \AA} = 4.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}$$

$$\lambda_2 = 5100 \text{ \AA} = 5.1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}$$

$$n = 3$$

$$x_1 = \frac{n \lambda_1 D}{d}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{n \lambda_2 D}{d}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 - x_1 &= \left(\frac{n \lambda_2 D}{d}\right) - \left(\frac{n \lambda_1 D}{d}\right) \\ &= \frac{nD}{d} [\lambda_2 - \lambda_1] \\ &= \left(\frac{3 \times 1.5}{25 \times 10^{-6}}\right) [5.1 \times 10^{-7} - 4.3 \times 10^{-7}] \\ &= 0.0144 \text{ m} \\ &= 1.44 \text{ cm} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the separation between the two fringes is  $1.44 \text{ cm}$ .

**Example 8.7.** Two coherent sources of monochromatic light of wavelength  $6000 \text{ \AA}$  produce an interference pattern on a screen kept at a distance of  $1 \text{ m}$  from them. The distance between two consecutive bright fringes on the screen is  $0.5 \text{ mm}$ . Find the distance between the two coherent sources. [IAS]

Here

$$\lambda = 6000 \text{ \AA} = 6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}$$

$$D = 1 \text{ m}$$

$$\beta = 0.5 \text{ mm} = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}$$



$$d = ?$$

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$$

$$d = \frac{\lambda D}{\beta}$$

$$d = \frac{6 \times 10^{-7} \times 1}{5 \times 10^{-4}}$$

$$d = 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}$$

$$d = 1.2 \text{ mm}$$

**Example 8.8.** Light of wavelength  $5500 \text{ \AA}$  from a narrow slit is incident on a double slit. The overall separation of 5 fringes on a screen  $200 \text{ cm}$  away is  $1 \text{ cm}$ , calculate (a) the slit separation and (b) the fringe width.

Here

$$x = \frac{n \lambda D}{d}$$

$$n = 5$$

$$D = 200 \text{ cm} = 2 \text{ m}$$

$$\lambda = 5500 \text{ \AA} = 5.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}$$

$$x = 1 \text{ cm} = 10^{-2} \text{ m}$$

$$d = ?$$

(a)

$$d = \frac{n \lambda D}{x}$$

$$d = \frac{5 \times 5.5 \times 10^{-7} \times 2}{10^{-2}}$$

$$d = 5.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}$$

$$d = 0.055 \text{ cm}$$

(b)

$$\beta = \frac{x}{n}$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{5} \text{ cm}$$

$$\beta = 0.2 \text{ cm}$$

## 8.7 FRESNEL'S MIRRORS

Fresnel produced the interference fringes by using two plane mirrors  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  arranged at an angle of nearly  $180^\circ$  so that their surfaces are nearly (not exactly) coplanar (Fig. 8.7).

## Interference

A monochromatic source of light  $S$  is used. The pencil of light from  $S$  incident on the two mirrors, after reflection appears to come from two virtual sources  $A$  and  $B$  at some distance  $d$  apart. Therefore,  $A$  and  $B$  act




Fig. 8.7

as two virtual coherent sources and interference fringes are obtained on the screen. These fringes are of equal width and are alternately dark and bright.

**Theory.**  $A$  and  $B$  are two coherent sources at a distance  $d$  apart. The screen is at a distance  $D$  from the virtual sources. The two reflected beams from the mirrors  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  overlap between  $E$  and  $F$  (shown as shaded in the diagram) and interference fringes are formed.

(For complete theory read Article 8.6)

$$\text{Here, } D = Y_1 + Y_2$$

$$\text{Fringe width } \beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$$

A point on the screen will be at the centre of a bright fringe, if its distance from  $C$  is  $\frac{n \lambda D}{d}$  where  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$  etc, and it will be at the centre of a dark fringe, if its distance from  $C$  is

$$\frac{(2n+1) \lambda D}{2d}$$

where  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  etc.

For the fringes to be formed, the following conditions must be satisfied. The two mirrors  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  should be made from optically flat glass and silvered on the front surfaces. No reflection should take place from



the back of the mirrors. The polishing should extend up to the line of intersection of the two mirrors and the line of intersection must be parallel to the line source (slit).

The distance between the two virtual sources  $A$  and  $B$  can be calculated as follows. Suppose the distance between the points of intersection of the mirrors and the source  $S$  is  $Y_1$ .

$\theta$  is known. The angle of separation between  $A$  and  $B$  is  $2\theta$ .

$$\therefore d = 2\theta Y_1$$

When white light is used the central fringe  $C$  is white whereas the other fringes on both sides of  $C$  are coloured because the fringe width ( $\beta$ ) depends upon the wavelength. Only the first few coloured fringes are observed and the other fringes overlap. Therefore, the number of fringes seen in the field of view with a monochromatic source of light are more, than with white light.

### 8.8 FRESNEL'S BIPRISM

Fresnel used a biprism to show interference phenomenon. The biprism  $abc$  consists of two acute angled prisms placed base to base. Actually, it is constructed as a single prism of obtuse angle of about  $179^\circ$  (Fig. 8.7A). The acute angle  $\alpha$  on both sides is about  $30^\circ$ . The prism is placed with its refracting edge parallel to the line source  $S$  (slit) such that  $Sa$  is normal to the face  $bc$  of the prism. When light falls from  $S$  on the lower portion of the biprism it is bent upwards and appears to come from




Fig. 8.7A

the virtual source  $B$ . Similarly light falling from  $S$  on the upper portion of the prism is bent downwards and appears to come from the virtual source  $A$ . Therefore  $A$  and  $B$  act as two coherent sources. Suppose the distance between  $A$  and  $B$  is  $d$ . If a screen is placed at  $C$ , interference

fringes of equal width are produced between  $E$  and  $F$  but beyond  $E$  and  $F$  fringes of large width are produced which are due to diffraction.  $MN$  is a stop to limit the rays. To observe the fringes, the screen can be replaced by an eye-piece or a low power microscope and fringes are seen in the field of view. If the point  $C$  is at the principal focus of the eyepiece, the fringes are observed in the field of view.

**Theory.** For complete theory refer to Article 8.6. The point  $C$  is equidistant from  $A$  and  $B$ . Therefore, it has maximum intensity. On both sides of  $C$ , alternately bright and dark fringes are produced. The width of the bright fringe or dark fringe,  $\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$ . Moreover, any point on the screen will be at the centre of a bright fringe if its distance from  $C$  is  $\beta = \frac{n\lambda D}{d}$ , where  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$  etc. The point will be at the centre of a dark fringe if its distance from  $C$  is

$$\frac{(2n+1)\lambda D}{2d}$$

where  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$  etc.

**Determination of wavelength of light.** Fresnel's biprism can be used to determine the wavelength of a given source of monochromatic light.

A fine vertical slit  $S$  is adjusted just close to a source of light and the refracting edge is also set parallel to the slit  $S$  such that  $bc$  is horizontal




Fig. 8.8

(Fig. 8.8). They are adjusted on an optical bench. A micrometer eyepiece is placed on the optical bench at some distance from the prism to view the fringes in its focal plane (at its cross wires).

Suppose the distance between the source and the eyepiece =  $D$  and the distance between the two virtual sources  $A$  and  $B$  =  $d$ . The eyepiece is moved horizontally (perpendicular to the length of the bench) to determine the fringe width. Suppose, for crossing 20 bright fringes from the central fringe, the eyepiece has moved through a distance  $l$ .



Then the fringe width,  $\beta = \frac{l}{20}$

But the fringe width  $\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$

$$\therefore \lambda = \frac{\beta d}{D} \quad \dots(i)$$

In equation (i)  $\beta$  and  $D$  are known. If  $d$  is also known,  $\lambda$  can be calculated.

**Determination of the distance between the two virtual sources ( $d$ ).** For this purpose, we make use of the displacement method. A convex lens is placed between the biprism and the eyepiece in such a position, that the images of the virtual sources  $A$  and  $B$  are seen in the field of view of the eyepiece. Suppose the lens is in the position  $L_1$  (Fig. 8.9). Measure the distance between the images of  $A$  and  $B$  as seen in the eyepiece. Let it be  $d_1$ .

In this case,

$$\frac{d_1}{d} = \frac{v}{u} = \frac{n}{m} \quad \dots(ii)$$

Now move the lens towards the eyepiece and bring it to some other position  $L_2$ , so that again the images of  $A$  and  $B$  are seen clearly in the




Fig. 8.9

field of view of the eyepiece. Measure the distance between the two images in this case also. Let it be equal to  $d_2$ .

Here,  $v = m$  and  $u = n$ ,

$$\therefore \frac{d_2}{d} = \frac{v}{u} = \frac{m}{n} \quad \dots(iii)$$

From equations (ii) and (iii),

$$\frac{d_1 d_2}{d^2} = 1$$

or

$$d = \sqrt{d_1 d_2}$$

Here  $d_1$  will be greater than  $d_2$  and  $d$  is the geometrical mean of  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ . Therefore  $d$  can be calculated. Substituting the value of  $d$ ,  $\beta$  and  $D$  in equation (i), the wavelength of the given monochromatic light can be determined.

The second method to find  $d$  is to measure accurately the refracting angle  $\alpha$ . As the angle is small, the deviation produced  $\theta = (\mu - 1)\alpha$ . Therefore the total angle between  $Aa$  and  $Ba$  is  $2\theta = 2(\mu - 1)\alpha$ . If the distance between the prism and the slit  $S$  is  $y_1$ , then  $d = 2(\mu - 1)\alpha y_1$ . Therefore  $d$  can be calculated.

## 8.9 FRINGES WITH WHITE LIGHT USING A BIPRISM

When white light is used, the centre of the fringe at  $C$  is white while the fringes on both sides of  $C$  are coloured because the fringe width ( $\beta$ ) depends upon wavelength. Moreover, the fringes obtained in the case of a biprism using white light are different from the fringes obtained with Fresnel's mirrors. In a biprism, the two coherent virtual sources are produced by refraction and the distance between the two sources depends upon the refractive index, which in turn depends upon the wavelength of light. Therefore, for blue light the distance between the two apparent sources is different to that with red light. The distance of the  $n$ th fringe from the centre (with monochromatic light)

$$x = \frac{n \lambda D}{d}, \quad \text{where } d = (2\mu - 1)\alpha y_1$$

$$\therefore x = \frac{n \lambda D}{2(\mu - 1)\alpha y_1}$$

Therefore for blue and red rays, the  $n$ th fringe will be,

$$x_b = \frac{n \lambda_b D}{2(\mu_b - 1)\alpha y_1} \quad \dots(i)$$

$$x_r = \frac{n \lambda_r D}{2(\mu_r - 1)\alpha y_1} \quad \dots(ii)$$



**Example 8.9.** A biprism is placed 5 cm from a slit illuminated by sodium light ( $\lambda = 5890 \text{ \AA}$ ). The width of the fringes obtained on a screen 75 cm from the biprism is  $9.424 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}$ . What is the distance between the two coherent sources ? (Nagpur 1984)

Here  $\lambda = 5890 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$   
 $d = ?$ ,  $\beta = 9.424 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}$   
 $D = 5 + 75 = 80 \text{ cm}$   
 $\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$

or  $d = \frac{5890 \times 10^{-8} \times 80}{9.424 \times 10^{-2}}$

or  $d = 0.05 \text{ cm}$

**Example 8.10.** The inclined faces of a glass prism ( $\mu = 1.5$ ) make an angle of  $1^\circ$  with the base of the prism. The slit is 10 cm from the biprism and is illuminated by light of  $\lambda = 5900 \text{ \AA}$ . Find the fringe width observed at a distance of 1 m from the biprism.

[Delhi B.Sc.(Hons.) 1991]

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$$

$$d = 2(\mu - 1) \alpha y_1$$

Here  $\mu = 1.5$

$$\alpha = 1^\circ = \frac{\pi}{180} \text{ radian}$$

$$y_1 = 10 \text{ cm}; y_2 = 100 \text{ cm}$$

$$D = y_1 + y_2 = 10 + 100 = 110 \text{ cm}$$

$$\lambda = 5900 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm.}$$

$$\beta = ?$$

$$\therefore \beta = \frac{5900 \times 10^{-8} \times 110 \times 180 \times 7}{2(1.5 - 1) \times 22 \times 10} = 0.037 \text{ cm}$$

**Example 8.11.** In a biprism experiment with sodium light, bands of width 0.0195 cm are observed at 100 cm from the slit. On introducing a convex lens 30 cm away from the slit, two images of the slit are seen

0.7 cm apart, at 100 cm distance from the slit. Calculate the wave length of sodium light. [Rajasthan, 1985]

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$$

or  $\lambda = \frac{\beta d}{D}$

Here  $\beta = 0.0195 \text{ cm}$   
 $D = 100 \text{ cm.}$

For a convex lens

$$\frac{1}{O} = \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v}, \quad u + v = 100 \text{ cm}$$

$$u = 30 \text{ cm}$$

or  $\frac{0.7}{O} = \frac{70}{30} \text{ cm}$

or  $O = 0.30 \text{ cm}$

i.e. Distance between the two coherent sources,

$$d = O = 0.30 \text{ cm}$$

$$\therefore \lambda = \frac{0.0195 \times 0.30}{100} = 5850 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$$

or  $\lambda = 5850 \text{ \AA}$

**Example 8.12.** Interference fringes are observed with a biprism of refracting angle  $1^\circ$  and refractive index 1.5 on a screen 80 cm away from it. If the distance between the source and the biprism is 20 cm, calculate the fringe width when the wavelength of light used is (i) 6900  $\text{\AA}$  and (ii) 5890  $\text{\AA}$  (Kanpur, 1986)

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$$

$$d = 2(\mu - 1) \alpha y_1$$

Here  $\mu = 1.5$

$$\alpha = 1^\circ = \frac{\pi}{180} \text{ radian}$$

$$y_1 = 20 \text{ cm}; y_2 = 80 \text{ cm}$$

$$D = y_1 + y_2 = 20 + 80 = 100 \text{ cm}$$



$$(i) \quad \lambda = 6900 \text{ \AA} \quad \text{or} \quad 6900 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$$

$$\therefore \beta = \frac{\lambda D}{2(\mu - 1)\alpha y_1}$$

$$\beta = \frac{6900 \times 10^{-8} \times 100 \times 180 \times 7}{2(1.5 - 1) \times 22 \times 20}$$

$$\beta = 0.01976 \text{ cm}$$

$$(ii) \quad \lambda = 5890 \text{ \AA}$$

$$\text{or} \quad x = 5890 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$$

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{2(\mu - 1)\alpha y_1}$$

$$\text{or} \quad \beta = \frac{5890 \times 10^{-8} \times 100 \times 180 \times 7}{2(1.5 - 1) \times 22 \times 20}$$

$$\text{or} \quad \beta = 0.01687 \text{ cm}$$

**Example 8.13.** A biprism is placed at a distance of 5 cm in front of a narrow slit, illuminated by sodium light ( $\lambda = 5890 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$ ) and the distance between the virtual sources is found to be 0.05 cm. Find the width of the fringes observed in an eyepiece placed at a distance of 75 cm from the biprism. (Mysore 1981)

$$\text{Here} \quad \lambda = 5890 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}, \quad d = 0.05 \text{ cm}$$

$$D = 5 + 75 = 80 \text{ cm}$$

Width of the fringe

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d} = \frac{5890 \times 10^{-8} \times 80}{0.05}$$

$$\beta = 9.424 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}$$

**Example 8.14.** In a biprism experiment the eyepiece was placed at a distance of 120 cm from the source. The distance between the two virtual sources was found to be 0.075 cm. Find the wavelength of light of the source if the eyepiece has to be moved through a distance 1.888 cm for 20 fringes to cross the field of view.

$$\text{Here,} \quad n = 20$$

$$l = 1.888 \text{ cm}$$

$$\therefore \text{Fringe width} \quad \beta = \frac{l}{n} = \frac{1.888}{20} \text{ cm}$$

$$d = 0.075 \text{ cm}, \quad D = 120 \text{ cm}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\beta d}{D} = \frac{1.888}{20} \times \frac{0.075}{120} = 5900 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm} \\ = 5900 \text{ \AA}$$

**Example 8.15.** In an experiment with Fresnel's biprism, fringes for light of wavelength  $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}$  are observed 0.2 mm apart at a distance of 175 cm from the prism. The prism is made of glass of refractive index 1.50 and it is at a distance of 25 cm from the illuminated slit. Calculate the angle at the vertex of the biprism.

$$\text{Here} \quad y_1 = 25 \text{ cm}, \quad y_2 = 175 \text{ cm}$$

$$\beta = 0.2 \text{ mm} = 0.02 \text{ cm}$$

$$\lambda = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}$$

$$\mu = 1.50$$

$$\alpha = ?$$

$$d = 2(\mu - 1)\alpha \cdot y_1 \quad \text{---(i)}$$

$$\text{But} \quad \beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$$

$$\text{or} \quad d = \frac{\lambda D}{\beta} \quad \text{---(ii)}$$

From equations (i) and (ii)

$$\frac{\lambda D}{\beta} = 2(\mu - 1)\alpha \cdot y_1$$

$$\text{Also} \quad D = y_1 + y_2$$

$$\therefore \frac{\lambda (y_1 + y_2)}{\beta} = 2(\mu - 1)\alpha \cdot y_1$$

$$\text{or} \quad \alpha = \frac{\lambda (y_1 + y_2)}{2\beta (\mu - 1) y_1} = \frac{5 \times 10^{-5} (25 + 175)}{2 \times 0.02 (1.5 - 1) 25} \\ = 0.02 \text{ radian}$$

$$\text{The vertex angle } \theta = (\pi - 2\alpha) \text{ radian} = (\pi - 0.04) \text{ radian}$$

$$\theta = 177^\circ 42'$$

**Example 8.16.** Calculate the separation between the coherent sources formed by a biprism whose inclined faces make angles of 1 degree with its base. The slit source is 20 cm away from the biprism and  $\mu$  of the biprism material = 1.5.



$$d = 2(\mu - 1) \alpha y_1$$

Here

$$\mu = 1.5, \quad \alpha = 1^\circ = \frac{\pi}{180} \text{ radian}$$

$$y_1 = 20 \text{ cm}$$

$$d = \frac{2(1.5 - 1) \pi \times 20}{180} = \frac{2 \times 0.5 \times 22 \times 20}{7 \times 180} = 0.35 \text{ cm}$$

**Example 8.17.** Calculate the separation between the coherent sources formed by a biprism whose inclined faces make angles of  $2^\circ$  with its base, the slit source being 10 cm away from the biprism ( $\mu = 1.50$ ). (Delhi 1974, 1977)

Here

$$d = 2(\mu - 1) \alpha y_1$$

$$\mu = 1.50$$

$$\alpha = 2^\circ = \frac{2 \times \pi}{180} = \frac{\pi}{90} \text{ radian}$$

$$y_1 = 10 \text{ cm}$$

$$d = \frac{2(1.5 - 1) \times 10}{90} = \frac{2 \times 0.5 \times \pi \times 10}{90} = 0.35 \text{ cm}$$

**Example 8.18.** In a biprism experiment, the eye-piece is placed at a distance of 1.2 m from the source. The distance between the virtual sources was found to be  $7.5 \times 10^{-4}$  m. Find the wavelength of light, if the eye-piece is to be moved transversely through a distance of 1.888 cm for 20 fringes. (Delhi 1985)

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d}; \quad \beta = \frac{l}{n}$$

$$\frac{l}{n} = \frac{\lambda D}{d}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{l d}{n D}$$

$$l = 1.888 \text{ cm} = 0.01888 \text{ m}$$

$$d = 7.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}$$

$$n = 2.0$$

$$D = 1.2 \text{ m}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{0.01888 \times 7.5 \times 10^{-4}}{20 \times 1.2}$$

$$= 5900 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}$$

$$= 5900 \text{ Å}$$

**Example 8.19.** The inclined faces of a biprism of refractive index 1.50 make angle of  $2^\circ$  with the base. A slit illuminated by a monochromatic light is placed at a distance of 10 cm from the biprism. If the distance between two dark fringes observed at a distance of 1 cm from the prism is 0.18 mm, find the wavelength of light used.

[Delhi (Hons) 1991]

Here,

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda D}{d} \quad \therefore \quad \lambda = \frac{\beta d}{D}$$

$$\beta = 0.18 \text{ mm} = 0.18 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}$$

$$d = 2(\mu - 1) \alpha y_1$$

$$\mu = 1.5$$

$$\alpha = 2^\circ = \frac{2 \times \pi}{180} = \frac{\pi}{90} \text{ radian}$$

$$y_1 = 10 \text{ cm} = 0.1 \text{ m}; \quad y_2 = 1 \text{ m}$$

$$D = y_1 + y_2 = 0.1 + 1 = 1.1 \text{ m}$$

$$\lambda = ?$$

$$d = \frac{2(1.5 - 1) \pi \times 0.1}{90} = 3.49 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\beta d}{D}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{0.18 \times 10^{-3} \times 3.49 \times 10^{-3}}{1.1} = 5.711 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}$$

$$\lambda = 5711 \text{ Å}$$

### 8.10 DETERMINATION OF THE THICKNESS OF A THIN SHEET OF TRANSPARENT MATERIAL

The biprism experiment can be used to determine the thickness of a given thin sheet of transparent material e.g., glass or mica.