

# Statistical Analysis of an antibody repertoire


Andrew Bzikadze  
[seryrzu@gmail.com](mailto:seryrzu@gmail.com)

September 13, 2015

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Cleavage and specific gene segments
- 3 Two types of palindromes


# Introduction: V(D)J-recombination

B cells gene locus:




# Introduction: V(D)J-recombination

Segment of each type is selected:




# Introduction: V(D)J-recombination

3 types of biochemical events: *palindrome*, *cleavage*, *insertions*.



# Introduction: V(D)J-recombination

Further optimization of antibody affinity is achieved through extensive mutations referred as *somatic hypermutations*:



Because we do not know the deterministic nature of the V(D)J-recombination, it is reasonable to consider this transform as a **random** (stochastic) process.

Hence the analysis of somatic recombination can be done in statistical and simulation terms.

# Motivation: comparing different antibody repertoires

## B-cells:

- Comparison of Antibody Repertoires against *Staphylococcus aureus* in Healthy Individuals and in Acutely Infected Patients.
- Comparison of the antibody repertoire generated in healthy volunteers following immunization with a monomeric recombinant gp120 construct derived from a CCR5/CXCR4-using human immunodeficiency virus type 1 isolate with sera from naturally infected individuals.


## T-cells:

- Donor Unrestricted T Cells: A Shared Human T Cell Response.
- Exhaustive T-cell repertoire sequencing of human peripheral blood samples reveals signatures of antigen selection and a directly measured repertoire size of at least 1 million clonotypes.



# Motivation: simulation of a repertoire

Appropriate statistical model of somatic recombinations potentially improves IgSimulator, making it more “realistic”.




IgSimulator

Statistical  
Model


# Motivation: Clonal trees

Clear situation:




# Motivation: Clonal trees

Arguable situation:




# Motivation: IgRepertoireConstructor



The current release of the IgRepertoireConstructor uses Levenshtein distance to construct edges in the graph.

# Motivation: IgRepertoireConstructor



The statistical model could suggest more a delicate approach.

There are lots of tasks. To name a few:

- What is the correlation between D-J and V-DJ joining?
- Is there any correlation between *cleavage* / *palindromes* and specific gene segments?
- What are the properties of the *insertions*?

An article about the distribution law of CDR3 generating recombinations for T-cells:

Anand Murugana, Thierry Morab, Aleksandra M. Walczakc and Curtis G. Callan — 2012:

- Analysis is focused on nonproductive CDR3s.
- Suggested model sets joint distribution over the set of discrete variables: *identities* of V-, D-, J- genes, number of *deletions* from the end of a segment, *palindromic* nucleotides and *insertions* at the end of a gen.

An article about the distribution law of CDR3 generating recombinations for T-cells:

Anand Murugana, Thierry Morab, Aleksandra M. Walczakc and Curtis G. Callan — 2012:

- Analysis is focused on nonproductive CDR3s.
- Suggested model sets joint distribution over the set of discrete variables: *identities* of V-, D-, J- genes, number of *deletions* from the end of a segment, *palindromic* nucleotides and *insertions* at the end of a gen.
- 2865(!) parametr to estimate.



## Questions about the paper:

- Is the suggested model really adequate (including the problem of potential overfitting)?
- Are the results statistically significant?
- Are similar results true for B-cells?

# Two types of events

The goals

- correlations between *palindromes* and specific gene segments,
- properties of the *insertions*

include the task of distinguishing “accidental” and “biological” events and hence require some additional knowledge about the structure of the repertoire.

Considering that and the questions about the article let's firstly concentrate on a simpler problem of seeking **correlation between cleavage and specific gene segments.**


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Cleavage and specific gene segments
- 3 Two types of palindromes

**Problem:** In the datasets not only V(D)J-recombinations effects are reflected, but also the result of ????

# Cleavage and specific gene segments

**Problem:** In the datasets not only V(D)J-recombinations effects are reflected, but also the result of ????

- Consider a merged pair dataset.
- Use IgRepertoireConstructor for this dataset and consider **highly abundant antibody clusters** of the constructed repertoire.
- Apply IgBlast to reads from highly abundant clusters.
- **Downsampling**: consider only such reads, that have alignment score of their segments not less than a **threshold** according to IgBlast.



# Cleavage and specific gene segments: V-genes




Figure : Age datasets. The point — is the gen. Pearson correlation is 0.98.

Permutation test: significance of the correlation




Figure : Histogram of statistics of permutation test that shows the significance of Pearson correlation.

# Cleavage and specific gene segments: V-genes




Figure : Age datasets. The point — is the gen. Pearson correlation is 0.98.

Permutation test: significance of the correlation




Figure : Histogram of statistics of permutation test that shows the significance of Pearson correlation.

Hence reads in the dataset are dependent standart pooled Z-test for equal propotions is not applicable.

# Cleavage and specific gene segments: V-genes




Figure : Age datasets. The point — is the gen. Pearson correlation is 0.98.

Permutation test: significance of the correlation




Figure : Histogram of statistics of permutation test that shows the significance of Pearson correlation.

Hence reads in the dataset are dependent standart pooled Z-test for equal propotions is not applicable.

**Remark:** No obvious way to clusterize V-genes effectively.



# Further goals to clusterize genes


It is reasonable to seek a way to clusterize *V*-genes by

- *palindromes* length;
- GC-content;
- different type of genes (*V* vs *J* etc. . . ).

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Cleavage and specific gene segments
- 3 Two types of palindromes

# Two types of palindromes

If a *cleavage* took place, then no “biological” *palindrome* can happen. An “accidental” *palindrome* can still happen due to the *insertions*.



# Two types of palindromes

- The simplest model is that any nucleotide  $\xi$  in the sequence is distributed **uniformly**:

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi = x) = \frac{1}{4} \text{ where } x \in \{ 'A', 'C', 'G', 'T' \}.$$

- In that model the length  $\eta$  of an “accidental” palindrome has  $\text{Geom}(3/4)$  distribution, so

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta = n) = \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

# Two types of palindromes

Emperical (Age-datasets) and theoretical distribution in log scale:




Figure : The mean of length is 0.33.




Figure : The mean of length is 0.82.

# Two types of palindromes

Emperical (Age-datasets) and theoretical distribution in log scale:





Figure : The mean of length is 0.33.      Figure : The mean of length is 0.82.

Hence reads in the dataset are dependent goodness-of-fit  $\chi^2$ -test is not applicable.

# What else about the palindromes

- To find out the distribution of “biological” palindrome.
- To construct more adequate model for nucleotide distribution.