

Incivility and Representation

Abbildungen und Tabellen

2024-03-21

Research design

Table 1: Response Rate

Response (total)	Fully completed	partially completed	Net response rate	Response rate
2,590	2,164	426	33.3%	39.8%

Section 4: Empirical Evidence

Figure 1: Prevalence of the implications for representation





Table: Plain Shares

variable	true	missing	false
Communicative threat	53%	40.3%	6.7%
Physical threat	49.8%	40.2%	10%
Racialized group	8.8%	—	91.2%
Female or diverse	39.2%	1.3%	59.5%
Class lower	14.6%	—	85.4%
Primary Topic: Migration	3.8%	—	96.2%
Primary Topic: Gender	1.7%	—	98.3%
Primary Topic: Class	8.3%	—	91.7%

* Percent/shares calculated using case weights

Figure 2: Threat experience and implications for representation



```
## New names:
## * `FALSE` -> `FALSE...3`
## * `TRUE` -> `TRUE...4`
## * `FALSE` -> `FALSE...5`
## * `TRUE` -> `TRUE...6`
```

		certainty to stay		avoid topics	
		no	yes	no	yes
communicative threat	no/missing	5.0% (50)	95.0% (959)	92.8% (958)	7.2% (74)
	yes	13.1% (146)	86.9% (972)	87.1% (986)	12.9% (146)
physical threat	no/missing	5.0% (51)	95.0% (965)	92.8% (965)	7.2% (75)
	yes	13.1% (145)	86.9% (966)	87.1% (979)	12.9% (145)

Figure 3: Certain descriptive characteristics and consider exit




Figure 4: Certain descriptive characteristics and avoid topics




Table 1: Bivariate Correlations

	certainty to stay	avoid topics
Communicative threat	-0.09** (n=1267)	0.11*** (n=1282)
Physical threat	-0.11*** (n=1268)	0.04 (n=1284)
Racialised group	-0.08*** (n=2127)	0.01 (n=2164)
Female or diverse	-0.06** (n=2099)	0.08*** (n=2136)
Class	-0.11*** (n=2045)	0.01 (n=2078)
Primary Topic: Migration	-0.03 (n=2127)	-0.01 (n=2164)
Primary Topic: Gender	-0.05* (n=2127)	0.04* (n=2164)
Primary Topic: Class	0.02 (n=2127)	-0.02 (n=2164)

* $p < 0.5$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$

Table 5: Descriptive representation: Determinants of certainty to stay

	A	B	C
Communicative threat	-0.672 (0.301)*	-0.737 (0.314)*	-0.733 (0.315)*
Physical threat	-0.990 (0.353)**	-0.856 (0.375)*	-0.852 (0.376)*
Racialized group (Ref. no)		-0.576 (0.278)*	-0.533 (0.285)
Female or diverse (Ref. no)		-0.503 (0.203)*	-0.486 (0.205)*
Class		-0.383 (0.113)***	-0.383 (0.113)***
Primary Topic: Migration			-0.330 (0.432)
Primary Topic: Gender			-0.414 (0.554)
Primary Topic: Class			0.192 (0.360)
AfD (Ref. other)	-0.647 (0.367)	-0.682 (0.381)	-0.678 (0.381)
SPD	0.002 (0.246)	0.239 (0.262)	0.233 (0.262)
B'90/Grüne	0.370 (0.267)	0.587 (0.283)*	0.613 (0.286)*
LINKE	-0.233 (0.319)	0.308 (0.357)	0.295 (0.359)
Age	1.892 (0.464)***	1.441 (0.484)**	1.388 (0.491)**
(Intercept)	2.052 (0.384)***	3.432 (0.527)***	3.444 (0.528)***
Num.Obs.	1154	1100	1100
AIC	826.7	764.1	768.7
BIC	867.1	819.2	838.7
Log.Lik.	-405.353	-371.069	-370.350
RMSE	0.32	0.31	0.31

Table 2: Regression for Implications to descriptive Representation (Considering exit)

Table 6: Substantive representation: Avoid topics

	A	B	C
Communicative threat	1.252 (0.325)***	1.347 (0.334)***	1.383 (0.335)***
Physical threat	0.279 (0.355)	0.335 (0.368)	0.331 (0.368)
Racialized group (Ref. no)		-0.174 (0.326)	-0.083 (0.331)
Female or diverse (Ref. no)		0.565 (0.194)**	0.543 (0.197)**
Class		0.113 (0.113)	0.114 (0.113)
Primary Topic: Migration			-0.740 (0.622)
Primary Topic: Gender			0.558 (0.514)
Primary Topic: Class			0.047 (0.323)
AfD (Ref. other)	-0.125 (0.433)	-0.072 (0.441)	-0.060 (0.442)
SPD	-0.261 (0.245)	-0.322 (0.252)	-0.323 (0.253)
B'90/Grüne	-0.239 (0.250)	-0.366 (0.259)	-0.357 (0.260)
LINKE	0.075 (0.319)	-0.182 (0.345)	-0.196 (0.348)
Age	-1.347 (0.457)**	-1.294 (0.470)**	-1.200 (0.475)*
(Intercept)	-2.355 (0.402)***	-2.918 (0.525)***	-2.986 (0.529)***
Num.Obs.	1166	1111	1111
AIC	835.0	805.9	809.0
BIC	875.5	861.1	879.2
Log.Lik.	-409.520	-391.957	-390.490
F	4.119	3.737	3.095
RMSE	0.32	0.32	0.32

Table 3: Regression for Implications to substantive Representation (Avoid topics)