Incivility and Representation Abbildungen und Tabellen

2024-03-21

Research design

Table 1: Response Rate

Response (total)	Fully completed	partially Net completed rate	Response rate
2,590	2,164	42633.3%	39.8%

Section 4: Empirical Evidence

Figure 1: Prevalence of the implications for representation





Table: Plain Shares

variable	true	missing	false
Communicative threat	53.0	40.3	6.7
Physical threat	49.8	40.2	10.0
Racialized group	8.8	0.0	91.2
Female or diverse	39.2	1.3	59.5
Primary Topic: Migration	3.8	0.0	96.2
Primary Topic: Gender	1.7	0.0	98.3
Primary Topic: Class	8.3	0.0	91.7



threat applicable

Figure 2: Threat experience and implications for representation

New names:

* `FALSE` -> `FALSE...3`

no


* `TRUE` -> `TRUE...4`


* `FALSE` -> `FALSE...5`


* `TRUE` -> `TRUE...6`

		certainty to stay		avoid topics	
		no	yes	no	yes
communicative threat	no/missing	5.0% (50)	95.0% (959)	92.8% (958)	7.2% (74)
	yes	13.1% (146)	86.9% (972)	87.1% (986)	12.9% (146)
physical threat	no/missing	5.0% (51)	95.0% (965)	92.8% (965)	7.2% (75)
	yes	13.1% (145)	86.9% (966)	87.1% (979)	12.9% (145)

yes










Table 1: Bivariate Correlations

	certainty to stay	avoid topics
Communicative threat	-0.09*** (n=1267)	0.11*** (n=1282)
Physical threat	-0.1*** (n=1268)	0.04 (n=1284)
Racialised group	-0.08*** (n=2127)	0.01 (n=2164)
Female or diverse	-0.06** (n=2099)	0.08*** (n=2136)
Class	-0.11*** (n=2045)	0 (n=2078)
Primary Topic: Migration	-0.03 (n=2127)	-0.01 (n=2164)
Primary Topic: Gender	-0.05* (n=2127)	0.04* (n=2164)
Primary Topic: Class	0.02 (n=2127)	-0.02 (n=2164)

^{*} p < 0.5, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

Table 5: Descriptive representation: Determinants of certainty to stay

	A	В	С
Communicative threat	-0.672 (0.301)*	-0.737 (0.314)*	-0.733 (0.315)*
Physical threat	-0.990 (0.353)**	-0.856 (0.375)*	-0.852 (0.376)*
Racialized group (Ref. no)		-0.576 (0.278)*	-0.533 (0.285)
Female or diverse (Ref. no)		-0.503 (0.203)*	-0.486 (0.205)*
Class		-0.383 (0.113)***	-0.383 (0.113)***
Primary Topic: Migration			-0.330 (0.432)
Primary Topic: Gender			-0.414 (0.554)
Primary Topic: Class			$0.192\ (0.360)$
AfD (Ref. other)	-0.647 (0.367)	-0.682 (0.381)	-0.678 (0.381)
SPD	0.002(0.246)	0.239 (0.262)	$0.233 \ (0.262)$
B'90/Grüne	$0.370 \ (0.267)$	0.587 (0.283)*	0.613 (0.286)*
LINKE	-0.233 (0.319)	$0.308 \; (0.357)$	0.295 (0.359)
Age	1.892 (0.464)***	1.441 (0.484)**	1.388 (0.491)**
(Intercept)	2.052 (0.384)***	3.432 (0.527)***	3.444 (0.528)***
Num.Obs.	1154	1100	1100
AIC	826.7	764.1	768.7
BIC	867.1	819.2	838.7
Log.Lik.	-405.353	-371.069	-370.350
RMSE	0.32	0.31	0.31

Table 2: Regression for Implications to descriptive Representation (Considering exit)

Table 6: Substantive representation: Avoid topics

	A	В	С
Communicative threat	1.252 (0.325)***	1.347 (0.334)***	1.383 (0.335)***
Physical threat	$0.27\hat{9}$ (0.355)	$0.33\hat{5} \ (0.3\hat{6}8)$	$0.33\dot{1}\ (0.368)$
Racialized group (Ref. no)		-0.174 (0.326)	-0.083 (0.331)
Female or diverse (Ref. no)		0.565 (0.194)**	0.543 (0.197)**
Class		$0.113 \ (0.113)$	$0.114 \ (0.113)$
Primary Topic: Migration			$-0.740 \ (0.622)$
Primary Topic: Gender			$0.558 \ (0.514)$
Primary Topic: Class			0.047 (0.323)
AfD (Ref. other)	-0.125 (0.433)	-0.072 (0.441)	-0.060 (0.442)
SPD	$-0.261 \ (0.245)$	$-0.322 \ (0.252)$	$-0.323 \ (0.253)$
B'90/Grüne	$-0.239 \ (0.250)$	$-0.366 \ (0.259)$	-0.357 (0.260)
LINKE	0.075 (0.319)	-0.182 (0.345)	$-0.196 \ (0.348)$
Age	-1.347 (0.457)**	-1.294 (0.470)**	-1.200 (0.475)*
(Intercept)	-2.355 (0.402)***	-2.918 (0.525)***	-2.986 (0.529)***
Num.Obs.	1166	1111	1111
AIC	835.0	805.9	809.0
BIC	875.5	861.1	879.2
Log.Lik.	-409.520	-391.957	-390.490
F	4.119	3.737	3.095
RMSE	0.32	0.32	0.32

Table 3: Regression for Implications to substantive Representation (Avoid topics)