



Clustering Technique - Feedback (Beta UAT)

Questions

Responses 1

Settings

1 response



Accepting responses




Summary

Question

Individual


What does your role at DCS involve? (Tick all that apply)

1 response



Were you able to create a notebook from scratch using the provided manual instructions?

1 response



● Yes
● No


If no in the last question, tell us why?

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

After running the pipeline once, were you able to run it again without any issues?
(different images, image sets)

1 response



● Yes
● No

Is the terminology in the manual too technical? Could it be simpler or is there more information required? (Please explain)

1 response

Perhaps for someone (like me) who didn't have prior knowledge around AWS components being used (Sagemaker, bit bucket, notebooks, Conda Python environment, etc) it could be a of a little challenge to follow this document. Hence I suggest below recommendations that could contribute to improvise this cheat sheet

- Table of contents - A brief TOC (in the Header itself) to indicate what this document will cover. Perhaps sections and section numbers where a reader can go straight to that particular section in case need to refer for that second time
- Soft links and learning resources - If possible provide these in the document as they can be useful for some who like to learn/refresh their knowledge around AWS features
- Overall layout may be reviewed to ensure it flows logically
- Improve fonts and its sizes. There is text that is in bold that may be unnecessary.
- Bullet points (are they really required?)
- Bullet points - Some are BOLD & Underlined and some are just italicised BOLD. They are somewhat off and inconsistent. Suggest reviewing if document is going to be intended for larger audience.
- Tip: Pre-requisites can be moved to the beginning of the document
- Tip: System specifications, notebook settings and pre-requisites can be combined into a single table of information

Shape file output validation - Are the shape files produced as expected? (Please provide some details surrounding the output, can upload using the drive link provided if there is any issues or data that needs to be checked) -


<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1UlaOkVSLGMppnaaq1UNATj11vDhUjA3A?usp=sharing>

1 response

Worked okay.

Would including more images in the manual assist in the execution of the instructions?

1 response




If yes in the last question, tell us where?

1 response

User could be more interested in using their own data. Screenshot or two will certainly help as long as they won't take up lot of space in the document. Consider mentioning about the types of formats that the process expects.

Compared to the current process do you see this system as an improvement?

1 response



Please explain your answer from the previous question.

1 response

Unfortunately, am not an SME in this area. However, does sound promising (speaking to the team leader) and appear to huge potential in the Digital Twin space aimed at contributing to improvise overall customer experience sate-wide.

Over to you. Is there any concerns or questions you would like to raise?

1 response

None

One final thing, make sure the AWS Sagemaker notebook has been turned off after the session of processing images to save a costly bill. Thanks for completing this user feedback survey.

1 response

Completed.