

## SUMSETS AS UNIONS OF SUMSETS OF SUBSETS

JORDAN S. ELLENBERG

The novel approach to the polynomial method introduced by Croot, Lev, and Pach in [CLP17] has led to rapid progress in a range of problems in extremal combinatorics: for instance, a new upper bound for the cap set problem [EG17], bounds for complexity of matrix-multiplication methods based on elementary abelian groups [BCC<sup>+</sup>16], bounds for the Erdős-Szemerédi sunflower conjecture [NS16], and polynomial bounds for the arithmetic triangle removal lemma [FL16]. In many of the applications, the original bound on cap sets in [EG17] does not suffice for applications: for instance, in [BCC<sup>+</sup>16] and [FL16] one needs to bound the size of a *multi-colored sum-free set*, a somewhat more general object.

In the present note, we show how to use the polynomial method to prove a still more general lemma on sumsets which implies the combinatorial bounds used in applications so far. Loosely speaking, we show that the sumset  $S + T$  of two large subsets  $S$  and  $T$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  can be expressed “more efficiently” as a union of sumsets of smaller subsets.

Write  $M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$  for the upper bound proved in [EG17] for the size of a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  with no three-term arithmetic progressions; to be precise,  $M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$  is three times the number of monomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with degree at most  $(q-1)$  in each variable and total degree at most  $(q-1)n/3$ . For each  $q$ , the bound  $M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$  is bounded above by  $c^n$  for some  $c < q$ . (We note that for the sake of the present argument there is no need to consider prime powers  $q$  other than primes.)

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field and let  $S, T$  be subsets of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . Then there is a subset  $S'$  of  $S$  and a subset  $T'$  of  $T$  such that*

- $|S'| + |T'| \leq M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ ;
- $(S' + T) \cup (S + T') = S + T$ .

Applying Theorem 1 to the symmetric case  $S = T$ , we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . Then  $S$  has a subset  $S'$  of size at most  $M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$  such that  $S' + S = S + S$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1 there are subsets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  of  $S$  such that  $S + S = (S_1 + S) \cup (S + S_2)$  and  $|S_1| + |S_2| \leq M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ . Taking  $S'$  to be  $S_1 \cup S_2$  we are done.  $\square$

This immediately implies the bound proved in [EG17] on subsets of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  with no three terms in arithmetic progression:

**Corollary 3** ([EG17]). *A subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  containing no three-term arithmetic progression has size at most  $M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $S$  has no 3-term arithmetic progression, then  $S' + S$  is strictly smaller than  $S + S$  for every proper subset  $S' \subset S$  (because  $S' + S$  fails to contain  $2s$  if  $s$  lies in the complement

of  $S'$ .) Thus, the subset  $S'$  guaranteed by Corollary 2 must be equal to  $S$ , whence  $|S| = |S'| \leq M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ .  $\square$

Theorem 1 also implies the bounds on multi-colored sum-free sets proved in [Kle16] and [BCC<sup>+</sup>16]. (We note that [BCC<sup>+</sup>16] proves a substantially more general result which applies, for example, to arbitrary abelian groups of bounded exponent.)

**Corollary 4** (Th 1, [Kle16]). *Let  $S, T$  be subsets of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  of the same cardinality  $N$ , assigned an ordering  $s_1, \dots, s_N$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  such that the equation  $s_i + t_i = s_j + t_k$  holds only when  $(j, k) = (i, i)$ . Then  $N \leq M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S', T'$  be chosen as in Theorem 1. Each sum  $s_i + t_i$  therefore lies in either  $S + T'$  or  $S' + T$ . But since  $s_i + t_i$  can't be expressed as  $s_j + t_k$  for any other  $j, k$ , this implies that either  $s_i \in S'$  or  $t_i \in T'$ . It follows that  $N \leq |S'| + |T'| \leq M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ .  $\square$

We now prove Theorem 1. The proof is in essence no different from the arguments in the papers cited, but there is one new ingredient: a result of Meshulam [Mes85] on linear spaces of matrices of low rank.

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be the space of polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with degree at most  $(q - 1)$  in each variable and total degree at most  $d$ , which vanish on the complement of  $S + T$ . Then  $\dim V$  is at least  $m_d - q^n + |S + T|$ . Write  $\mathcal{M}$  for the space of  $|S| \times |T|$  matrices, where the rows are understood to be indexed by  $S$  and the columns by  $T$ .

For each  $P \in V$  we may consider  $M(P) \in \mathcal{M}$  whose entries are  $P(s + t)_{s \in S, t \in T}$ . By the argument of the Croot-Lev-Pach lemma [CLP17] this matrix has rank at most  $2m_{d/2}$ .

Note that  $M$  is an homomorphism from  $V$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is injective: if  $P$  lies in the kernel, it vanishes at  $S + T$ , but  $P$  vanishes on the complement of  $S + T$ , so  $P$  vanishes on every point of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  and is 0.

We thus can, and do, think of  $V$  as a vector subspace of  $\mathcal{M}$  of dimension at least  $m_d - q^n + |S + T|$ , each of whose members has rank at most  $2m_{d/2}$ .

In order to derive the desired conclusion, we use a theorem of Meshulam [Mes85], which gives lower bounds for the maximum rank attained in a linear space of matrices. Choose an ordering on  $S$  and an ordering on  $T$ . These choices endow the entries of a matrix in  $\mathcal{M}$  with a lexicographic order. If  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  is a matrix, we denote by  $p(A) \in S \times T$  the location of the lexicographically first nonzero entry of  $A$ .

We note that  $p(M(P))$  cannot be an arbitrary element of  $S \times T$ , since  $M(P)$  has equal entries at  $(s, t)$  and  $(s', t')$  whenever  $s + t = s' + t'$ . In particular, this means that  $(s, t)$  and  $(s', t')$  cannot both be  $p(M(P))$  for polynomials  $P \in V$ ; only the lexicographically prior of these two pairs can appear.

By Gaussian elimination, there is a basis  $A_1, \dots, A_{\dim V}$  for  $V$  such that  $p(A_1), \dots, p(A_{\dim V})$  are distinct. Now apply Meshulam's theorem [Mes85, Theorem 1], which shows that there is a set of  $2m_{d/2}$  lines (a line being a row or a column) whose union contains  $p(A_i)$  for all  $i$ .

This set of lines consists of a subset of  $S$ , which we call  $S_0$ , and a subset of  $T$ , which we call  $T_0$ , satisfying  $|S_0| + |T_0| = 2m_{d/2}$ .

We now have, for  $i = 1, \dots, \dim V$ ,

$$p(A_i) = (s_i, t_i)$$

with either  $s_i \in S_0$  or  $t_i \in T_0$ . What's more,  $s_i + t_i$  and  $s_j + t_j$  are distinct whenever  $i$  and  $j$  are. So the union of  $S_0 + T$  with  $S + T_0$  contains at least  $\dim V$  elements of  $S + T$ .

Since  $\dim V \geq m_d - q_n + |S + T|$ , the set  $W$  of elements of  $S + T$  *not* contained in  $(S_0 + T) \cup (S + T_0)$  has cardinality at most  $q_n - m_d$ . Let  $S_1$  be a subset of  $S$  of size  $q_n - m_d$  such that each  $w \in W$  is represented as  $s + t$  for some  $s \in S_1$ . Then taking  $S' = S_0 \cup S_1$  and  $T' = T_0$ , we have that  $S' + T \cup S + T'$  contains all of  $S + T$ ; moreover,

$$|S'| + |T'| \leq 2m_{d/2} + q^n - m_d$$

and minimizing over  $d$  we get the desired result.  $\square$

*Remark 5.* The bound on  $|S'| + |T'|$  in Theorem 1 is essentially sharp, since Corollary 4, the consequent bound on multi-colored sum-free sets, is now known to be essentially sharp ([KSS16],[Nor16],[Peb16].)

**Question 6.** One naturally wonders whether Theorem 1 has an analogue for cyclic groups. That is: let  $g(N)$  be the smallest integer such that, for any subsets  $S$  and  $T$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ , there are always  $S' \subset S$  and  $T' \subset T$  with  $(S + T') \cup (S' + T) = S + T$  and  $|S'| + |T'| \leq g(N)$ . What can we say about the growth of  $g(N)$ ? Behrend's example [Beh46] of a large subset of  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  with no three-term arithmetic progressions shows that  $g(N)$  would have to be at least  $N^{1-\epsilon}$ . Jacob Fox and Will Sawin explained to me that  $g(N) = o(N)$  follows from known bounds for arithmetic triangle removal.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is supported by NSF Grant DMS-1402620 and a Guggenheim Fellowship. He thanks Jacob Fox and the readers of Quomodocumque for useful discussions about the subject of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [BCC<sup>+</sup>16] J. Blasiak, T. Church, H. Cohn, J. A. Grochow, E. Naslund, W. F. Sawin, and C. Umans, *On cap sets and the group-theoretic approach to matrix multiplication*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.06702, to appear, Discrete Analysis (2016).
- [Beh46] F. A. Behrend, *On sets of integers which contain no three terms in arithmetical progression*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **32** (1946), no. 12, 331–332.
- [CLP17] E. Croot, V. Lev, and P. P. Pach, *Progression-free sets in  $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$  are exponentially small*, Ann. of Math. **185** (2017), no. 1, 331–337.
- [EG17] J. S. Ellenberg and D. Gijswijt, *On large subsets of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  with no three-term arithmetic progression*, Ann. of Math. **185** (2017), no. 1, 339–343.
- [FL16] J. Fox and L. M. Lovász, *A tight bound for Green's boolean removal lemma*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01230 (2016).
- [Kle16] R. Kleinberg, *A nearly tight upper bound on tri-colored sum-free sets in characteristic 2*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.08416 (2016).
- [KSS16] R. Kleinberg, W. F. Sawin, and D. E. Speyer, *The Growth Rate of Tri-Colored Sum-Free Sets*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.00047 (2016).
- [Mes85] R. Meshulam, *On the maximal rank in a subspace of matrices*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics **36** (1985), no. 2, 225–229.
- [NS16] E. Naslund and W. F. Sawin, *Upper bounds for sunflower-free sets*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.09575 (2016).
- [Nor16] S. Norin, *A distribution on triples with maximum entropy marginal*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.00243 (2016).
- [Peb16] L. Pebody, *Proof of a Conjecture of Kleinberg-Sawin-Speyer*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.05740 (2016).