

Concurrency

by Jørgen Staunstrup, jst@itu.dk, draft version Jan 10, 2021

The note starts by taking a helicopter view on concurrency where we ignore performance details. Instead the focus is more qualitative identifying a few core concepts that directly reflect the different motivations for using concurrency.

Concurrency programming is a set of concepts and abstractions used for writing software with multiple independent streams of statements/instructions [1](#). This may be contrasted with sequential programming which deals with only a single stream of statements. In this note, we use the term *stream* [2](#) to denote software component with a single stream of statements e.g.

```
stream t = new stream(() -> {  
    s1;s2;s3; ...  
});
```

The body of a stream may contain all the well-known programming concepts e.g. loops, if-statements, variable declarations and functions. Anything, one would write in the `main` method of a Java program.

The syntax in this note is close to Javas syntax, but some of the code below, is not 100 % valid Java.

Writings about concurrency has introduced a number of programming abstractions for concurrency programming. However, there is not (yet) a widely accepted uniform terminology or set of abstractions e.g. to discuss how to coordinate threads. This is in contrast to functions/methods and parameters which are roughly the same in most programming languages.

Most often concurrency concepts are discussed with a strong emphasis on performance. This is natural because concurrency is often used to improve performance. However, the performance bias often introduce extra complexity. For example, the interplay of the memory model and locking mechanisms in Java is quite complex. In this note, we focus on introducing a few abstract concurrency concepts ignoring the complexity of their efficient implementation.

The first such term is *stream* that we use as an abstraction for discussing the following three fundamental motivations for concurrency:

- User interfaces and other kinds of input/output (Inherent).
- Hardware capable of simultaneously executing multiple streams of statements (Exploitation), a special (but important) case is communication and coordination of independent computers on the internet,
- Enabling several programs to share some resources in a manner where each can act as if they had sole ownership (Hidden)[3](#).

Concurrency programming has a number of additional challenges compared to sequential programming; first and foremost handling *coordination* when the independent streams need to collaborate, compete for resources or exchange information. The term *coordination* is used here as an abstraction of more low level terms like synchronization, locking, communication, ... that one typically finds in writings about concurrency.

Concurrency and *nondeterminism* are two related but different concepts. Concurrent programs may certainly behave nondeterministically, for example, when different streams share variables and the result of the computation differs because of the detailed timing of the statements in the streams. However, a nondeterministic computation may be completely sequential if a statement for throwing a dice (coin, random number, ...) is introduced.

The greatest challenge in concurrency programming is getting the streams to coordinate reliably in the face of this nondeterminism. Therefore, it is important not to prematurely focus on performance. This will only complicate getting the coordination correct.

A brief history of concurrency

The very first computers for general purpose were only meant for running one program at a time. However, they gradually became powerful enough that a small number of users could share the computer. The computer itself could still only execute a single sequence of commands/instructions. However, users had the illusion that they had the computer for themselves. This was called *timesharing* (and was indeed analogous to the concept of timesharing an apartment). Today, we have the somewhat opposite situation where the hardware in a laptop or smart-phone is capable of running several streams simultaneously, because it has a small number of processors called *cores*.

In the meantime, the focus of concurrency programming has shifted several times and this has led to the terminology being somewhat confusing. For example, the first programming languages used the term *process* to denote a single independent stream of statements/instructions.

Going into more details with the three types of concurrency.

The three fundamental types of concurrency described above are not always viewed as instances of a more general concept. The thesis of this note is that there are some common abstractions covering all three. Performance details are set aside (because they often introduce a lot of low-level considerations). Performance may of course turn out to be important, but this is certainly not always the key challenge. Finding the right abstract program structure is often the key to writing good software. Historically, the importance of the fundamental motivations for concurrency has changed over time, and hence a number of programming language constructs introduced over the years have only addressed one or two of them well.

Hardware capable of simultaneously executing multiple streams of statements (Exploitation)

Today, most laptops smart phones and servers have hardware capable of executing several streams of instructions. These are called multi-core computers and each core is an independent processor. To take advantage of multiple cores the software must be written in such a way that independent streams of statements can be separated and directed to the different cores/processors. In Java, this can be done by making each independent stream of statements a thread.

Example

Below is an example of code where concurrency multiple streams are used to speed up a computation (running on a computer with multiple cores). Three streams collaborate on counting the number of primes less than 3 million.

```

stream t1= new stream(() -> {
    for (int i=0; i<999999; i++)
        if (isPrime(i)) counter.increment();
});

stream t2= new stream(() -> {
    for (int i=1000000; i<1999999; i++)
        if (isPrime(i)) counter.increment();
});

stream t3= new stream(() -> {
    for (int i=2000000; i<2999999; i++)
        if (isPrime(i)) counter.increment();
});

```

Interacting with the environment (Inherent)

Almost any computer needs to communicate with its environment, e.g. to get input or to show results. In sequential programs this may be done with statements like `read` or `write`:

```

n= read();
res= computeNoOfPrimess(n);
write(res);

```

However, this will force the computer executing the code to stop and wait for the user to provide input (and for the output to complete). This is not convenient, if there are many independent communication channels e.g. multiple buttons and text fields on a webpage, or on a smart-phone. Similarly, for a computer embedded in a robot that needs to listen for input from many different sensors to navigate safely.

So whenever there is an independent stream of external events that needs the computer's attention, there should be an independent stream in its program handling the stream of events.

```

//Code for a user interface
stream searchField= new stream(() -> {
    newText= await(searchField);
        search(newText);
    });

stream stopApp= new stream(() -> {
    await(stopButton);
    exitApp;
});

```

Resource sharing (Hidden)

Many apps may be active on a smartphone, but most of the time they are idle waiting for something to happen e.g. an e-mail arriving, download finishing, alarm clock activated etc. Therefore, the underlying operating system will typically have many streams executing on the same processor (core). Since each of the streams is idle (waiting) most of the time, none of them will "notice" that other streams are running on the same processor.

```

//e-mail app

```

```
stream Email= new stream() -> {
  do {
    await(email);
    notify(user);
    store(email_in_inbox);
  } forever
})

// Stopwatch app
stream stopWatch= new stream() -> {
  do {
    alarmAt= await(start button);
    waitUntil(alarmAt);
    notify user;
  } forever
})
```

Resume

This section has introduced the stream concept for concurrency programming and exemplified its use for handling three fundamental motivations for using concurrency. In the next section (follows later), we will discuss coordination of streams illustrated with code for a simple Stopwatch.

1. stream implies that there is an ordering of the statements.[↩](#)
 2. you may think of a stream as a thread with no overhead. Not to be confused with the Java data type `Stream`.[↩](#)
 3. These terms were coined by the Norwegian computer scientist Kristen Nygaard.
-

[↩](#)