

# Human Activity Recognition : A Qualitative Assessment of Weight Lifting Exercises

*Abhinav Mishra*

*21 February 2015*

Using devices such as Jawbone Up, Nike FuelBand, and Fitbit it is now possible to collect a large amount of data about personal activity relatively inexpensively. These type of devices are part of the quantified self movement - a group of enthusiasts who take measurements about themselves regularly to improve their health, to find patterns in their behavior, or because they are tech geeks. One thing that people regularly do is quantify how much of a particular activity they do, but they rarely quantify how well they do it. In this project, we use data from accelerometers on the belt, forearm, arm, and dumbbell of 6 participants (Velloso et al. 2013).

They were asked to perform barbell lifts correctly and incorrectly in 5 different ways. Given data from accelerometers, the goal is to predict the class of action which is one of the following.

- exactly according to the specification (A)
- throwing elbows to the front (B)
- lifting the dumbbell only halfway (C)
- lowering the dumbbell only halfway (D)
- throwing the hips to the front (E).

More information is available from the website here: <http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har> (see the section on the Weight Lifting Exercise Dataset).

## Data

The training data for this project are available here: <https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv>

The test data are available here: <https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-testing.csv>

## Loading train data and test data

```
library(RCurl)
```

```
## Loading required package: bitops
```

```
train_url <- "https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-training.csv"
test_url <- "https://d396qusza40orc.cloudfront.net/predmachlearn/pml-testing.csv"
train_data <- read.csv(text=getURL(train_url), na.strings=c("", "NA"))
test_data <- read.csv(text=getURL(test_url), na.strings=c("", "NA"))
```

## Preprocessing for consumption

```

# 1. Remove first column of the data (which is just index and of no relevance).
train_data$X <- NULL

# 2. Remove the user and time information (which do not have any effect on whether barbell lifts are pe
ineffective_cols <- c("user_name", "raw_timestamp_part_1", "raw_timestamp_part_2", "cvtd_timestamp")
for (col in ineffective_cols) {
  train_data[, col] <- NULL
}

# 3. Remove the columns which have many missing values and imputing is not possible.
NAs <- apply(train_data,2,function(x) {sum(is.na(x))})
train_data <- train_data[,which(NAs == 0)]

# 4. Remove features that don't have any (or very small) variance predictors
library(caret)
novar <- nearZeroVar(train_data)
train_data <- train_data[-novar]
test_data <- test_data[-novar]

```

The final set of predictors/ features used for classification are:-

```
names(train_data)
```

```

## [1] "num_window"          "roll_belt"          "pitch_belt"
## [4] "yaw_belt"           "total_accel_belt"   "gyros_belt_x"
## [7] "gyros_belt_y"       "gyros_belt_z"       "accel_belt_x"
## [10] "accel_belt_y"       "accel_belt_z"       "magnet_belt_x"
## [13] "magnet_belt_y"      "magnet_belt_z"      "roll_arm"
## [16] "pitch_arm"          "yaw_arm"            "total_accel_arm"
## [19] "gyros_arm_x"        "gyros_arm_y"        "gyros_arm_z"
## [22] "accel_arm_x"        "accel_arm_y"        "accel_arm_z"
## [25] "magnet_arm_x"       "magnet_arm_y"       "magnet_arm_z"
## [28] "roll_dumbbell"      "pitch_dumbbell"     "yaw_dumbbell"
## [31] "total_accel_dumbbell" "gyros_dumbbell_x"   "gyros_dumbbell_y"
## [34] "gyros_dumbbell_z"   "accel_dumbbell_x"   "accel_dumbbell_y"
## [37] "accel_dumbbell_z"   "magnet_dumbbell_x"  "magnet_dumbbell_y"
## [40] "magnet_dumbbell_z"  "roll_forearm"       "pitch_forearm"
## [43] "yaw_forearm"        "total_accel_forearm" "gyros_forearm_x"
## [46] "gyros_forearm_y"    "gyros_forearm_z"    "accel_forearm_x"
## [49] "accel_forearm_y"    "accel_forearm_z"    "magnet_forearm_x"
## [52] "magnet_forearm_y"   "magnet_forearm_z"   "classe"

```

## Modelling

We build a random forest classifier to predict the action class. Let's measure the accuracy of the model. Perform a 10-fold cross validation with 80:20 split, on each fold, use 80% of the data for training the random forest and use remaining 20% for testing.

```
library(randomForest)
```

```
## randomForest 4.6-10
## Type rfNews() to see new features/changes/bug fixes.
```

```
set.seed(1)
obs <- c()
preds <- c()
for(i in 1:10) {
  intrain = sample(1:dim(train_data)[1], size=dim(train_data)[1] * 0.8, replace=F)
  train_cross = train_data[intrain,]
  test_cross = train_data[-intrain,]
  rf <- randomForest(classe ~ ., data=train_cross)
  obs <- c(obs, test_cross$classe)
  preds <- c(preds, predict(rf, test_cross))
}
```

The confusion matrix:-

```
conf_mat <- confusionMatrix(table(preds, obs))
conf_mat$table
```

```
##      obs
## preds  1      2      3      4      5
##  1 11099      7      0      0      0
##  2      1 7456     10      0      0
##  3      0      3 6836     32      0
##  4      0      0      3 6470      7
##  5      2      0      0      2 7322
```

The accuracy is 99.8292994% and only few instances are wrongly classified. So the model seems good. Train the random forest with whole dataset so that the classifier can be used to predict the class of an action, given the set of activity measurements.

```
model <- randomForest(classe ~ ., data=train_data)
```

## References

Velloso, Eduardo, Andreas Bulling, Hans Gellersen, Wallace Ugulino, and Hugo Fuks. 2013. "Qualitative Activity Recognition of Weight Lifting Exercises." In *Proceedings of the 4th Augmented Human International Conference*, 116–23. AH '13. New York, NY, USA: ACM. doi:[10.1145/2459236.2459256](https://doi.org/10.1145/2459236.2459256).