

# Symplectic Duality and Coulomb Branches

## Course by Victor Ginzburg

Typed by Andrea Bourque

Winter 2026

### Preface

There will be some gaps in explanation, either due to the lecturer's admission or my own lack of understanding. In particular, many “proofs” are sketches of proofs. Gaps due to my own misunderstanding will be indicated by three red question marks: **???**. More generally, my own questions about the material will also be in red. Things like “**Question**” will be questions posed by the lecturer. Feel free to reach out to me with explanations.

### Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Jan 6</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Jan 8 - Geometry of spherical and hyperspherical varieties</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Jan 13</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Geometry of moment maps . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Jan 15</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1	Global symplectic duality . . . . .	11

# 1 Jan 6

We fix  $G$  reductive connected over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra,  $M$  an affine normal Poisson variety, generically symplectic. Let  $G$  have Hamiltonian action on  $M$  with moment map  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ . There is a scaling action  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  on  $M$  which commutes with the  $G$ -action and with  $\mu$ .

When we introduce the Langlands dual group  $G^\vee$ , we want some  $M^\vee$  which plays the role of  $M$ . This is known basically only in the case where  $M = T^*X$  for  $X$  a smooth affine  $G$ -variety. The main problem is specifically to find a class of “good”  $M$  such that  $M$  is good implies  $M^\vee$  is good,  $(M^\vee)^\vee = M$ , and all  $T^*X$  are good.

Now fix a Borel  $B$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth affine  $G$ -variety, and let  $M$  be as in the first paragraph.

- Definition 1.1.**
1.  $X$  is **spherical** if  $X$  contains an open dense  $B$ -orbit.
  2.  $M$  is **hyperspherical** if for all  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}[M]^G$ , we have  $\{f_1, f_2\} = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1.**  $X$  is spherical iff  $T^*X$  is hyperspherical.

We will prove this later on.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $M$  be a hyperspherical variety. Then:

1. The map  $\bar{\mu} : M//G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*//G$  on categorical quotients is finite, i.e.  $\mathbb{C}[M]^G$  is a finitely generated module over  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]^G = (\text{Sym}\mathfrak{g})^G$ .
2. The image  $\text{im}(\bar{\mu})$  of  $\bar{\mu}$  is closed in  $\mathfrak{g}^*//G$ .
3. The composite  $\nu : M \rightarrow M//G \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} \mathfrak{g}^*//G$  has the property that all irreducible components of all of its non-empty fibers have the same dimension.
4. Each irreducible component of the generic fibers of  $\nu$  is the closure of a  $G$ -orbit.

*Note.* “Generic” here means it is true in a Zariski open subset.

**Corollary 1.1.** If  $M$  is hyperspherical, then  $\dim M \leq \dim G + \dim(\mathfrak{g}^*//G) = \dim G + \text{rk}G$ .

From now on, we consider  $M$  to be smooth and symplectic.

Let  $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Lie}B$ . The composite  $\mu_B : M \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}^*$  is the moment map for the  $B$ -action. Let  $\Lambda_M = \mu_B^{-1}(0) = \mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}^\perp)$ .

**Example 1.1.** If  $M = T^*X$ , then  $\Lambda_M$  is the union of the conormal bundles  $T_O^*X$  to  $B$ -orbits  $O \subset X$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *If  $X$  is spherical, then  $X$  is a finite union of  $B$ -orbits. (???)*

**Corollary 1.2.** *If  $X$  is spherical and  $M = T^*X$ , then  $\Lambda_M$  is Lagrangian in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Each conormal bundle is Lagrangian. 

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $M$  be smooth and symplectic. If  $\Lambda_M$  is Lagrangian, then  $M$  is hyperspherical.*

Conjecture: if  $M$  is good symplectic hyperspherical, then  $\Lambda_M$  is Lagrangian, and there is a bijection between the irreducible components of  $\Lambda_M$  and the irreducible components of  $\Lambda_{M^\vee}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = G/B$  be the flag variety, let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the nilpotent cone in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , let  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = T^*\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{N}$  be the Springer resolution, and let  $\text{St}_G = \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \times_{\mathcal{N}} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  be the Steinberg variety. It is known that  $\text{St}_G$  is Lagrangian in  $T^*(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B})$ , and  $H_{top}^{BM}(\text{St}_G)$  has a natural algebra structure, isomorphic to the group algebra of the Weyl group  $W$ .

Now let  $M$  be hyperspherical, and assume that  $\Lambda_M$  is Lagrangian. Let  $\text{St}_M = M \times_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . As a subvariety of  $M \times \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , it is stable under the diagonal  $G$ -action. We have  $\text{St}_M \cong G \times^B \Lambda_M$ . If  $M = T^*X$ , then  $M \times \tilde{\mathcal{N}} = T^*(X \times \mathcal{B})$ , and  $\text{St}_M$  is the union of conormal bundles to  $G$ -orbits.

By analyzing the fiber product conditions, we see that there is a convolution  $\text{St}_M \circ \text{St}_G = \text{St}_M$ . In particular, two pairs  $(\eta, \xi) \in \text{St}_M$  and  $(\xi, \xi') \in \text{St}_G$  give a new pair  $(\eta, \xi')$  in  $\text{St}_M$ . This gives  $H_{top}^{BM}(\text{St}_M)$  the structure of a  $H_{top}^{BM}(\text{St}_G)$ -module, i.e. it is a representation of  $W$ .

Conjecture: There is an isomorphism of  $W$ -reps  $H_{top}^{BM}(\text{St}_M) \cong H_{top}^{BM}(\text{St}_{M^\vee})$ .

**Example 1.2.** Now we tabulate results when I'm not lazy I will make this look nice.. Row 1:  $G = T$  is a torus,  $M = T^*(T/T_1)$  for a subtorus  $T_1$ . Then  $M^\vee = T^*(T_1^\vee)$ .

Spherical  $T$ -variety is a toric variety; for it to be smooth, it would be affine. So in particular (row 2), if  $G = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$  and  $M = T^*(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , then  $G^\vee = G$  and  $M^\vee = M$ .

Next (row 3) consider the group  $G \times G$  and  $M = T^*G$ , where  $G \times G$  acts by left and right translations. Then the dual group is  $G^\vee \times G^\vee$  and  $M^\vee = T^*(G^\vee)$ . Note that  $G$  is spherical in this case, since it has the open  $B \times B$  orbit given by  $Bw_0B$ , where  $w_0 \in W$  is the longest element.

Row 4: if the group is just  $G$  and  $M = T^*G$ , then  $M^\vee = \mathcal{N}_{G^\vee}$ .

Row 5: Let  $U = [B, B]$  be max unipotent. Consider the group  $G \times T$ , where  $T$  is a maximal torus in  $G$ . Let  $M$  be the affine closure of  $T^*(G/U)$ . Then  $M^\vee$  is the affine closure of  $T^*(G^\vee/U^\vee)$ . This is related to Eisenstein series. (note: possibly incorrect)

Row 6: consider the same  $M$  but for the group  $G$ . Then  $M^\vee = \overline{T^*(G^\vee/U^\vee)}/W$ , where the  $W$ -action is by Gelfand-Graev (it is not an obvious action).

Row 7: Let the group be  $G$ , and let  $M$  be a point. Then  $M^\vee = T_\psi^*(G^\vee/U^\vee) = (T^*G^\vee)/\!/_\psi U^\vee$  (Hamiltonian reduction), the Whittaker potential bundle for a nondegenerate character  $\psi : U^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

Row 8:  $G = GL_n \times GL_n$ ,  $M = T^*(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) = T^*M_n$ , where  $GL_n$  acts by left and right translations. This group is self dual, and  $M^\vee = T^*(GL_n \times \mathbb{C}^n) = T^*(G \times^{GL_n} \mathbb{C}^n)$ . This duality is classical and known in automorphic forms; in one direction it is Rankin-Selberg, and in the other it is Godement-Jacquet.

Row 9:  $G = GL_n$ ,  $M = T^*(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $M^\vee = T^*M_n/\!/_\psi U$ .

Row 10:  $G = GL_{2n}$ ,  $M = T^*(G/(GL_n \times GL_n))$  (block diagonal embedding),  $M^\vee = T^*(G \times^{Sp_{2n}} \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ .

Row 11:  $G = GL_{2n}$ ,  $M = T^*(G/Sp_{2n})$ ,  $M^\vee = T_\phi^*(G/Q)$ , where  $Q$  is the subgroup of block  $(n+n) \times (n+n)$  upper triangular matrices, where the two diagonal blocks are equal, and  $\phi$  takes such a matrix to  $e^{tr(a)}$ , where  $a$  is the upper right block.

## 2 Jan 8 - Geometry of spherical and hyperspherical varieties

**Theorem 2.1** (Rosenlicht). *Let  $H$  be a connected algebraic group acting on an irreducible variety  $X$ . Then there is an  $H$ -stable Zariski open  $X^\circ \subset X$  such that:*

1.  $H$ -orbits in  $X^\circ$  has maximal dimension.
2. There is a smooth surjective morphism  $X^\circ \rightarrow Y$  such that each fiber is a single orbit.  $Y$  is called the **geometric quotient**  $X^\circ/H$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  be the field of rational functions on  $X$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}(X)^H = \mathbb{C}(X^\circ)^H = \mathbb{C}(X^\circ/H)$ , and  $X$  has an open  $H$ -orbit iff  $\mathbb{C}(X) = \mathbb{C}$ .*

Resume the usual setup  $(G, B, T, U)$ . If  $\lambda \in X^*(T)$  is a character of  $T$ , we may lift it to a character of  $B$  by letting  $\lambda$  act trivially on  $U$ .

Now let  $G$  act on an affine variety  $X$ . We can decompose  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  into isotypic components corresponding to highest weight irreducible representations:

$$\mathbb{C}[X] = \bigoplus_{V_\lambda \in \text{Irr}(G)} \mathbb{C}[X]_\lambda.$$

We may do this because  $G$  is reductive and the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is locally finite. Let

$$\mathbb{C}[X]^{U, \lambda} = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[X] \mid b(f) = \lambda(b)f, \forall b \in B\}.$$

These are the  $B$ -semiinvariants of weight  $\lambda$ . It follows that the multiplicity  $m(\mathbb{C}[X] : V_\lambda)$  of  $V_\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is  $\dim \mathbb{C}[X]^{U, \lambda}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *X has an open B-orbit iff  $m(\mathbb{C}[X] : V_\lambda) \leq 1$  for all  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* To prove this, we need a lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}(X)$ . Then  $f \in \mathbb{C}(X)^B$  iff there exist  $\lambda$  and  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{U,\lambda}$  such that  $f = \varphi/\psi$ .*

*Proof of lemma.* If  $f = \varphi/\psi$ , then  $b(f) = b(\varphi)/b(\psi) = (\lambda(b)\varphi)/(\lambda(b)\psi) = \varphi/\psi = f$ , so  $f$  is invariant. Conversely, let  $f \in \mathbb{C}(X)^B$ . Write  $f = \varphi'/\psi'$  for arbitrary  $\varphi', \psi' \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ . The span  $\langle B\psi' \rangle$  of  $B\psi'$  is finite dimensional. By Lie's theorem, there is a  $\lambda$  and nonzero  $B$ -semiinvariant  $\psi \in \langle B\psi' \rangle$  of weight  $\lambda$ . Consequently, write  $\psi = \sum_i c_i b_i(\psi')$ . Define  $\varphi = \sum_i c_i b_i(\varphi')$ . For all  $b \in B$ , we have  $b(\varphi') = b(f)b(\psi') = fb(\psi')$ . Thus  $\varphi = \sum c_i b_i(\varphi') = \sum c_i f b_i(\psi') = f\psi$ . Thus  $f = \varphi/\psi$ , and since  $f$  is invariant and  $\psi$  is semiinvariant of weight  $\lambda$ ,  $\varphi$  must also be semiinvariant of weight  $\lambda$ . 

To prove the theorem, there exists an open  $B$ -orbit in  $X$  iff  $\mathbb{C}(X)^B = \mathbb{C}$ , which by the lemma is true iff  $\dim \mathbb{C}[X]^{U,\lambda} \leq 1$  for all  $\lambda$ , which gives the claim (since this dimension is the required multiplicity). 

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $X$  has an open  $B$ -orbit, then  $\mathbb{C}[T^*X]^G$  is a commutative Poisson algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  be the algebra of differential operators on  $X$ . Standard facts from  $X$  being affine:

1.  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is faithful as a  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ -module.
2.  $gr\mathcal{D}(X) \cong \mathbb{C}[T^*X]$  (where the filtration on  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is by order of differential operators).
3. Since  $G$  is reductive,  $gr(\mathcal{D}(X)^G) = \mathbb{C}[T^*X]^G$ .

Let  $a \in \mathcal{D}(X)^G$ . Then the action of  $a$  on  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  commutes with the  $G$ -action. Thus  $a$  restricts to maps between isotypic components for all weight. By the previous theorem and our hypothesis that  $X$  has an open  $B$ -orbit, we know that each isotypic component is either  $V_\lambda$  or 0. Thus, by Schur's lemma,  $a$  acts by scalars  $a_\lambda$  on all isotypic components. We obtain an algebra map  $i : \mathcal{D}(X)^G \rightarrow Maps(X^*(T), \mathbb{C})$ , where the right hand side consists of arbitrary functions of sets and is equipped with the pointwise algebra structure. Since  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  acts faithfully on  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ , this map  $i$  is injective. Since the pointwise algebra structure is commutative, we get that  $\mathcal{D}(X)^G$  is commutative. Finally, the associated graded of a commutative algebra is Poisson commutative, so we are done. 

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $X$  has an open  $B$ -orbit, then  $X$  is a finite union of  $B$ -orbits.*

*Proof.* We do not prove the whole claim, only the following weaker statements:

1.  $X$  is a finite union of  $G$ -orbits.
2. Each  $G$ -orbit contains an open  $B$ -orbit.

We need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $X$  has an open  $B$ -orbit, then for all  $G$ -stable closed subvarieties  $Y$ , we have  $\mathbb{C}(Y)^B = \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof of lemma.* Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}(Y)^B$ . Then there is some  $\lambda$  and some  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{C}[Y]^{U, \lambda}$  such that  $f = \varphi/\psi$ . (I should probably start hyperlinking references to past results). Since  $Y$  is a closed subvariety, the restriction map  $\mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[Y]$  is surjective. Then each map on isotypic components is surjective. By complete reducibility, this means  $\mathbb{C}[Y]_\lambda$  is a direct summand of  $\mathbb{C}[X]_\lambda$ . The is true of the spaces of semiinvariants, meaning we can lift  $\varphi, \psi$  to semiinvariant functions  $\varphi', \psi'$  of weight  $\lambda$  on all of  $X$ . Then  $\varphi'/\psi' \in \mathbb{C}(X)^B = \mathbb{C}$ , meaning  $\varphi/\psi = f$  is also constant. 

Now, since  $X$  has an open  $B$ -orbit, it must also have an open  $G$ -orbit  $O$  by saturating the open  $B$ -orbit. Let  $X_1$  be an irreducible component of  $X \setminus O$ . Then  $X_1$  is a closed  $G$ -stable subvariety of  $X$  with strictly smaller dimension. By the lemma,  $X_1$  also has an open  $B$ -orbit, so by the same argument,  $X_1$  has an open  $G$ -orbit. We may continue in this way, and eventually we the process will end because the dimension is strictly shrinking. 

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(E, \omega)$  be a symplectic vector space. Then a subspace  $F \subset E$  is **isotropic** if  $\omega|_F = 0$ .  $F$  is **coisotropic** if  $F^{\perp\omega}$  is isotropic.  $F$  is **Lagrangian** if it is isotropic and coisotropic.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a smooth affine symplectic variety. Then a subvariety  $Y \subset X$  is **isotropic/coisotropic** if there is an open smooth  $Y^\circ \subset Y$  such that for all  $y \in Y^\circ$ ,  $T_y Y$  is isotropic/coisotropic.

Now, let  $(M, \omega)$  be a smooth affine symplectic variety. Let  $G$  have Hamiltonian action on  $M$  with moment map  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *The following are equivalent:*

1. *The Poisson algebra  $\mathbb{C}(M)^G$  is commutative (meaning the bracket vanishes).*
2. *Generic  $G$ -orbits in  $M$  are coisotropic subvarieties.*
3. *Irreducible components of generic fibers of  $\mu$  are isotropic.*

*Proof.* All statements are “generic”, so we may assume  $M = M^\circ$  in the sense of Rosenlicht’s theorem. Any  $m \in M$  gives an action map  $\text{act}_m : G \rightarrow M$ ,  $g \mapsto gm$ , and we can differentiate it to get  $d_m \text{act}_m : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}m = T_m(Gm) \subset T_m M$ . Any  $f \in \mathbb{C}(M)$  gives a Hamiltonian vector field  $\xi_f$  determined by  $df = \omega(\xi_f, -)$ . Then  $f \in \mathbb{C}(M)^G$  iff  $f$  is constant on  $G$ -orbits, which is true iff  $d_m f|_{\mathfrak{g}m} = 0$  for almost all  $m \in M$  (namely, wherever  $f$  is defined). But this is true iff  $\omega(\xi_f, \mathfrak{g}m) = 0$ , i.e.  $\xi_f \in (\mathfrak{g}m)^{\perp\omega}$ . For  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}(M)$ , we have  $\{f_1, f_2\} = \omega(\xi_{f_1}, \xi_{f_2})$ . Thus  $\mathbb{C}(M)^G$  is Poisson commutative iff for almost all  $m \in M$ , the space of  $\xi_f(m)$  for  $f \in \mathbb{C}(M)^G$  is an isotropic subspace of  $T_m M$ . But we have

computed the space of  $\xi_f(m)$ ; it is  $(\mathfrak{g}m)^{\perp_\omega}$ . So  $\mathbb{C}(M)^G$  is Poisson commutative iff for almost all  $m$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}m = T_m(Gm)$  is coisotropic, which exactly means  $Gm$  is coisotropic.

Now observe that the transpose of  $d_m \text{act}_m$  is the composite  $T_m^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} T_m M \xrightarrow{d_m \mu} \mathfrak{g}^*$ , where the first map is the isomorphism given by  $\omega$  being nondegenerate. (I'm not sure I see why this is true)

Proof to be continued next lecture



### 3 Jan 13

#### 3.1 Geometry of moment maps

Let  $G$  be an algebraic or Lie group (not necessarily reductive), with Hamiltonian action on a symplectic  $(M, \omega)$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  where  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . Let  $\kappa : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be  $\kappa(a, b) = \phi([a, b])$ . The radical (those  $a$  for which  $\kappa(a, b) = 0$  for all  $b$ ) of  $\kappa$  is  $\mathfrak{g}^\phi = \text{Lie}(G^\phi)$ , where  $G^\phi = \text{Stab}_G(\phi)$ , where the stabilizer is taken with respect to the coadjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Then  $\kappa$  descends to a nondegenerate  $\kappa : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\phi \times \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Note that if  $O = \text{Ad}_G \phi$ , then  $T_\phi O = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\phi$ , so  $\kappa$  is giving rise to something called the Kirillov-Kostant symplectic form on  $O$ .

Now let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^*$ . Let  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  be the moment map, and fix  $m \in M$ . In the context of the above, we let  $\phi = \mu(m)$ . Then we have several formulas (“all of this is completely straightforward”):

- $\xi_{\mu^*(a)}(m) = am$ , for  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ .
- $\omega(am, bm) = \phi([a, b])$ , for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ .
- Consider the action map  $\text{act} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_m M$ ,  $a \mapsto am$ . Then the composite  $T_m M \xrightarrow{\omega} T_m^* M \xrightarrow{\text{act}^T} \mathfrak{g}^*$  is the differential of  $\mu$  at  $m$ . As a consequence,  $\ker(d_m \mu) = (\mathfrak{g}m)^\perp$ .
- The radical of  $\omega|_{\mathfrak{g}m}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}m \cap (\mathfrak{g}m)^\perp = \mathfrak{g}^\phi m$ .

In the above (and in the future, unless otherwise specified), any instance of  $\perp$  is taken with respect to  $\omega$ .

Now assume  $m \in M$  is sufficiently general, so that in particular  $m$  is a smooth point of  $\mu$ . Take  $\phi = \mu(m)$  again. Let  $F = \mu^{-1}(\phi)$ . By smoothness,  $T_m F = \ker(d_m \mu) = (\mathfrak{g}m)^\perp$ . So, we have a generic formula for  $T_m F$ .

Now assume  $Gm$  is coisotropic in  $M$  (hyperspherical case). Equivalently,  $(\mathfrak{g}m)^\perp \subset \mathfrak{g}m$ . Recall  $\text{rad}(\omega|_{\mathfrak{g}m}) = \mathfrak{g}m \cap (\mathfrak{g}m)^\perp = \mathfrak{g}^\phi m$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}m = T_m(Gm)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}m)^\perp \subset \mathfrak{g}m$ , we have

$$\text{rad}(\omega_{T_m(Gm)}) = (\mathfrak{g}m)^\perp = \mathfrak{g}^\phi m = T_m F.$$

The last equality has the geometric interpretation that  $F$  intersected with some open neighborhood of  $m$  is  $G^\phi m$ . Since  $m$  maps to  $\phi$  under a  $G$ -equivariant map, we have  $T_m F \subset \mathfrak{g}m = T_m(Gm) \xrightarrow{d_m \mu} T_\phi(G\phi) = \mathfrak{g}\phi$ . This implies (???) that  $Gm$  is an open dense subset of  $\mu^{-1}(G\phi)$ . This gives another perspective on what hyperspherical means: generic fibers of orbits are generically orbits themselves.

Recall that in general  $(\mathfrak{g}m)^\perp = \{\xi_f \mid f \in \mathbb{C}(M)^G\}$ . If  $M$  is hyperspherical, then all such  $\xi_f$  commute, and the above space is  $\mathfrak{g}^\phi m$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}^\phi/\mathfrak{g}^m$  is abelian. Thus the corresponding connected group  $(G^\phi)^\circ/G^m$  is abelian ( $\circ$  denotes connected

component of identity). Furthermore, this group is independent of the choice of element in (an irreducible component of)  $F$ , i.e. for any  $g \in G^\phi$  we have a canonical isomorphism  $(G^\phi)^\circ/G^m \cong (G^\phi)^\circ/G^{gm}$ . This is called the universal stabilizer of an irreducible component of  $F$ .

Now let  $G$  be reductive. Fix Borels  $B$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$ , and fix  $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Let  $\phi_{\mathfrak{b}} = \phi|_{\mathfrak{b}}$ , and we assume it is a character, i.e. it vanishes on  $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$ . Thus we get a functional on the Cartan  $\mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{n} \cong \mathfrak{t}$ . Recall that the composite of  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  with restriction to  $\mathfrak{b}$  is exactly the moment map  $\mu_B$  with respect to the  $B$ -action.  $\phi_{\mathfrak{b}}$  being a character means exactly that it is  $B$ -stable, so its fiber  $\mu_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1}(\phi_{\mathfrak{b}}) = \mu^{-1}(\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp)$  is also  $B$ -stable. If we identify  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$  with some invariant nondegenerate form, so  $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then the fiber may be expressed as  $\mu^{-1}(\phi + \mathfrak{n})$ .

Consider the map  $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* // G$ . By Chevalley,  $\mathfrak{g}^* // G = \mathfrak{t}^* / W$ , which we identify with  $\mathfrak{t} / W$ . Inside of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , we have  $\mathfrak{n}^\perp$ , which is identified with  $\mathfrak{b}$ , which maps to  $\mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{t}$ . These identifications are compatible with the quotient maps, i.e. the map  $\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} / W$  is the same as  $\mathfrak{b} \cong \mathfrak{n}^\perp \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* // G$ . What this means is that for a given  $c \in \mathfrak{g}^* // G$ , there are only finitely many  $\phi \in \mathfrak{t}$  which map to  $c$ . Hence (???) there are only finitely many spaces  $\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp = \phi + \mathfrak{n}$ . Now,  $\mu(M)$  is a  $G$ -stable subset of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , and we can take the composite  $\mu(M) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* // G$ . For  $m \in M$  general, let  $c$  be the image of  $m$  under this composite. Then there are finitely many  $\phi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  such that  $\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp$  meets  $G\phi$  (where  $\phi = \mu(m)$  (???) (lecturer refused to understand my confusion)). The following diagram illustrates some of the relevant geometry: (although I missed the lecturer's comments on its significance)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
M & \longleftrightarrow & Gm & \longleftrightarrow & Gm \cap \mu^{-1}(\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp) \\
\downarrow \mu & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{g}^* & \longleftrightarrow & G\phi & \longleftrightarrow & G\phi \cap \mu^{-1}(\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{g}^* // G & \longleftrightarrow & \{c\} & &
\end{array}$$

**Theorem 3.1.**  $M$  is hyperspherical iff for general  $m \in M$  and  $\phi = \mu(m)$ , then each irreducible component  $\mu^{-1}(\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp)$  is Lagrangian in  $M$ .

Conjecture: (I should make a conjecture environment)  $\mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}^\perp)$  is Lagrangian in  $M$ .

Assume there is a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $M$  such that:

- The  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action commutes with the  $G$ -action.
- $\mu$  is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant.
- The image of  $\mu(M)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^* // G$  contains 0, i.e.  $\mu(M)$  intersects the nilpotent cone  $\mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

If  $m \in M$  is such that  $\mu(m)$  maps to 0 in  $\mathfrak{g}^*//G$ , then  $\mu^{-1}(\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp) = \mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}^\perp)$ . By semicontinuity, the conjecture implies  $\dim \mu^{-1}(\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp) \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim M$  for all  $\phi$ . A theorem from Chriss-Ginzburg says that  $(\mu_{\mathfrak{b}})^{-1}(\phi_{\mathfrak{b}})$  is coisotropic in  $M$ . So, together with the conjecture, we get  $\mu^{-1}(\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp)$  is Lagrangian for all  $\phi \in \mu(M)$ .

*Sketch of proof of Theorem 3.1.* Fix general  $m \in M$ , let  $\phi = \mu(m)$ , and let  $F = \mu^{-1}(\phi)$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the irreducible component of  $\mu^{-1}(\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp)$  containing  $m$ . We want to show  $T_m \Lambda$  is Lagrangian in  $T_m M$ . Let  $O = G\phi = \mu(Gm)$ . Let  $\Sigma = O \cap (\phi + \mathfrak{b}^\perp)$ . Then  $T_\phi \Sigma = \{a\phi \mid a \in \mathfrak{g}, a\phi \in \mathfrak{b}^\perp\}$  (how is  $\mathfrak{g}$  acting on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ?). The end result is that  $T_m \Lambda = T_m F + \{am \mid a \in \mathfrak{g}, a\phi \in \mathfrak{b}^\perp\}$ . By the previously mentioned theorem in Chriss and Ginzburg, we know  $T_m \Lambda$  is coisotropic in  $T_m M$ , so we need to show it is isotropic. Let  $v_1, v_2 \in T_m F$  and  $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying  $a\phi, b\phi \in \mathfrak{b}^\perp$ . Then we need to compute  $\omega(v_1 + am, v_2 + bm)$ . The cross terms vanish by facts stated earlier in the lecture (related to Kirillov-Kostant).  $M$  being hyperspherical implies  $F$  is isotropic, which implies  $\omega(v_1, v_2) = 0$ . The last term is  $\phi([a, b]) = \kappa(a, b)$ . From Chriss and Ginzburg,  $\Sigma$  is Lagrangian in  $G\phi$ , where  $G\phi$  has the symplectic form  $\kappa$ . Thus  $\kappa(a, b) = 0$ . 

## 4 Jan 15

### 4.1 Global symplectic duality

Global symplectic duality is the same as what is known as relative (geometric) Langlands duality. We recall what non-relative Langlands duality is.

Let  $G$  be reductive with dual group  $G^\vee$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a fixed smooth projective curve. There are two sides, automorphic and spectral, related by duality. **TODO: table would be nice here.** On the automorphic side, the key object is  $\text{Bun} = \text{Bun}_G(\Sigma)$ , the  $G$ -bundles on  $\Sigma$ . The corresponding object on the spectral side is  $\text{Loc} = \text{LocSys}_{G^\vee}(\Sigma)$ , the  $G^\vee$ -local systems on  $\Sigma$ . Technically, both sides should also be restricted to objects with “nilpotent singular support”, but we will ignore this. On each side we consider some family of objects related to  $\text{Bun}$  or  $\text{Loc}$ . There are also various topological (in particular, cohomological) settings through which we can view things: Betti, de Rham, and étale. In the (Spectral,Betti) is  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), G^\vee)/G^\vee$ . (Spectral,de Rham) is  $G^\vee$ -bundles with a flat connection. (Spectral,étale) is not well-understood (**if I understood the lecturer correctly.**) (Automorphic, Betti) is constructible sheaves in the analytic topology. (Automorphic, de Rham) is D-modules. (Automorphic, étale) is constructible sheaves in the étale topology. The Langlands duality asserts the existence of a duality map  $\mathbb{L}$  from the spectral side to the automorphic side.

Now consider  $X$  smooth affine spherical  $G$ -variety with a root system “not of type  $N$ ”. Let  $M = T^*X$  and assume  $M^\vee = T^*X^\vee$ . Let  $P$  be a  $G$ -bundle on  $\Sigma$ . Then we can form  $X_P = P \times^G X \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Via this construction, we consider a space  $\text{Bun}^X = \text{Bun}_G^X(\Sigma)$  of pairs  $(P, s)$ , where  $P$  is a  $G$ -bundle and  $s$  is a section of  $X_P$ . Technically there should be some twist somewhere but we are ignoring it. For  $X = \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,  $\text{Bun}^X$  is the Higgs bundles (up to twist issues). There is an obvious projection  $p : \text{Bun}^X \rightarrow \text{Bun}$ .

Similarly, we may consider  $\text{Loc}^{X^\vee} = \text{Loc}_{G^\vee}^{X^\vee}(\Sigma)$ , which in the de Rham setting consists of pairs  $(P^\vee, s)$  where  $P^\vee$  is a  $G^\vee$ -bundle on  $\Sigma$  with a flat connection, and  $s$  is a section of  $X_{P^\vee}^\vee$  which is horizontal with respect to the induced connection on  $X_{P^\vee}^\vee$ . In the Betti setting,  $\text{Loc}^{X^\vee}$  consists of  $G^\vee$ -equivalence classes of pairs  $(\rho, x)$ , where  $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow G^\vee$  and  $x \in (X^\vee)_{\rho(\pi_1(\Sigma))}$ . One can see where this comes from/how it relates to the de Rham setting by instead looking at local systems as glued trivial bundles. In particular, the data of a local system is just a cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $\Sigma$  together with some elements  $g_{ij} \in G^\vee$  satisfying a cocycle condition. The trivial bundles on  $U_i$  are glued using the  $g_{ij}$ . Then a horizontal section of  $X_{P^\vee}^\vee$  is a set of elements  $x_i \in X^\vee$  with  $x_i = g_{ij}x_j$ , so everything is determined by one point. We again have a projection  $p^\vee : \text{Loc}^{X^\vee} \rightarrow \text{Loc}$ .

Relative Langlands asserts  $p_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{Bun}^X} = \mathbb{L}(p_!^\vee \omega_{\text{Loc}^{X^\vee}})$ , where  $\omega$  denotes the dualizing complex in  $D^b\text{Coh}(\text{Loc}^{X^\vee})$  and  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$  is the constant sheaf in the constructible

derived category. (Note: by lecturer's admission, the constant and dualizing sheaves might need to be swapped.)

We now illustrate a difficulty in the cotangent situation. First, suppose  $X = V$  is just a representation of  $G$ . Then  $M = T^*V = V \oplus V^* = T^*(V^*)$ . But  $p_*\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{Bun}^V}$  and  $p_*\mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{Bun}^{V^*}}$  (or possibly you do something on the spectral side when working with  $V^*$ , it wasn't clear) may disagree by some twist or sign or some other obstruction. To me this seems completely reasonable and not like a difficulty, so I'm not sure what the issue is; yes the  $M$  is the same but everything above was defined in terms of  $X$  and its  $G$ -action.

Now fix a maximal torus  $T$  and Borel  $B$  with  $T \subset B \subset G$ . Let  $W$  be the Weyl group relative to  $T$ . Then  $W$  permutes the set of Borels containing  $T$ . There is a  $B$ -stable Lagrangian subspace  $L \subset M$ , and for  $w \in W$ , we have that  $w(L)$  is a  $w(B)$ -stable Lagrangian subspace of  $M$ . To these Lagrangian subspaces we may associate so-called Coulomb branches  $\mathcal{C}_L$  (to be defined later in the course). The  $W$ -action gives maps  $\mathcal{C}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{w(L)}$ .

Let  $G = SL_2, W = \{1, s\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_L \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{C}_{s(L)} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{C}_L$  is not the identity, but a sign. But if you replace  $W$  by  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ , it works. In fact, for general  $G$ , there is a Tits group  $\widetilde{W} \subset N(T)$  such that the induced map  $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$  is surjective with kernel some finite product of copies of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ , and the lecturer's belief is that working with  $\widetilde{W}$  will make things work.

Now take  $\Sigma = D = \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  the formal disk and  $G = GL_n$ , so  $G^\vee = G$ . Then Loc in the de Rham setting consists of rank  $n$  vector bundles  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow D$  with flat connection  $\nabla$ , up to conjugation. On  $D$ , all vector bundles are trivial, so we write  $\mathcal{V} = V \times D$ . The connection can be written  $\nabla = d + A$ , where  $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(D)dz$ . We take this data up to  $G(D)$ . Then what you get is just  $\mathfrak{g}/G$ . If we were careful and did this for general  $G$ , we would get  $(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)^*/G^\vee$ , which appears on one side of derived geometric Satake.

Now we discuss a strategy to define  $(T^*X)^\vee$  for  $X$  an affine smooth  $G$ -variety. It is related to geometric Satake, so we briefly discuss the setup. Let  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((z)), \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ . The affine Grassmannian is  $Gr_G = G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ . This has a left action by  $G(\mathcal{O})$ , so we consider the equivariant derived category  $D_{G(\mathcal{O}),c}^b(Gr)$ , defined to be the Satake category  $\mathrm{Sat}$ . It is monoidal; let  $\star$  be the monoidal product. On the other hand, we consider  $D((\mathrm{Sym}\mathfrak{g}^\vee, G^\vee)\text{-mod})$ , which is also monoidal with respect to the tensor product over  $\mathrm{Sym}\mathfrak{g}^\vee$ . Geometric Satake asserts that there is a monoidal equivalence  $\Phi$  between these categories.

Now, given our space  $X$ , we claim there is some corresponding commutative ring object  $\mathcal{A}_X \in \mathrm{Sat}$ . Then  $\Phi(\mathcal{A}_X)$  is a commutative algebra, and as it is still a ring object, it admits a map from  $\mathrm{Sym}\mathfrak{g}^\vee$ . We obtain  $M^\vee := \mathrm{Spec}(\Phi(\mathcal{A}_X))$ ,

which one can show is a symplectic  $G^\vee$ -variety, with a map to  $(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)^*$ , which is the moment map.