

1. Continuing Hw3 Q2 in which balls are selected one at a time with replacement from a box containing 4 red and 6 white balls.

Let  $R_i$  be the event that the  $i$ th ball is red

- (a) If the third ball is red, what is the probability the first ball was red?

$$P(R_1 | R_3) = P(R_1) = 4/10 = 2/5$$

- (b) If the third ball is red, what is the probability the first two balls were red?

$$P(R_1 \cap R_2 | R_3) = P(R_1 \cap R_2) = 4/25$$

2. Redo Q1 if the balls are selected without replacement.

$$(a) P(R_1 | R_3) = \frac{P(R_3 | R_1)P(R_1)}{P(R_3)} = \frac{1/3 \times 2/5}{2/5} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$(b) \text{ Bayes: } P(R_1 \cap R_2 | R_3) = \frac{P(R_3 | R_1 \cap R_2)P(R_1 \cap R_2)}{P(R_3)} = \frac{1/4 \times 2/15}{2/5} = \frac{1}{12}$$

3. One of a die and a coin (sides 0 and 1) is selected at random and tossed.

- (a) If the outcome is 0, what is the probability the coin was chosen?

Since the die has no 0 the probability is 1

- (b) If the outcome is 1, what is the probability the coin was chosen?

$$\text{Bayes: } P(\text{coin} | 1) = \frac{P(1 | \text{coin})P(\text{coin})}{P(1 | \text{coin})P(\text{coin}) + P(1 | \text{die})P(\text{die})} = \frac{(1/2)(1/2)}{(1/2)(1/2) + (1/6)(1/2)} = \frac{3}{4}$$

4. An HIV test is known to be 98% accurate (i.e. the probability of a correct diagnosis is 0.98) and 0.5% of the population is known to be HIV positive.

- (a) What is the probability that a random person will test positive?

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the events that the person is HIV positive and HIV negative respectively, and let  $C$  be the event that the person tested positive. By the Law of Alternatives Theorem 1-10

$$P(C) = P(C | A)P(A) + P(C | B)P(B) = 0.98 \times 0.005 + 0.02 \times 0.995 = 0.0248$$

- (b) If a person selected at random does test positive, what is the probability that he is infected?

Bayes

$$P(A | C) = \frac{P(C | A)P(A)}{P(C)} = \frac{0.98 \times 0.005}{0.0248} \simeq 0.198$$

Why so low? Because even though only a small *proportion* of healthy people test positive, the much larger number of people who are healthy overwhelms the number of HIV positive people who test positive.

Bonus. A gambler has three coins in his pocket, one is normal, one is head-head and the third is tail-tail. He picks one at random and tosses it and it shows heads. The gambler says that since you now know the coin is either head-head or head-tail the odds that the other side is tails is  $1/2$ . Is this true?

Let  $A_1$  be the event that the coin is normal,  $A_2$  be the event that the coin is head-head and  $A_3$  be the event that it is tail-tail and let  $A$  the event that you see a head. Then  $P(A_i) = 1/3$ ,  $P(A) = 1/2$  (if this is not obvious use Theorem 1-10) and by Bayes Theorem 1-11

$$P(A_1 | A) = \frac{P(A | A_1)P(A_1)}{P(A)} = \frac{1/2 \times 1/3}{1/2} = \frac{1}{3}$$

This question is actually ambiguous — what would the gambler have done if the coin had shown tails? If he would have tossed again until he got a head, then  $P(A_1) = P(A_2) = 1/2$ ,  $P(A_3) = 0$  and instead

$$P(A_1 | A) = \frac{P(A | A_1)P(A_1)}{P(A)} = \frac{1/2 \times 1/2}{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Ambiguities like this contribute (justifiably) to the reputation probability has for being difficult.