# Analysis of Algorithms

Homework 6 – P vs NP

## Abraham Murciano & Elad Harizy

January 13, 2021

## Question 1

#### Part A

We are to prove that if the languages  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  are in P, meaning that there automata that can tell us whether a word is in the language or not in polynomial time (O(n \* k)) for some constant k, then  $\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \in P$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  can be decided in polynomial time, their union can also, as explained in Part B.

### Part B

We are told that the languages  $\mathcal{L}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_2$  can be decided in polynomial time using algorithms  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  respectively, with running times  $O(n^{k_1})$ ,  $O(n^{k_2})$ . To decide their union, one would have to decide each one individually, and decide their union based on their logical disjunction. Thus the complexity of deciding their union is  $O(n^{k_1} + n^{k_2})$ , or  $O(n^{\max(k_1, k_2)})$ , which is polynomial.

# Question 2

## Part A

We must prove that if  $\mathcal{L} \in P$  then  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{L}^k \in P$ . Meaning that for any constant k, we can decide the concatenation of the language to itself k times, in polynomial time.

We will use a lemma which states that if  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2 \in P$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_2 \in P$ . (Proof omitted.)

We will prove this by induction.

For 
$$k = 0, \mathcal{L}^k = \mathcal{L}^0 = \{\varepsilon\} \in P$$
.

Assume that for  $k=n, \mathcal{L}^k=\mathcal{L}^n\in P$ . Then for  $k=n+1, \mathcal{L}^k=\mathcal{L}^{n+1}=\mathcal{L}^n\mathcal{L}$ . However we know that both  $\wedge$  and  $\mathcal{L}^n$  are in P, so using our lemma, their concatenation,  $\mathcal{L}^{n+1}$  must be in P.